M.R.O. 14—13,000—27-9-34.

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue **DEPARTMENT.**

G.O. No. 537 Press ~~M.S.~~

8-9

Dated 1. 4. ~~19~~ 1864

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department, 1st April 1864.

No. 8. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 17th March 1864, No. 1,658 :—

Read the following letter from the Acting Collector of Madras, dated Saidapet, 22nd February 1864, No. 84.

Received 27th January 1864.
Returned 22nd February 1864.

1. With reference to the Board's Endorsement on Petition No. 331 of 1864, I have the honor to submit the following report.

2. The Petitioners are the Salt manufacturers of Pianur and Kadumbadi; they request that the money collected from them for the excavation of the branch channel connecting their pans with the southern canal, may be refunded to them as their pans are now abolished under the Orders of Government dated 19th September 1863, No. 1,725.

3. These channels were excavated at the expense and with the consent of the manufacturere for carrying their Salt to the Depôt at Home's Garden, and were used only during one Fusly. The total cost of the excavation is Rupees 1,874-4-6, of which Rupees 1,112-2-10 were recovered from the Kudivarum due to the manufacturers for Salt manufactured and stored; there is thus a balance of Rupees 762-1-8 still due by them.

4. Owing to the abolition of these pans, the Ryots have not received the advantages they anticipated; they have suffered great loss by the abolition of the manufacture; and as we have thus virtually cancelled the compact, it seems only just that we should refund the money we have already realized from them, and no longer retain against them the balance of Rupees 726-1-8 still due according to the agreement then drawn up. I have already pointed out that to give them new pans in the other localities, where the dittum is so restricted, would be leading them to entertain hopes never likely to be realized.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.—Petition.

1. The Board resolve to submit the above letter together with the Petition for the favorable consideration of His Excellency in Council. The Board are of opinion that Petitioners are clearly entitled to a refund of any outlay they may have been induced to incur, and that the balance standing against them as an advance should be written off the accounts. The amount of their outlay was it appears Rupees 1,112-2-10.

2. The Acting Collector should have stated from what provision in the Budget he proposes to meet the charge, and he will now be requested to supply the omission.

No. 9. ORDER THEREON, 1st April 1864, No. 537.
Sanctioned.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) J. D. SIM,
Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.
„ Accountant General.
„ Civil Paymaster.

Exd. S. T. Augustin.

No. 1,658.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 17th March 1864.

Read the following letter from the Acting Collector of Madras, dated Saidapet, 22nd February 1864, No. 84:—

Received 27th January 1864.
Returned 22nd February 1864.

With reference to the Board's Endorsement on Petition No. 331 of 1864, I have the honor to submit the following report.

2. The Petitioners are the Salt manufacturers of Pianur and Kadumbadi; they request that the money collected from them for the excavation of the branch channel connecting their pans with the southern canal, may be refunded to them as their pans are now abolished under the Orders of Government dated 19th September 1863, No. 1,725.

3. These channels were excavated at the expense and with the consent of the manufacturers for carrying their salt to the Depot at Home's garden, and were used only during one Fasli. The total cost of the excavation is Rupees 1,874-1-6, of which Rupees 1,112-2-10 were recovered from the Kudivarum due to the manufacturers for salt manufactured and stored; there is thus a balance of Rupees 762-1-8 still due by them.

4. Owing to the abolition of these pans, the Ryots have not received the advantages they anticipated; they have suffered great loss by the abolition of the manufacture; and as we have thus virtually cancelled the compact, it seems only just that we should refund the money we have already realized from them, and no longer retain against them the balance of Rupees 726-1-8 still due according to the agreement then drawn up. I have already pointed out that to give them new pans in the other localities, where the dittum is so restricted, would be leading them to entertain hopes never likely to be realized.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.—Petition.

1. The Board resolve to submit the above letter together with the Petition for the favorable consideration of His Excellency in Council. The Board are of opinion that Petitioners are clearly entitled to a refund of any outlay they may have been induced to incur, and that the balance standing against them as an advance should be written off the accounts. The amount of their outlay was it appears Rupees 1,112-2-10.

2. The Acting Collector should have stated from what provision in the Budget he proposes to meet the charge, and he will now be requested to supply the omission.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

Sub-Secretary.

To J. D. Sim, Esq., Secretary to Government, R. D., with a Petition.
Copy to G. Banbury, Esq., Acting Collector of Madras.

Exd. J. Wright.

Rec. 19 Sep. 64. No. 258-262

Rev. 1864. Department.
Pro. of Govt. for Appl.

No. 726.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE.

Dated 17th March 1864.

Dup. 19 do
Rec. 21 do
C1-03
Pro. D. of Rev. 29th April 64
C.R. 982 ... do.

No. 1,658.

Submitting with the Board's remarks letter from the Acting Collector of Madras reporting on Petition No. 331 of 1864, presented by the Salt Manufactures of Pianur and Kadumbadi.

Sanctioned.

1st Sept. 64

No. 849.

C 98 March 64 R 30