M.R.O. 14—13,000—27-9-24.

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue **DEPARTMENT.**

G.O. No. 277 Press ~~Mis.~~

227.228

Dated 13. 2. ~~19~~1864

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department, 13th February 1864.

No. 227. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 3rd February 1864:—

Read the following letter from G. BANBURY, Esq., Acting Collector of Madras, to the Honorable C. PELLY, Member of the Board of Revenue, dated Saidapet, 26th January 1864, No. 2.

SIR,

1. I have the honor to submit for your favorable consideration copy of a letter received from the Salt Deputy Collector, Mr. Smith, forwarding an application from Chinnasawmy Pillai, the Superintendent of the Madras Cotaur, for arrears of pay, and recommending that sanction may be obtained for the payment of the same.

2. The usefulness of this Officer was already brought to your notice by my predecessor, Mr. Cunliffe, when recommending that the Superintendent's pay may be raised at an early date to Rupees 100, as intended by Government in their Proceedings of the 27th April 1860. But they, in their Order of 6th May 1863, communicated from your Office in No. 1,531 of the 12th idem, declined to give retrospective effect to the higher rate, as they expected that the new scale in which the Madras Superintendent's pay was to be Rupees 150 per mensem, would come into force from the 1st May 1863.

3. The revised scheme having come into operation only from the current month, Chinnasawmy Pillai prays that the difference (Rupees 520*) as per margin of the salary proposed in your scale and finally sanctioned, and that actually drawn by him from the 1st May 1863, the date on which the Government expected the new scale would come into force, may be paid to him.

	RS.	A.	P.
* Proposed pay at 150 Rupees per mensem from May to December 1863	1,200	0	0
Pay drawn at 85 Rupees per mensem ..	680	0	0
Difference claimed..	520	0	0

4. The importance of the duties entrusted to the Superintendent, the manner in which he has performed them, and the high testimony of the superiors under whom he has served, render his case one deserving of your favorable consideration. I have further to bring to your notice that Rungasawmy Iyengar, the Deputy Collector of Madras, when in charge of the Salt Department last year for three months during Mr. Smith's absence on leave, represented at the expiration of this period the great assistance he had received from Chinnasawmy Pillay, and thus expresses his opinion regarding the salary then received:—"His (Chinnasawmy Pillai's) works as Superintendent at the Madras Cotaur require his attendance from 6 A. M. to 6 P. M. every day. He is disposing of the several claims or other Petitions referred to him without delay or cause to dissatisfaction to the parties, but I am sorry to see him ill-paid for his laborious works."

5. The necessary provision was made in the Budget to meet the expected demand, and I beg to support Mr. Smith's application that Chinnasawmy Pillay may receive the arrears of salary from May last.

Transferred to the Board of Revenue.

Revenue Board Office,
29th January 1864.

(Signed) C. PELLY, *Member.*

Ordered to be submitted to Government with the Board's recommendation that the proposed payment in arrears from the commencement of the current official year be sanctioned as a special case in recognition of the Superintendent's valuable services.

No. 228. ORDER THEREON, 13th February 1864, No. 277.

1. In their Order of the 6th May 1863, No. 778, Government declined to sanction retrospectively the higher rate of salary proposed for the Superintendent of the Madras Depôt, as the proposed increase, viz., from Rupees 42 to Rupees 150, was liberal, and sanction had been requested

from the 1st idem. The sanction of the Supreme Government was not received until December last, but it appears from the Superintendent's Petition enclosed with papers above recorded that in February 1863 he was appointed Superintendent of Homes' Garden Depôt, the pay of which is Rupees 85 per mensem.

2. The former pay of the Superintendent of the Madras Depôt, viz., Rupees 42 per mensem, was undoubtedly inadequate, but as Chinnasawmy ceased to perform the duties of that office in February 1863, and the salary of Rupees 85 is fully adequate for the duties of the office which he performed from that date, the Government cannot comply with the recommendation of the Board and the Collector. They are glad to receive the Board's assurance of his continued good conduct. He will of course draw the salary of Rupees 150 from the date on which he assumed the office of Superintendent at the Madras Depôt.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) J. D. SIM,
Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.
" Civil Paymaster.

Exd. S. T. Augustin.

Revenue Department

No. 277

Proceedings of the Madras Government

Under date the 13th

the Superintendent of the

Madras Depôt as the

proceed

from the 1st idem. The sanction of the Supreme Government was not received until December last, but it appears from the Superintendent's Petition enclosed with papers above recorded that in February 1863 he was appointed Superintendent of Homes' Garden Depôt, the pay of which is Rupees 85 per mensem.

2. The former pay of the Superintendent of the Madras Depôt, viz., Rupees 42 per mensem,

Pro-27 April/60 N 132-133
6 May/63 - 51-52
29 April/63 277-279
8 December/61 - 127-130

Rev. 1864 Oct. 11th
Pro. of Govt. for 3d February
W. 325

Proceedings of the
Board of Revenue

Read
Recd 3d
Recd 2d
} February 1864
E. 20 Cs Rep.

Sent 277 18 Feby

No

Submitting letter from the Acting Collector of Madras recommending that sanction may be obtained for payment of arrears of salary to Chinnasawmy Pillai the late Superintendent at the Madras Cotton.

No 227.

8 Feby 64 Revn.

Exd. S. T. Augustin.

Revenue Department

No. 277.

Proceedings of the Madras Government

Under date the 13th February 1864

Read the following

Proceedings of the Board

of Revenue.

Submitting letter from the Acting Collector of Madras recommending that sanction may be obtained for payment of arrears of salary to Chinnasawmy Pillai the late Superintendent at the Madras Cotton.

(Here enter 3d February 1864)

In their order of the 6th May 1863 No. 778 Government declined to sanction retrospectively the higher rate of salary proposed for the Superintendent of the Madras Depôt as the proposal

proposed increase viz from
Rs. 150 to Rs. 180 as an Honorable
and sanction [illegible] mensem
mer pay
[illegible] from the 1st idem
the sanction of the Supreme
Government was not re
ceived until December
last- but it appears from
the Superintendents Petn
enclosed with papers above
recorded that in February
1863 he was appointed
Superintendent of Homes Gar-
den Depôt, the pay of
which is Rs. 85 per mensem.

The former pay
of the Superintendent of
the Madras Depôt viz.
Rs. 40 per mensem was
undoubtedly

W

[illegible] office in February
1863 and the salary of Rs. 85
is fully adequate for the duties
of the office which he per-
formed from that date, the
Govt cannot comply with the
recommendation of the Board
and the Collector.

They are glad to receive
the Board's assurance of his
continued good conduct. He
will of course draw the salary
of Rs. 150 from the date on
which he assumed the office
of Superintendent at the
Madras Depôt.

I. R.

11 Copies Letter B. of Rev. Dated 15th Feby
with 2 Enclos
3 — Civil Paymasters

Revenue 1864 Dep.t
Pro: of Gov.t for Feb.y

No. 277

Pro: of the Madras Govt.
Dy 13th Feb.y 1864.

Rd by Rev.y Dep.t 16th Feb.y
C.A 1201

Salary of Supt.
of Madras Salt Depôt
to be fixed at the
increased rate from
the date of his assump-
tion of Office.