M.R.O. 14—18,000—27-9-34

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue **DEPARTMENT.**

G.O. No. 2100 Press ~~Mis.~~

191-192

Dated 16-11-1864.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

No. 191. Read the following letter from F. LUSHINGTON, Esq., Deputy Auditor and Accountant General, Madras, to J. D. SIM, Esq., Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, dated Fort Saint George, 26th October 1864, No. 145:—

SIR,

1. In a letter dated the 22nd instant, No. 4,138, the Post Master General, Madras, has brought to my notice that with reference to G. O. No. 2,023 of the 10th November last, no provision was made in the Budget Estimate of his Department for the years 1863-64 and 1864-65 on account of charges of the District Postal Establishment debitable to the Postal Department. Colonel Pears has further requested this Department to issue instructions to one of the Collectors,* who has applied to him for funds to discharge the Pay Abstract of his District Post Establishment, to incur such charges from the amount provided in the Budget Estimate of the Revenue Department of the current year.

* South Canara.

2. I do not think that the order referred to by the Post Master General, and, under which he says he made no provision in the Budget of his Department, did actually contemplate that the charges debitable to "Post Office Imperial" should be borne by the Revenue Department; as the letter of Mr. Pelly, however, read in the above order refers to "*entire* District Post Establishments," I have the honor to request the orders of Government before disposing of the reference made by the Post Master General.

No. 192. ORDER THEREON, 16th November 1864, No. 2,100.

Miscellaneous.

1. The Accountant General is correct in his interpretation of the Order of Government dated 10th November 1863, No. 2,023. The Post Master General said that he intended providing in the Budget of his Department "for the cost of the entire District Post Establishments," and he was informed in reply by the order alluded to "that the course *hitherto* followed is to be observed" pending the receipt of the orders of the Government of India, meaning thereby that the Revenue and Postal Departments were to provide for the Establishments employed under them respectively.

2. The Government do not, however, see any necessity for a separate provision for the Establishments alluded to by Colonel Pears. They are included in the revised District Dâk Establishment recently sanctioned by the Government of India; and were provided for in the Budget. The item, or rather so much of it as exceeded the previous charge, was struck out, and was not re-entered when the revised Establishment was subsequently sanctioned. The sum of Rupees 55,000, the transfer of which from "B I. Land Revenue" to "B IV. Miscellaneous" was suggested in the Accountant General's letter, dated 23rd August 1864, No. 104, will apparently cover these Establishments also. The Civil Paymaster will pass the charges under paragraph 2 of the Orders of Government, dated 15th instant, No. 2,087.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) J. D. SIM,
Secretary to Government.

To the Accountant General.
" the Civil Paymaster.
" the Post Master General.
" the Board of Rev.

Exd. S. T. Augustin.

...vernments and he was informed

Revenue Department.

4

Revenue Department.

No. 2100.

Proceedings of the Madras Government.

Under date the 16th November 1864.

Read the following letter from the Deputy Auditor and Accountant General, Madras.

Regarding the relative to provision not being made in the Budget Estimate of the Post Master Generals Department for the year 1863-64 & 64-65 on account of charges of the District Postal Establishment debitable to the Postal Depart.

(Here enter 26th October 1864. No. 145

The Accountant General is correct in his interpretation of the Order of Government dated 10th November 1863, No. 2023. The Post Master General said that the intended providing in the Budget of this Department for the cost of the entire District Post Establishments" and he was informed

in

Revd
Bd 1864 Depth.
Proc: of Govt for Revn.
No. 2802.

Pro: 10th November 1863 No. 122 & 123
" 8 July " " 138 - 139.
" 8 June " " 177 - 178.

From.

The Dy Audr & Accts Genl
Madras

To

The Secretary to Govt
Revenue Depth

Dated 26th Octr 1864.
Recd 27th

S. Order 2100. 16 Nov

No.

Regarding Orders relative to provision not being made in the Budget Estimate of the Post Master Generals Depth for the year 1863-64 & 64-65 on account of Charges of the District Postal Establishment debitable to the Postal Department

No. 191.

11 Nov 1864 Pro

in reply by the order alluded to "that the course hitherto followed is to be observed" pending the receipt of the orders of the Government of India, meaning thereby that the Revenue and Postal Departments were to provide for the establishments employed under them respectively

2. The Government do not however see any necessity for a separate provision for the establishments alluded to by Colonel Pears: They are revised included in the District Dâk establishment recently sanctioned by the Government of India and the sum of Rs. ^ and here provided for in the Budget. The item Rs 2 a the 60 head of it as exceeded the previous charge was struck out & was 2 St re-entered when the revised est: was subsequently sanctioned.

Rs 55,000, the transfer of which from "B I. Land Revenue" to "B IX. Miscellaneous" was suggested in the Accountant General's letter dated 23d August 1864, No. 104, will apparently cover these establishments also. The Civil Paymaster will pass the charges under para 2 of the order of Government dated 15th August — No. 2087 —

3/10/62

3 Acct. Genl. Sept. 17 Nov
3 Civil Paymaster
2 Post Master Genl.
1a Board of Revs

00/4

Revenue 1864 Dept.
Pro: of Govt. for Nov.

No. 2100.

Pro: of the Madras Govt.
D/16. Nov./64.

Observing that the Accountant General's interpretation of the order of Govt. relative to District Postal establishment, is correct.

No. 191 & 192.