

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 896

Press
~~Mis.~~

292.43

Dated

28.5.1964

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
25th May 1864.*

No. 292. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 16th May 1864, No. 2,998.

Read the following letter from the Collector of Tanjore, dated Vellum, 23th April 1864, No. 125 :—

1. I have the honor to report on Petition No. 3,429 of 1863, addressed to the Board of Revenue by one Venketeswara Anadhavi, praying for permission to sue for certain Survamaniem land as per margin.
V. O. M. 16, G. 8 $\frac{1}{2}$ in Tirukodikaval, Combaconum Talook.
2. The land in question is included in a Survamaniem grant of 69 and odd Velis, made about two centuries ago by Dipambalbayi, wife of Raja Ekoji, to certain Brahmins. The grant is entered in No. 4 in the Register of unsupported Ináms prepared in 1817. It appears from the Register that 1 Veli and 5 Mahs of the land stood in the name of Vyaghieswara Sastri one of the original grantees, and that at the time of registry, it was in the enjoyment of Vyaghieswara Sastri and Venketeswara Sastri, grandsons of the original grantee, and another to whom the latter had sold a part of his share.
3. Petitioner states that he is the son of the first named Vyaghieswara Sastri ; that he and his paternal uncle (now deceased) were members of an undivided family ; and that after the death of the latter his land was taken possession of by his daughter Rangammal, a childless widow ; and that as she is about to alienate the same to the prejudice of Petitioner's reversionary claim thereto, he seeks to obtain possession by means of a Civil action.
4. Defendant (Rangammal) on the other hand, asserts that the family has for long been a divided one ; that during the life-time of her father he sold the land in question to one Sami Aiyar ; she therefore denies *in toto* the claim of the Petitioner.
5. As both the parties appear to belong to the family of the original grantee, I see no objection to Petitioner's request being complied with.
6. The Inám land in dispute has *not* been enfranchized.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.—Petition.

Resolved that the above letter, together with the Petition to which it refers, be submitted to Government with the Board's recommendation that the usual certificate be granted to Petitioner which will enable him to settle his differences with his opponent by an action-at-law.

No. 293. ORDER THEREON, 25th May 1864, No. 896.

Under Section 2, Regulation IV of 1833, the Governor in Council grants Venkateswara Anadhavi permission to bring a Suit for the recovery of M. 16 G. 8 $\frac{1}{2}$ of Survamanyum land, situated in the village of Tirukodikaval in the Combaconum Talook, Tanjore.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) C. G. MASTER,
Deputy Secretary to Government,

To the Board of Revenue, with Petition,

Exd. S. T. Augustin.

No. 2,998.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 16th May 1864.

Read the following letter from the Collector of Tanjore, dated Vellum, 23th April 1864, No. 125 :—

1. I have the honor to report on Petition No. 3,429 of 1863, addressed to the Board of Revenue by one Venketeswara Anadhavi, praying for permission to sue for certain Survamaniem land as per margin.

2. The land in question is included in a Survamaniem grant of 69 and odd Velis, made about two centuries ago by Dipambalbayi, wife of Raja Ekoji, to certain Brahmins. The grant is entered in No. 4 in the Register of unsupported Inams prepared in 1817. It appears from the Register that 1 Veli and 5 Mahs of the land stood in the name of Vyaghieswara Sastri, one of the original grantees, and that at the time of registry, it was in the enjoyment of Vyaghieswara Sastri and Venketeswara Sastri, grandsons of the original grantee, and another to whom the latter had sold a part of his share.

3. Petitioner states that he is the son of the first named Vyaghieswara Sastri; that he and his paternal uncle (now deceased) were members of an undivided family; and that after the death of the latter his land was taken possession of by his daughter Rangammal, a childless widow; and that as she is about to alienate the same to the prejudice of Petitioner's reversionary claim thereto, he seeks to obtain possession by means of a Civil action.

4. Defendant (Rangammal) on the other hand, asserts that the family has for long been a divided one; that during the life-time of her father he sold the land in question to one Sami Aiyan; she therefore denies *in toto* the claim of the Petitioner.

5. As both the parties appear to belong to the family of the original grantee, I see no objection to Petitioner's request being complied with.

6. The Inam land in dispute has *not* been enfranchised.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.—Petition.

Resolved that the above letter, together with the Petition to which it refers, be submitted to Government with the Board's recommendation that the usual certificate be granted to Petitioner which will enable him to settle his differences with his opponent by an action-at-law.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

Sub-Secretary.

To J. D. Sim, Esq., Secretary to Government, R. D.

Copy to W. M. Cadell, Esq., Collector of Tanjore.

Mrd. J. Wright.

Revenue 1864. Department
No. of Gout for May

No. 1307.
PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE,

Dated 16th May 1864.
Sd/- 1864
11 Dec 1915
Sd/- 1864

No. 2,998.

Submitting with Board's recommendation letter from the Collector of Tanjore reporting on the Petition of one Venkateswara Anadhavi praying for permission to sue for certain Surammanem land.

No 2924293.

28-896.

Under Section 2 Reg. IV of 1839,
the Government in Council grants

to the Anadhavi permission

to bring a suit for the recovery of Rs. 16-4.

8 $\frac{1}{4}$ of Anadhavi's land situated in

the village of Sunkodikal in the

Santhosham Taluk, Tanjore.

From the Board of Revenue
25th May 1864

to Board of Revenue
with Petition. Sd/- 25 May