

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 766	Press Mis.
32.33	
Dated	3-5-191864

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
3rd May 1864.*

No. 32. Read the following letter from W. T. BLAIR, Esq., Acting Inám Commissioner, to J. D. SIM, Esq., Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, Fort Saint George, dated Coimbatore, 22nd April 1864, No. 25 :—

SIR,

1. I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of the Proceedings of Government, dated 8th instant, No. 597, on the subject of the treatment of excesses in Inám lands.

2. From these, I understand, (1) that whole Inám villages whether in *Government Talooks* or *Zemindaries* are to be treated in their entirety, where no reason exists to suspect encroachments, where the boundaries are well defined, and where the village has been held at its present area from time immemorial; (2) that excess in minor Ináms in Government Talooks is to be treated under the Rule of the Secretary of State in unsurveyed and under the 10 per cent. allowance Rule in surveyed Districts.

3. I do not, however, find any specific order as to the manner in which excess in minor Ináms in Zemindaries is to be dealt with.

4. It had been at first *proposed* by Mr. Taylor and approved by the Court of Wards in the case of the Parlakimidy Zemindary, that half of the excess should be charged with full assessment and carried to the credit of the Zemindar. This was

* See Board's Proceedings, dated 11th January 1864, No. 158.

not, however, as supposed by the Board,* in accordance with the Rule of the Secretary of State, but a compromise suggested by Mr. Taylor, the propriety of which, on re-consideration, he saw reason to doubt.

5. The application of the Rule of the Secretary of State to the case of minor Ináms in Zemindaries is obviously impracticable, as the interests of a third party, viz., the Zemindar, are involved, and there have been no recent measurements of lands in general from which data could be obtained for the purposes of settlement.

6. I request to know whether the Government approve of the course proposed by Mr. Taylor in the last paragraph of his letter† for the treatment of excess in minor Ináms in Zemindaries, viz., to treat it as part of the original Inám, where it is admitted by the Zemindar and there is no proof of actual encroachment. I may add that the settlement of minor Inám in Zemindaries in the Districts of Masulipatam, Rajahmundry and elsewhere, was made on this principle, during the early period of the Commission.

7. An early answer is solicited, as without it I am unable to pass out of my Office several thousands of Title Deeds which have accumulated while the general question of excess was under consideration.

No. 33. ORDER THEREON, 3rd May 1864, No. 766.

Under the circumstances of the case, the plan proposed in paragraph 6 of the foregoing letter, may, with propriety be adopted.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) J. D. SIM,

Secretary to Government.

To the Court of Wards.
,, the Inám Commissioner.

Exd. S. T. Augustin.

[illegible]

Dr. H. A. Linn
Commissioner

To: Mr. Abernethy

to the South
of the Cape
of Good Hope

auth'd
1872 25:

April 1864

1875

Equally, with reference
to the Proceedings of Cominit
of the S^d District, no objection
is to the manner in which
order in future shall be
maintained as to the death
with a

Ms. A. 9.2 v. 23.

Georgina Gordon

Ms. A.9.2.6.3.8.9

Theresa Owen

Biggs

Under the circumstances
of the case, the plan proposed
is part of the foregoing
letter may, with propriety
be adopted.

John W. Lloyd
30 May 1862.

14 Charles Street and of Bristol Street
11 - - - - - Lane Cemetery

5

22