M.R.O. 14—13,000—27-9-24.

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 538 Press ~~Mis.~~

5—20

Dated 1—6— ~~19~~ 1864

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department, 1st June 1864.

No. 9. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 19th May 1864, No. 3,080.

Read the following letter from the Collector of Tanjore, dated 30th April 1864, No. 134:—

1. I have the honor to report on Petition No. 3,445 of 1863, addressed to the Board by one Appaji Achari, soliciting permission to sue for certain Shrotriem land as per margin.

V. 1 M. 11, and G. 57$\frac{37}{44}$ Idaiyattur alias Mahalitchunpuram, Pattukottai Talook.

2. The land is included in a grant under which fifty-five villages, including the one above mentioned, were bestowed by Rajah Tuljaji in the year 1784 to the community of Velangolam Mahal on Shrotriem tenure. The grant is entered in the register made in 1810.

3. Petitioner states that the land in question was bestowed by one Balaji Pandithar, an original grantee, to Ramachendra Achari, Petitioner's grand uncle, whose adopted son having died without issue, the land was usurped by his (adopted son's) natural brother, and whose widowed daughter-in-law Savitri Bayi Ammal is now in possession of the same to the prejudice of Petitioner's rights. Petitioner therefore wishes to sue the said Ammal for the recovery of the same.

4. Defendant Savitri Bayi Ammal on the other hand asserts that the land in dispute was made over as a gift by the abovesaid Ramachendra Achari to her husband's grand-father, and that therefore Petitioner has no right whatever to it.

5. As it is clear that the land in question has passed out of the family of the original grantee, I see no objection to Petitioner's request being granted.

6. The land in question has not yet been enfranchised.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.—Petition.

1. Resolved that the above recorded letter, together with the Petition to which reference is made, be submitted for the consideration and orders of His Excellency the Governor in Council.

2. The Board recommend, under the circumstances reported, that Petitioner's request be granted.

No. 10.

Miscellaneous.

ORDER THEREON, 1st June 1864, No. 938.

Under Section 2, Regulation IV of 1831, the Government permit Appaji Achari to sue in the established Courts for one Veli, eleven Mas, and fifty-seven odd Gulis of Srotriyam land situated in the village of Idaiyattur *alias* Mahalitchunpuram, Pattukottai Talook, Tanjore District.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) C. G. MASTER,
Deputy Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue, with Petition.

Exd. S. T. Augustin.

No. 3,080.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 19th May 1864.

Read the following letter from the Collector of Tanjore, dated 30th April 1864, No. 134 :—

1. I have the honor to report on Petition No. 3,445 of 1863, addressed to the Board by one Appaji Achari, soliciting permission to sue for certain Shrotriem land as per margin.

V. 1 M. 11, and G. 573 Idaiyattur alias Mahalitchumpuram, Pattukottai Taluk.

2. The land is included in a grant under which fifty-five villages, including the one above mentioned, were bestowed by Rajah Tuljaji in the year 1784 to the community of Velangolam Mahal on Shrotriem tenure. The grant is entered in the register made in 1810.

3. Petitioner states that the land in question was bestowed by one Balaji Pandithar, an original grantee, to Ramachendra Achari, Petitioner's grand uncle, whose adopted son having died without issue, the land was usurped by his (adopted son's) natural brother, and whose widowed daughter-in-law Savitri Bayi Ammal is now in possession of the same to the prejudice of Petitioner's rights. Petitioner therefore wishes to sue the said Ammal for the recovery of the same.

4. Defendant Savitri Bayi Ammal on the other hand asserts that the land in dispute was made over as a gift by the abovesaid Ramachendra Achari to her husband's grand-father, and that therefore Petitioner has no right whatever to it.

5. As it appears that the land in question has passed out of the family of the original grantee, I see no objection to Petitioner's request being granted.

6. The land in question has not yet been enfranchized.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.—Petition.

---

1. Resolved that the above recorded letter, together with the Petition to which reference is made, be submitted for the consideration and orders of His Excellency the Governor in Council.

2. The Board recommend, under the circumstances reported, that Petitioner's request be granted.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

Sub-Secretary.

To J. D. Sim, Esq., Secretary to Government, R. D.

Copy to W. M. Cadell, Esq., Collector of Tanjore.

Exd. J. Wright.

Rev. 1864. Department.
Pro: of Govt for June

No. 1368.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE,

Dated 19th May 1864.

Desp. 23 —
Rec. 25 —
El. or Rec.

No. 3,080.

Submitting for consideration and orders letter from the Collector of Tanjore reporting on Petition No 3445 of 1863 soliciting permission to sue for certain Shrotriem land.

No 938 - 1st June

With an order

No 9 & 10.

C 31st May/64

938 No. 938

Under section 2, Regulation IV of 1831, the Government permit Appaji Achari to sue in the Established Courts for one veli, eleven mas, and fifty seven odd gulis of Srotriyam land situated in the village of Idaiyattur alias Mahalitch unpuram, Pattukottai Taluq, Tanjore District

Fort St. George
1st June/64.

14 Copies To the Pr. of Rev. Desp. 2d June
with Petition