

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 1077

Press
Mis.

383:384

Dated

22 - 5 - 1865.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
22nd May 1865.*

No. 383. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue; dated 1st May 1865, No. 2,165.

Read the following letter from J. D. ROBINSON, Esq., Collector of North Arcot, to R. A. DALYELL, Esq., Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Araconda, 29th March 1865, No. 51:—

SIR,

1. I have the honor, with reference to the Proceedings of the Board, dated 15th ultimo, No. 909, to report that I have, agreeably to the Orders of Government, directed the attention of the Government Vakeel to the depreciating remarks made by the Civil Judge on his conduct in Appeal Suit No. 108 of 1861. A copy of the explanation furnished by the Government Vakeel is herewith enclosed.

2. The case having never in any way come under my official cognizance, I am unable to say how far the Government Vakeel has succeeded in exonerating himself from censure; but from what I know by hear-say of his qualifications for the post, I can easily believe that the Civil Judge had good grounds for the unfavorable comments which he has recorded.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.

From G. V. MUNIRATHANUM IYAH, to J. D. ROBINSON, Esq., Collector of North Arcot, dated Chittoor, 4th March 1865, No. 8.

1. I humbly beg to state, in reply to your Takid of the 24th February last, and the Proceedings of the Government and Board of Revenue, dated 10th and 15th idem, respectively, that the Appeal Suit No. 108 of 1861, on the file of the Civil Court of Salem, came for hearing on the 24th February 1864, before the Civil Court of Chittoor, when the latter Court chiefly proposed to ascertain at what particular times each of the kists of the Jody of Neikarpatti fell due, in what particular time each kist was paid, and whether or not the Collector was right in attaching the said village.

2. As no issues were settled or evidence adduced on these points in the Court below, it was not then in my power to give any information on these points. The Court also, unable to gather the said information from the record after a careful perusal of the same, at last called upon the Collector of Salem by a letter of the 25th February 1864, to forward records bearing upon the above points, and adjourned the hearing of the case.

3. The Civil Judge not being fully satisfied with the records received from the Collector with reference to the above letter, wrote for further records on the 31st March 1864, and finally disposed of the appeal on perusal of the records received on the 18th April.

4. After the aforesaid 18th April, up to which the necessary records were received by the Court, no date was fixed for the final hearing, nor was any notice given me to afford the requisite information on perusal of the new record.

5. The Collector, instead of producing or giving me for production all the documents in support of the case in one and the same time, sent them on different occasions from the time when the appeal came for hearing to the time it was decided in review. I was not at least informed of the existence of any such documents.

6. If the whole records material to the case had been ready during the hearing, I would have freely afforded the requisite information on the above points, and the Court would have easily understood and disposed of the case. That the record was imperfect at the time of the hearing is manifest from the above letters of the Civil Judge to the Collector of Salem, dated 25th February and 31st March 1864, and from the documents received with replies to these letters, and from those filed with the petition for review of judgment.

7. The absence from the record of the suit of material documents relevant to the case having given rise to the remarks recorded against me by the Civil Judge in his judgment, I pray that the Civil Judge's letters and the whole record of the present case be examined, and I be relieved from the blame.

(True Copy.)

(Signed) J. D. ROBINSON, Collector.

Submitted to Government, with reference to their Order, dated 10th February

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
22nd May 1865.*

No. 384..

ORDER THEREON, 22nd May 1865, No. 1,077.

Miscellaneous.

The Government do not deem it necessary to
take further notice of this matter.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) W. HUDLESTON,

Acting Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.

Exd. S. T. Augustin.

No. 2,165.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 1st May 1865.

Read the following letter from J. D. ROBINSON, Esq., Collector of North Arcot, to R. A. DALYELL, Esq., Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Araconda, 29th March 1865, No. 51:—

1. I have the honor, with reference to the Proceedings of the Board, dated 15th ultimo, No. 909, to report that I have, agreeably to the orders of Government, directed the attention of the Government Vakeel to the depreciating remarks made by the Civil Judge on his conduct in Appeal Suit No. 108 of 1861. A copy of the explanation furnished by the Government Vakeel is herewith enclosed.

2. The case having never in any way come under my official cognizance, I am unable to say how far the Government Vakeel has succeeded in exonerating himself from censure; but from what I know by hearsay of his qualifications for the post, I can easily believe that the Civil Judge had good grounds for the unfavorable comments which he has recorded.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.

From G. V. MUNIRATHANUM IYAI, to J. D. ROBINSON, Esq., Collector of North Arcot, dated Chittoor, 4th March 1865, No. 8.

1. I humbly beg to state, in reply to your Takid of the 24th February last, and the Proceedings of the Government and Board of Revenue, dated 10th and 15th idem, respectively, that the Appeal Suit No. 108 of 1861, on the part of the Civil Court of Salem, concerning the 24th February 1864, before the Civil Court of Chittoor, when the latter Court chiefly proposed to ascertain at what particular times each of the kists of the Jody of Neikarpatti fell due, in what particular time each kist was paid, and whether or not the Collector was right in attaching the said village.

2. As no issues were settled or evidence adduced on these points in the Court below, it was not then in my power to give any information on these points. The Court also, unable to gather the said information from the record after a careful perusal of the same, at last called upon the Collector of Salem by a letter of the 25th February 1864, to forward records bearing upon the above points, and adjourned the hearing of the case.

3. The Civil Judge not being fully satisfied with the records received from the Collector with reference to the above letter, wrote for further records on the 31st March 1864, and finally disposed of the appeal on perusal of the records received on the 18th April.

4. After the aforesaid 18th April, up to which the necessary records were received by the Court, no date was fixed for the final hearing, nor was any notice given me to afford the requisite information on perusal of the new record.

5. The Collector, instead of producing or giving me for production all the documents in support of the case in one and the same time, sent them on different occasions from the time when the appeal came for hearing to the time it was decided in review. I was not at least informed of the existence of any such documents.

6. If the whole records material to the case had been ready during the hearing, I would have freely afforded the requisite information on the above points, and the Court would have easily understood and disposed of the case. That the record was imperfect at the time of the hearing is manifest from the above letters of the Civil Judge to the Collector of Salem, dated 25th February and 31st March 1864, and from the documents received with replies to these letters, and from those filed with the petition for review of judgment.

7. The absence from the record of the suit of material documents relevant to the case having given rise to the remarks recorded against me by the Civil Judge in his judgment, I pray that the Civil Judge's letters and the whole record of the present case be examined, and I be relieved from the blame.

(True Copy.)

(Signed) J. D. ROBINSON,
Collector.

Submitted to Government with reference to their Order, dated 10th February 1865, No. 278.

(True Copies and Extract.)

W. D. Sim
Acting Secretary.

To J. D. Sim, Esq., Secretary to Government, R. D.

Copy to J. D. Robinson, Esq., Collector of North Arcot.

Exd. J. Wright.

No. 1077
The Government do not deem it necessary to take further notice of this matter.

14th Dec 1864
23rd May 1865

Copy May 1865

383-384

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE

No. 1206.

Recd. 1865. Depart.

Dated 1st May 1865.

Recd. 6th May 1865

No. 2,165.

Submitting letter from the Collector of North Arcot forwarding copy of explanation of Government Vakil in Chittoor Court regarding conduct in Appeal Suit No. 103 of 1861, of file of the Salem Civil Court.

W. D. Sim
W. D. Sim