

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 1066

Press

~~Mis.~~

361-362

Dated

20.5.1865.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
20th May 1865.

No. 361. • Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 21st April 1865:

Read the following letter from G. A. BALLARD, Esq., Collector of Malabar, to R. A. DALYELL, Esq., Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Calicut, 10th April 1865, No. 53:—

SIR,

1. Adverting to G. O. dated 8th ultimo, No. 506, received with the Board's endorsement of the 15th idem, I have the honor to submit the records therein called for with their translate in English.
As per accompanying List.

2. In my letter to the Board, dated 21st December 1864, No. 315, I have explained my reasons for refusing copies of these records to Mr. Ouchterlony and the Nilambur Tirupad. I have only to add that on one occasion, when Mr. Ouchterlony happened to be in my office, and Captain Godfrey applied for a copy, Mr. Ouchterlony expressed the strongest objection to copy of a document or documents connected with these lands being given to Captain Godfrey; and that I still think that it is inadvisable to comply with Mr. Ouchterlony's application.

1. Submitted to Government, with reference to the Order quoted by the Collector. In the face of Mr. Ballard's very decided objections to permitting Mr. Ouchterlony to have access to the papers now submitted, the Board do not feel at liberty to recommend a contrary course.

2. The Board presume from the statement made in the concluding paragraph of Mr. Ballard's letter, dated 21st December 1864, which forms one of the enclosures in Mr. Ouchterlony's address to Government, that the land under reference in these documents forms part of the tract of country to which the Government referred in a recent order disallowing the claims of the Nilambur Tirupad, though, from the statement made in the deposition of Perumal Chetty, it might be supposed to be included among the lands purchased at auction by the Tirupad, to which the Government have waived their claims.

No. 362. ORDER THEREON, 20th May 1865, No. 1,066.

Miscellaneous.

1. If the land to which the records desired by Mr. Ouchterlony relate, is not included in the tract to which the Government have lately asserted the right of the State, in rejecting the claim of the Nilambur Rajah, the Government see no reason why Mr. Ouchterlony should not be furnished with the copies he has requested. The Collector will satisfy himself on this point by careful enquiry, and report further if necessary.

2. Copy of these Proceedings will be furnished to the Collector of Malabar, through the Board of Revenue, for his information and guidance. The original records will be returned to the Board for transmission to the Collector.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) W. HUDLESTON,
Acting Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue,
„ J. Ouchterlony, Esq.

Exd. S. T. Augustin.

Revenue Department

N^o

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue
dated 21st April 1865.

Read the following letter.

N^o 53.

From

G. A. Ballard Esq.

Collector of Malabar.

To R. A. Dalzell Esq.

Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Sir

Adverting to G. O. dated 8th

Ultimo, N^o 508, received with the

Board's endorsement of the 15th Idem,

As per accom-
panying list. I have the honor to submit the re-

ords therein called for with their

translation in English.

2. In my letter to the Board

N^o 315.

dated 21st December 1864 I have

explained my reasons for refusing

copies of these records to Mr. Ouchterlony

and

and the Nilambur Sircapad. I have only to add that on one occasion when Mr. Ouchterlony happened to be in my Office, and Captain Godfrey applied for a copy, Mr. Ouchterlony expressed the strongest objection to copy of a document or documents connected with these lands being given to Captain Godfrey, and that I still think that it is inadvisable to comply with Mr. Ouchterlony's application.

Malabar
Collrs Office
Calicut 10 April 1805.

I have the
Signature of G. A. Ballard
Collector.

Submitted to Government with reference to the order quoted by the Collector. In the face of Mr. Ballards very decided objections to permitting Mr. Ouchterlony to have access to the papers now submitted the Board do not feel at liberty to recommend a contrary course.

3
I have not a very clear recollection of the order of post about these records, but looking at the Enclosure to Mr. Collector Ballards letter to the Board 27/50, I can see no objection to copies being furnished to Mr. Ouchterlony, and I do permit them to be given. If the Bill transferred to Captain Godfrey by Romaine is the part of the tract to which the foot-lot is denied the Nilambur man's right - I applied them on, - the case of Mr. Ouchterlony, (assuming that he is acting as agent for Nilambur) will not be benefited by copies of these papers, as Perumal Chetty's position as cultivator under the Nilambur man, was not of itself shown that the latter had a prior right of his own. But, in fact, the Bill he bought the part of the land. The Nilambur man says he bought at the best of prices, Perumal Chetty's cost & easy way of dealing with Captain Godfrey will not harm the foot case. If Mr. Ouchterlony wants the papers to show that Perumal Chetty had no right to sell to Captain Godfrey the land forming the Bill, which Mr. Ouchterlony may have bought of the Sircapad, I don't see why he should not get them. They will be evidence in his case, if Perumal Chetty is dead, but Mr. Ouchterlony is not a valuable person, as being nothing more than an opinion about a matter on which he had simply received a man's word & statement. W. O. C.

of the State, in
the claim of the
Nilambur, Rajah,
the Govt. in no
reason why Mr.
Ouchterlony should
not be furnished

and have
sion to the
God
Our
objec
-cuss
heim
tha.
-adit
Our
-soli

If the land to which these papers refer be not
found to be that to which it refers - I am anxious to
-insert them myself. I do not see why they should
not be given - but without more from Mr
Ballando. When the things be such or not - and
if the papers do refer to the pre-land for
Ballando should show how the possession
of this document of the land belongs to
any one else carrying in the pre-land of

Rev. 1865 Depart
Ph. of Govt. for May
N. 1047. A.

Proceedings of the
Board of Revenue

Dated 21st
Sept. 21 } April 1865
Recd. 22nd
E. 3. 8. 1865

No.

1866-20 May

Submitting with re-
marks a letter from the
Collector of Malabar on
the subject of the papers
applied for by Mr. Clerkton
-long-

Submitted to Government
with reference to the order quoted
by the Collector. In the face of Mr.
Ballando very decided objections to
permitting Mr. Clerkton to have
access to the papers now submitted
the Board do not feel at liberty
to recommend a contrary course.

1066
20th May/65

21 April/65

~~At the Court of Directors~~
The Court of Directors
The most desired
by Mr. Clerkton lately
is not included in
the fact & 2nd the
Govt have lately
asserted the right
of the State in
-transaction. Regarding
the claim of the
Rajah but, Rajah,
the Govt in no
event they Govt.
Rajah's claim
not be furnished

MD
W.D.

Revenue 1865 Septth
Rs. of Gantt for May

Rs. 1066.

Rs. of the Madras Gantt
of 20th May/65

Passing orders on the
subject of documents required
by W^m Cuthbertson and
Sikandar Singh.

361-362 -

a. to the copies he had
requested. He should like to satisfy himself
on this point by
copy of their brief.
if he is furnished
with the Ch. of Relations
in the 1st of July
for his info. & guidance.
The original records will
be returned to the 1st for
transmission to the
Ch.

2. The 1st of July with enclosures 22 May
in J. Relations Encl. 1

28
4

