

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 1076

~~Press~~
~~Mis.~~

381-382

Dated

22-5-1865.

Not to be folded or roughly handled.

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
22nd May 1865.*

No. 381. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 3rd May 1865, No. 2,220.

Read the following letter from E. B. FOORD, Esq., Acting Collector of Ganjam, to R. A. DALYELL, Esq., Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Chetterpore, 1st April 1865, No. 56:—

1. With reference to paragraph 2 of the Board's Proceedings, No. 1,470 of the 14th ultimo, asking to report what I consider a reasonable compensation to be tendered to the Plaintiff, I have the honor to forward copies of letters addressed by Messrs. F. I. V. Minchin and E. Vally to Captain Graves on the 22nd October and 1st November last, from which the Board will find that 20 Rupees were considered more than the value of the ruined thatched house under reference.

2. The well is not situated in the compound, and the party has, therefore, no title to claim compensation on that head.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.

From F. I. V. MINCHIN, Esq., to E. B. FOORD, Esq., Acting Collector of Ganjam, dated Gopalpore, 30th March 1865.

In reply to your Official Memorandum of the 27th instant, I have the honor to draw your attention to the enclosed notes written by Mr. Vally and myself to Captain Graves at the time that the question of the value arose, from which you will see that we considered 20 Rupees its outside value.

From F. I. V. MINCHIN, Esq., to Captain GRAVES, dated Gopalpore, 22nd October 1864.

In reply to your request that I should give you my opinion of the value of the old ruin that stood on the site of the ground you are about to build on, I can only say that had I wanted to purchase it, I would not have offered more than 15 or 20 Rupees.

From E. VALLY, Esq., to Captain GRAVES, dated Gopalpore, 1st November 1864.

Regarding the house you got pulled down next to my godown, having seen it every day, I could safely say that it was not worth more than about 20 Rupees.

(True Copy.)

(Signed) E. B. FOORD,
Acting Collector.

G. O. No. 2,300, dated 22nd December 1864.
Do. No. 407, 23rd February 1865.

1. Submitted to Government, with reference to Government Orders noted in the margin.

2. The Board recommend that the Acting Collector be authorized to tender 20 Rupees as compensation in addition to the costs of suit already incurred by Plaintiff.

No. 382. ORDER THEREON, 22nd May 1865, No. 1,076.

Miscellaneous.

1. In consideration of Mr. Forbes' absence from India, the Government will not object to the payment of Rupees 20 compensation to the Plaintiff, on his withdrawing his suit and accepting this amount in satisfaction of his claim.

2. It is possible that Mr. Forbes, if present, might be able to explain and defend his acts, although the Government as at present informed, are unable to do so.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) W. HUDLESTON,
Acting Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.
,, the Accountant General.
,, the Civil Paymaster.

Exd. S. T. Augustin.

No. 2,220.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 3rd May 1865.

Read the following letter from E. B. FOORD, Esq., Acting Collector of Ganjam, to R. A. DALY, Esq., Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Chatterpore, 1st April 1865.

No. 56:—

1. With reference to paragraph 2 of the Board's Proceedings, No. 1,470 of the 14th ultimo, asking to report what I consider a reasonable compensation to be tendered to the Plaintiff, I have the honor to forward copies of letters addressed by Messrs. F. I. V. Minchin and E. Vally to Captain Graves on the 22nd October and 1st November last, from which the Board will find that 20 Rupees were considered more than the value of the ruined thatched house under reference.

2. The well is not situated in the compound, and the party has, therefore, no title to claim compensation on that head.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.

From F. I. V. MINCHIN, Esq., to E. B. FOORD, Esq., Acting Collector of Ganjam, dated Gopalpore, 30th March 1865.

In reply to your Official Memorandum of the 27th instant, I have the honor to draw your attention to the enclosed notes written by Mr. Vally and myself to Captain Graves at the time that the question of the value arose, from which you will see that we considered 20 Rupees its outside value.

From F. I. V. MINCHIN, Esq., to Captain GRAVES, dated Gopalpore, 22nd October 1864.

In reply to your request that I should give you my opinion of the value of the old ruin that stood on the site of the ground you are about to build on, I can only say that had I wanted to purchase it, I would not have offered more than 15 or 20 Rupees.

From E. VALLY, Esq., to Captain GRAVES, dated Gopalpore, 1st November 1864.

Regarding the house you got pulled down next to my godown, having seen it every day, I could safely say that it was not worth more than about 20 Rupees.

(True Copies.)

(Signed) E. B. FOORD,
Acting Collector.

Government Order, No. 2,300, dated 23rd Dec. 1864.
Do. do. No. 407, 23rd February 1865.

1. Submitted to Government with reference to the Government Orders noted in the margin.

2. The Board recommend that the Acting Collector be authorized to tender 20 Rupees as compensation in addition to the costs of suit already incurred by Plaintiff.

(True Copies and Extract.)

Sub-Secretary.

To J. D. Sim, Esq., Secretary to Government, R. D.
E. B. Foord, Esq., Acting Collector of Ganjam.

Ed. J. Wright.

302204 Over kept
2022 11/11/1865

1865. Department.

1865. Department.

No. 1205.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE,

Dated 3rd May 1865.

2022 11/11/1865

2022 11/11/1865

No. 2,220.

Recommending that the Acting Collector of
tanjum be authorized to tender 20 Rupees as
compensation to Plaintiff in Suit No. 329 of
1864 on file of Daphnypore District Munsiff's
Court on account of his house pulled down by
Daphnypore.

22-10-6.

Supervision of

the Justice's absence from duty.

the Government will not object

the payment of Rs. 20 and

present to the Plaintiff on

his withdrawing his suit

and accepting the amount

in satisfaction of his claim.

It is further recommended that

the suit be dismissed with

costs to the Plaintiff and

no further proceedings be

taken.

14. 2022 11/11/1865

2022 11/11/1865

2022 11/11/1865