

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 886.	Press Mis.
270.271	
Dated	23.5-19.1864

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
23rd May 1864.*

No. 270. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 25th November 1863, No. 7,455.

Read the following letter from J. I. MINCHIN, Esq., Collector of Kurnool, to R. A. DALYELL, Esq., Sub-Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated 22nd October 1863, No. 168:—

SIR,

1. I have the honor to report on the Petition of Pacha Bee, No. 2,182 of 1863.
2. After the assumption of the District one Gazy Shah, who had received a certain allowance from the Nawab for distribution to the poor, and for his own support, was transferred to the Yeomiah List, and on his decease, Government in their Order of the 25th August 1856, No. 893, continued a portion of the Yeomiah to his widows, but directed that the allowance of Rupees 48-12-0, paid monthly to the late Gazy Shah for distribution to the poor, should cease.
3. In the year 1842, Mr. Bayley, then Commissioner, found that a portion of the allowance of Rupees 48-12-0 made to Gazy Shah, had been always enjoyed by Zoke Shah, the husband of the Petitioner, and directed that a daily allowance of Annas 3-3 should be paid out of that sum to the said Zoke Shah.
4. This Zoke Shah is a different individual to the Shouke Shah, brother of Gazy Shah, to whose widow also a Yeomiah was granted in the Government Order above quoted.
5. From the time of Mr. Bayley's Order 7th December 1842, until his decease in January 1863, the allowance of Annas 3-3 daily was paid to Zoke Shah, although the Government Order of the 25th August 1856, No. 893, had directed that the allowance, from which this sum was paid, should be stopped.
6. The case of Zoke Shah was brought to the notice of Government in paragraph 10 of Mr. Scott's letter dated 25th October 1843, this person being the Fakeer there alluded to, as enjoying one-eighth of Gazy Shah's allowance. This fact was again brought to notice in Mr. Phillips' letter to the Board dated 24th May 1848; but no Orders of Government on the subject were ever received.
7. When the Order of Government dated 25th August 1856, No. 893, directing the allowance of Rupees 48-12-0 to be stopped, was received in the Office of the Officiating Agent, the case of Zoke Shah, who was supported out of that allowance, should again have been brought forward, but such does not appear to have been the case; the Order of Government stopping the allowance was by an oversight not acted upon, and the usual payment was continued to be made to Zoke Shah.
8. On the death of Zoke Shah, in January of this year, the case came before me for the first time. So much time had elapsed that it was difficult to obtain any information about it, and the similarity of the names Zoke Shah and Shouke Shah added to the complications which it seemed, at first impossible to unravel.
9. It was clear only that the payment of the allowance to Zoke Shah, from the date of the Government Order dated 25th August 1856, No. 893, had been unauthorized, and I refused therefore to entertain the Petition of his widow Pacha Bee for a continuance of a portion of the same for her support.
10. Upon a further consideration of the case I am of opinion that the Petitioner should not suffer for an error committed in the Office of the Agent. Her husband, the Fakeer Zoke Shah, was equally entitled with Gazy Shah and Shouke Shah to maintenance out of the allowance as reported by the Commissioners, Messrs. Scott and Phillips, and there is no reason why the precedent afforded in the case of Shouke Shah's widow should not be now acted upon.
11. The Petitioner Pacha Bee is destitute and has one widowed daughter: I beg therefore to recommend that an allowance of Rupees 3 monthly be granted to her for life, from the date of her husband's death.

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
23rd May 1864.

ENCLOSURE NO. 1.—Petition.

Submitted to Government, with reference to their Deputy Secretary's Endorsement dated 5th September 1863. The Board support the Collector's recommendation; and, adverting to the circumstances reported by him, solicit a re-consideration of the Orders of Government, as conveyed in Extract from Minutes of Consultation under date 25th August 1856, No. 893, in so far as those Orders affect the allowance paid to the late Zoke Shah.

No. 271. ORDER THEREON, 23rd May 1864, No. 886.

1. The Government have carefully considered the circumstances of the case, but do not find sufficient cause for recommending to the Government of India that the pension proposed by the Board should be assigned to Pacha Bee.
2. Should special sanction be at any time required by the Civil Paymaster for payments made to Zoke Shah, it will be given on application.
3. The following Endorsement will be passed on Petition 2,182 of 1863:—
"The Government have considered Petitioner's request but are unable to comply with it."

(True Extract.)

(Signed) C. G. MASTER,

Deputy Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue,
Endorsement to the Petitioner.

Exd. S. T. Augustin.

Board's Pro:
25 Nov 1863
No. 7455.

It appears that Zoke Shah
died a Decurion which he
was entitled to. Why
should his widow receive
a Decurion?
I think the matter had
better rest at this. I do
not see why we should
sanction what may have
been a pension which at
all events was a mistake
on the part of the Collector.

I would let things alone
if special sanction be at
any time required for
C.P. for payments made
to Zoke Shah, it may
be given if fort on appli-
cation. JP

From Mr Scott's Govt
d/30 Oct 1843. No. 47.
para 10.

In these proceedings
the Board recommended
re-consideration of the
order of Govt d/25 Aug.
1856. No. 893 in so far as
it affected the allow-
ance paid to the late
Zoke Shah, and also that
a pension of 3 Rs per
annum should be grant-
ed to Pacha Bee widow
of the said Zoke Shah
from the date of
his death in January
1853.

Among the allow-
ances disbursed in the
Kurnool District were
that territory was an-
der the rule of its own
Nabob. No. 1482-13-0 paid to two
Jakeers, Tofak Ali Shah
and Gazy Shah, as Trus-
tees on account of needy
travellers. These Trustees
were of course expected
"to help themselves" out
of these funds. The Agent
in Kurnool in reporting
on various allowances
says of these two men
"the former of these is
"a very old man; the lat-
"ter was formerly one of
"the Tahsildars of the

of the late Nawab &
subsequently became one
of his favorite Vizadehs.
I understand that this
Charity is much abused
and it would be impos-
sible to maintain an
efficient supervision
without an establish-
ment for the purpose.
One eighth of the allow-
ance allotted to Gazy
Shah has always been
enjoyed by another fa-
keer, & as he himself
was in high favor with
the Nawab I would
beg to recommend that
he as well as the fakeer
above mentioned be
transferred to the pro-
vincial list, & be allowed
the former (Gazy Shah)
half the present allow-
ance received by him
on account of the two
wells, & the latter the
same sum that he
now enjoys. The
remaining half of
Gazy Shah's allowance
to lapse to Govt. As
of Ali Shah cannot
be very long it wd
be as well

N. S. L. C. 3 Feb 1844
No. 93.

27 April 1847

to let him keep this al-
lowance for the remain-
der of his days undis-
turbed.

3. No distinct orders
appear to have been
passed on this recom-
mendation. Judging
from the pencil notes
recorded on the original
letter the members of
Govt appear to have
been in favor of leav-
ing things as they are
during the lives of the in-
cumbents. In ^{disposing} ~~the~~ ~~letter~~
~~there is~~ no reference
was made to this par-
ticular case. Further
information only being
asked for in regard to
certain religious insti-
tutions.

4. The above named Pa-
jah Ali Shah died on the
2nd Feb 1847. Mr Scott in
reporting this event
recommended that
the sum drawn by him
(Rs. 1482-13-0) should be reduced
a part only being sanc-
tioned thereon and as-
signed for the repair &
future maintenance
of Choultries through-
out the District.

Subsequently another Agent, Mr Phillips, proposed a somewhat different arrangement. The Board in reviewing the case observed that the division of the allowance proposed by Mr Scott in 1843 was as follows.

Tofah Ali during life	889-11-0	✓
Gazy Shah	259-7-10½	
Another Fakher (name not given)	74-2-3	
To be resumed	259-7-10½	
<u>Total</u>	<u>1482-13-0</u>	

and that though no such division had, as far as they knew, been sanctioned by Govt, Mr Scott's statement of April 1847 showed that Tofah Ali Shikah was at the time of his death in receipt of Rs. 889-11-0 per annum. They therefore asked whether Gazy Shah and the other fakher were alive & if so what allowance they drew from the Fund.

6. The Agent replied that both Gazy Shah & the other fakher whose

* Agent to Board
14 April 1848.
To know 18 May 1848.

* 24 May 1848.

As a B
Gazy Shah in 1848: 15-9
Zouk Shahs .. 74-2-3

* 3 July 1848. No. 340.

* 27 July 1848. No. 810.

19 August 1848. No 640.
Comd to B. & P. 7 Sept
1848. 20978.

name was Zouk Shah several times drawing the sums which they were in receipt of when Mr Scott first addressed Govt.

7. This correspondence was forwarded by the Board to Govt with the recommendation that the allowance of 73-2-0 per mensem paid to the late Tofah Ali should be resumed & in lieu thereof an allowance of 30 Rs per mensem be granted for the establishment of a Langskhana for the relief of the destitute.

8. Such a recommendation was accordingly made to the Govt of India and received the sanction of that authority.

9. Gazy Shah died on the 21st October 1853 and in reporting on the various items which had been in the enjoyment of this man and a brother

of him, also deceased,
 name Zoh Shah, the
 Collector mentioned
 that "Gazy Shah received
 for distribution to the
 poor the sum of Rs.
 48-12-0 a month, half
 of which he expended
 on himself and family."
 This allowance
 has ordered to be stop-
 ped, the widow of the
 deceased being pro-
 vided for by the tem-
 porary continuance
 of the ^{late} ~~deceased~~ hus-
 band.

10. From the Collector's
 letter recorded in the
 Proceedings now un-
 der review it appears
 that the ^{monthly} sum of Rs.
 48-12-0 has ordered
 to be resumed is the
 share of the ori-
 ginal allowance
 drawn by Gazy Shah
 and the Fakher referred
 to in the preceding
 paragraphs. Notwith-
 standing the order
 this Fakher, Zoh Shah,

* 22 April 1856.
 No. 29.

* G.O. 25 August 1856.
 No. 893.

see para 6. above

518-15-9	Gazy Shah
74-2-3	Zoh Shah
<hr/>	
12	593-2-0
	49-6-10

(a different man from
 the brother of Gazy
 Shah who was also
 called Zoh Shah) con-
 tinued to draw his
 allowance of annas 3-3
 per den or 74 Rs odd
 per annum until his
 decease in January
 1863, and then only did
 it come to notice that
 there was an order
 under which it sh^d
 have been stopped
 altogether. Had the
 circumstances of
 the case been known
 in 1856, his share w^d
 doubtless have been
 exempted from re-
 sumption during his
 life. The Board recom-
 mend that the order
 of 25 August 1856
 be reconsidered in
 so far as it affects
 the allowance paid
 to the late Zoh Shah.
 There can be no ob-
 jection to this ~~amendment~~
 if it is thought nece-
sary. but at this time
 of day is it necessary
 that formal sanction

should be accorded for
payments made in
former years.

11. The Board's other
recommendation is that
a life allowance of Rs 3
per mensem be granted
to Pacha Bee widow of
the late Zoke Shah, from
the date of his decease.
The Collector's reasons
for proposing this are
given in para 10 of
his letter of 22 Oct 1863.
I don't quite see the
force of them. He seems
to be under the impres-
sion that the widow
of Shouke Shah, referred
to by him, got a pension.
Had she obtained one
I don't see what that
we have to do with this
case. But the order
of 25 August 1856 merely
allowed the continu-
ance of a pension
which had no connection
with this particular
charitable allow-
ance. However there
is this similarity
that both were de-
stitute. Will the
Government sanc-
tion the allowance

Yes, pro forma or the
Civil Auditor must
retrench.

1. 2. half the allow-
ance which was
ordered & made in
1838.

I am not sure whether the sanction
of the Govt of India will not be
necessary if the grant is de-
termined upon.
and if I am
not likely to save
him it -
I have sanctioned it
not asked for pp.

proposed?
yes -

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 25th November 1863.

Read the following letter from J. I. MINCHIN, Esq., Collector of Kurnool, to R. A. DALYELL,
Esq., Sub-Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated 22nd October 1863, No. 168 :-

1. I have the honor to report on the Petition of Pacha Bee, No. 2,182 of 1863.
2. After the assumption of the District one Gazy Shah, who had received a certain allowance from the Nawab for distribution to the poor, and for his own support, was transferred to the Yeomiah List, and on his decease, Government in their Order of the 25th August 1856, No. 893, continued a portion of the Yeomiah to his widows, but directed that the allowance of Rupees 48-12-0, paid monthly to the late Gazy Shah for distribution to the poor, should cease.
3. In the year 1842, Mr. Bayley, then Commissioner, found that a portion of the allowance of Rupees 48-12-0 made to Gazy Shah, had been always enjoyed by Zoke Shah, the husband of the Petitioner, and directed that a daily allowance of Annas 3-3 should be paid out of that sum to the said Zoke Shah.
4. This Zoke Shah is a different individual to the Shouke Shah, brother of Gazy Shah, to whose widow also a Yeomiah was granted in the Government Order above quoted.
5. From the time of Mr. Bayley's Order of 4th December 1842, until his decease in January 1863, the allowance of Annas 3-3 daily was paid to Zoke Shah, although the Government Order of the 25th August 1856, No. 893, had directed that the allowance, from which this sum was paid, should be stopped.
6. The case of Zoke Shah was brought to the notice of Government in paragraph 10 of Mr. Scott's letter dated 25th October 1843, this person being the Fakere there alluded to, as enjoying one-eighth of Gazy Shah's allowance. This fact was again brought to notice in Mr. Phillips's letter to the Board dated 24th May 1843, but no Orders of Government on the subject were ever received.
7. When the Order of Government dated 25th August 1856, No. 893, directing the allowance of Rupees 48-12-0 to be stopped, was received in the Office of the Officiating Agent, the case of Zoke Shah, who was supported out of that allowance, should again have been brought forward, but such does not appear to have been the case; the Order of Government stopping the allowance was by an oversight not acted upon, and the usual payment was continued to be made to Zoke Shah.
8. On the death of Zoke Shah, in January of this year, the case came before me for the first time. So much time had elapsed that it was difficult to obtain any information about it, and the similarity of the names Zoke Shah and Shouke Shah added to the complications which it seemed at first impossible to unravel.
9. It was clear only that the payment of the allowance to Zoke Shah, from the date of the Government Order dated 25th August 1856, No. 893, had been unauthorized, and I refused therefore to entertain the Petition of his widow Pacha Bee for a continuance of a portion of the same for her support.
10. Upon a further consideration of the case I am of opinion that the Petitioner should not suffer for an error committed in the Office of the Agent. Her husband, the Fakere Zoke Shah, was equally entitled with Gazy Shah and Shouke Shah to maintenance out of the allowance as reported by the Commissioners, Messrs. Scott and Phillips, and there is no reason why the precedent afforded in the case of Shouke Shah's widow should not be now acted upon.
11. The Petitioner Pacha Bee is destitute and has one widowed daughter. I beg therefore to recommend that an allowance of Rupees 3 monthly be granted to her for life, from the date of her husband's death.

Enclosure No. 1. - Petition.

Submitted to Government with reference to their Deputy Secretary's Endorsement dated 5th September 1863. The Board support the Collector's recommendation; and, adverting to the circumstances reported by him, solicit a re-consideration of the Orders of Government, as conveyed in Extract from Minutes of Consultation under date 25th August 1856, No. 893, in so far as those Orders affect the allowance paid to the late Zoke Shah.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

R. A. Dalzell
Sub-Secretary.

To J. D. Sim, Esq., Secretary to Government, R. D.
Copy to J. I. Minchin, Esq., Collector of Kurnool.
Exd. J. Wright.

25 Aug 1864 No. 26-27

Rev 1864 Department
of Indian Affairs

No. 1279/64

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE

Dated 25th November 1863.

8th Dec 27-80
Rev 1864 May 1864

No. 7,455.

Submitting the report of the Collector of Kur-
nool on Petition No. 2,182 of 1863 seeking the
continuance of a certain allowance to Petitioner.

at 305 20 May

W. H. C. B. 2

888
No. 888.
The Government have care-
fully considered the circum-
stances of the case, but do
not find sufficient cause for
recommending to the Govt of
India that the pension be
granted by the Board and
reassigned to Oachabee.
The Special sanction
be at any time required by the
Civil Department for payment
made by the Civil, it will
be given on application.
The following is the
2182 of 1863.
The Govt have considered
"Petitioner's request but as it
cannot be complied with it
is refused."

23rd May 1864