

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No.	466	Press
		~~Mis.~~
	207.208	
Dated	17. 3 -	191864

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
17th March 1864.*

No. 207. Read the following letter from R. W. LODWICK, Esq., Civil Paymaster, to J. D. SIM, Esq., Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, dated Fort Saint George, 24th February 1864, No. 49:—

SIR,

1. I have the honor, with reference to Government Resolution No. 322, dated 23rd instant, to state that in my opinion Mr. Blair's request should not be complied with, without a reference being made to the Supreme Government, inasmuch as the Rule he quotes does not strictly apply to the case under notice.

2. A local Government can undoubtedly dispose of the full sanctioned amount of a *vacant* appointment by giving the same to a temporary incumbent; but the Inám Commissionership was not vacant when Mr. Taylor was in Calcutta. It was Mr. Taylor's substantive appointment all the time, and the Civil Paymaster, Calcutta, has passed the 2,500 Rupees to the debit of Madras. Hence, it is incorrect to state that "the permanent appointment was to all intents and purposes vacant."

3. Mr. Blair would seem to admit this in a previous letter to Government, *vide* Government Resolution No. 1,800, dated 1st October last.

No. 208. ORDER THEREON, 17th March 1864, No. 466.

Under the circumstances stated in this report, the Government are unable to accede to the request made by Mr. Blair in his letter of 18th February 1864, No. 9.
(True Extract.)

(Signed) J. D. SIM,
Secretary to Government.

To the Acting Inám Commissioner.
„ Civil Paymaster.
„ Board of Revenue.

Exd. S. T. Augustin.

No. 49.

FORT SAINT GEORGE,
CIVIL PAYMASTER'S OFFICE

February 24 1864

From

THE CIVIL PAYMASTER,

Fort Saint George.

To

THE SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT

Madras.

DEPARTMENT

Sir,

I have the honor with reference to Resolution No. 32 dated 23rd instant 1864, to state that in my opinion Mr. Blair's request should not be complied with without a reference being made to the Supreme Government inasmuch as the rule he quotes does not strictly apply to the case under notice -

1. A local Government can undoubtedly dispose of the full sanctioned amount of a vacant appointment by giving the same to a temporary incumbent but the Man Commissionership was not vacant when Mr. Taylor was in Calcutta - It was Mr. Taylor's substantive appointment at the time & the Civil Paymaster Calcutta has passed the 2500 Rs. to the debit of the advance. It is incorrect to state that the permanent appointee was to all intents & purposes vacant -

2. Mr. Blair would even to admit this in a previous letter to Govt vide Govt Resol. No 1800 dated 1st October last -

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant,

W. L. Smith

Civil Paymaster.

I do not see how more than
5000 allowance can be paid
to Mr. Blair while acting for
Mr. Taylor absent on temporary duty - certainly not
700

