M.R.O. 14—18,000—27-9-24

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue **DEPARTMENT.**

G.O. No. 1967 Press ~~Mis.~~

3(6. 311

Dated 25-10-1864.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department, 25th October 1864.

No. 310. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 23rd September 1864, No. 6,278.

Read again Board's Proceedings, dated 18th July 1864, No. 4,458.

Read also the following letter from C. W. READE, Esq., Collector of South Arcot, to W. HUDLESTON, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Cuddalore, 12th September 1864, No. 175:—

1. In reference to the Board's Proceedings, No. 4,458, dated 18th July last, reviewing my report on the Manawari lands of this District, and requiring further information on certain points noticed in paragraphs 10 and 11, I have the honor to state that, on re-consideration, I am of opinion with the Board that it will be a fairer arrangement to classify the lands irrigated by picottahs from wells as Punjah. The lands in question bear no analogy with the Nunjah Tottum in Trichinopoly referred to by the Board; and considering the great labour required in their irrigation by the use of the picottah, which needs the manual labour ordinarily of at least three men, and the uncertain supply of water at the period when it is often most needed, the rates proposed by me would appear excessive; and accordingly I have had prepared a Statement (A) transferring the said lands to Punjah, and in fixing the rates, I have been guided by the same principle which regulated the transfer of the unirrigated Manawari lands to Punjah assessment.

2. This arrangement will involve a loss, as shown in the margin, to the extent of Rupees 459-12-2. To this Rupees 400-12-8 and Rupees 2,826-11-9, the sums respectively to be remitted under the heads irrigated and unirrigated Manawari lands, be added, the total loss is brought up to Rupees 3,687-4-7 (*vide* paragraphs 6 and 12 of my former letter.)

	C.	Rs.	A.	P.
Present extent with Punjah Teerwah as shown in paragraph 11 of my former report	181 12 2	1,218	11	11
Punjah Teerwah as now proposed		758	15	9
Difference		459	12	2

3. I will not now enter into the reasons which influenced me in making my first proposals, as I am very willing to yield the point, and in view of the interests and the undoubted relief to the Ryots in the present arrangement, I am quite prepared to yield to the Board's judgment; at the same time I may remark that these picottah lands strictly fall under the category of Manawari and Yerruppapatada mentioned in paragraph 36 of Mr. Maltby's report to the Board, under date the 17th December 1853, and the entire of these lands received a remission of ten per cent. on the subsequent revision of assessment in addition to the general reduction of twenty-five per cent. granted by Mr. Ravinshaw by reason of the disadvantages of irrigation under which they laboured.

4. The accompanying Statement (B) gives the necessary details showing how the relief on the actual cultivation has been apportioned between the irrigated cultivation, the irrigable dry cultivation, and the unirrigable dry cultivation.

5. The Board will observe that there is a loss of Rupees 3,209-15-10 on the actual cultivation, and of Rupees 477-4-9 on the unoccupied waste, or a total of Rupees 3,687-4-7 as given below:—

Irrigation	Cultivation, Decrease			751	11 10
	Waste, Increase			350	15 2
	Net Decrease ...			400	12 8
Picottah	Cultivation, Decrease			282	5 2
	Waste, Decrease			177	7 0
	Total Decrease ...			459	12 2
Unirrigable ...	Cultivation, Decrease			2,175	14 10
	Waste, Decrease			650	12 11
	Total Decrease ...			2,826	11 9
Total as above..	Cultivation, Decrease			3,209	15 10
	Waste, Decrease			477	4 9
				3,687	4 7

6. The increase of Rupees 350-15-2 in the waste under the head irrigated Manawari lands, arose from the comparison having been made between the present assessment of waste excluding Kasser and the formerly proposed assessment.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.

A.—STATEMENT *showing the Nunjah assessment as entered in Column* 8 *of Statement B accompanied with a letter to Board, dated* 23*rd October* 1863, *and the Punjah Teerwah now proposed in lieu for Manawari lands watered by large Picottahs in the District of South Arcot.*

ITEMS.	Tarum or class.	Total extent of Manawari lands watered by large picottahs.	Present Nunjah net assessment as entered in Column 8 of Statement B, submitted to Board with a letter dated 23rd October 1863.	Punjah assessment as now proposed according to the Teerwah rates entered in Column 11, Statement C, accompanied with a letter to Board, dated 23rd October 1863.	
		C. A. P.	RS. A. P.	Tarums.	RS. A. P.
Manawari lands watered by large picottahs	8	0 8 0	5 5 3	2	704 2 5
	9	34 6 3	325 1 7		
	10	33 15 2	282 6 5		
	11	21 10 0	153 3 3		
	12	40 2 3	237 10 5		
	13	32 9 2	154 1 6		
	...	163 4 2	1,157 12 5	...	
	14	14 7 2	51 6 4	4	46 12 4
	15	4 0 2	9 9 2	7	8 1 0
Total ...	...	181 12 2	1,218 11 11	...	758 15 9

Decrease. 459 12 2

(Signed) C. W. READE, *Collector.*

ENCLOSURE No. 2.

B.—STATEMENT *showing how the amount of relief on the actual cultivation is distributed between the irrigated cultivation, the irrigable dry cultivation, and the unirrigable dry cultivation of Manawari lands in the District of South Arcot.*

ITEMS.	Total extent of Manawari lands as entered in Column 2 of Enclosure A in a letter to Board, dated 23rd October 1863.	Total of the present assessment entered in Column 9 of Enclosure A.	PARTICULARS OF THE PROPOSED ASSESSMENT. Amount of full Nunjah assessment fixed for irrigated Manawari lands at the revised rates.		Amount of modified Punjah assessment for unirrigated Manawari and Picottah lands at the revised rates.		COMPARISON BETWEEN COLUMNS 3 AND 6. Increase.		Decrease.	
1	2	3	4		5		6		8	
	C. A. P.	RS. A. P.	RS. A. P.		RS. A. P.		RS. A. P.		RS. A. P.	
Irrigated Manawari lands. — Manawari lands brought under cultivation as per settlement of Fusly 1272	2,918 0 2	21,801 4 0	21,049 8 2		.....		.....		751 11 10	
Irrigated Manawari lands. — Waste	470 15 0	2,873 2 11	3,224 2 1		.....		350 15 2		.....	
Irrigated Manawari lands. — Total ...	3,388 15 2	24,674 6 11	24,273 10 3		.....		.....		Net Decrease. 400 12 8	
Manawari lands watered by picottah. — Manawari lands brought under cultivation as per settlement of Fusly 1272	115 4 2	766 2 11	.....		483 13 9		.....		282 5 2	
Manawari lands watered by picottah. — Waste	66 8 0	452 9 0	.....		275 2 0		.....		177 7 0	
Manawari lands watered by picottah. — Total ...	181 12 2	1,218 11 11	.....		758 15 9		.....		459 12 2	
Unirrigated Manawari lands. — Manawari lands brought under cultivation as per settlement of Fusly 1272	1,095 15 3	6,765 6 7	.....		4,589 7 9		.....		2,175 14 10	
Unirrigated Manawari lands. — Waste	338 7 0	2,029 6 2	.....		1,378 9 3		.....		650 12 11	
Unirrigated Manawari lands. — Total ...	1,434 6 3	8,794 12 9	.....		5,968 1 0		.....		2,826 11 9	
Total ... — Cultivation	4,129 4 3	29,332 13 6	21,049 8 2		5,073 5 6		26,122 13 8		3,209 15 10	
Total ... — Waste	875 14 0	5,355 2 1	3,224 2 1		1,653 11 3		4,877 13 4		477 4 9	
Grand Total...	5,005 2 3	34,687 15 7	24,273 10 3		6,727 0 9		31,000 11 0		3,687 4 7	

(Signed) C. W. READE, *Collector.*

6. The increase of Rupees 350-15-2 in the waste under the head irrigated Manawari lands, arose from the comparison having been made between the present assessment of waste excluding Kasser and the formerly proposed assessment.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.

A.—STATEMENT *showing the Nunjah assessment as entered in Column* 8 *of Statement B accompanied with a letter to Board, dated* 23*rd October* 1863, *and the Punjah Teerwah now proposed in lieu for Manawari lands watered by large Picottahs in the District of South Arcot.*

ITEMS.	Tarum or class.	Total extent of Manawari lands watered by large picottahs.	Present Nunjah net assessment as entered in Column 8 of Statement B, submitted to Board with a letter dated 23rd October 1863.	Punjah assessment as now proposed according to the Teerwah rates entered in Column 11, Statement C, accompanied with a letter to Board, dated 23rd October 1863.		
		C. A. P.	RS. A. P.	Tarums.	RS. A. P.	
Manawari lands watered by large picottahs	8	0 8 0	5 5 3	2	704 2 5	
	9	34 6 3	325 1 7			
	10	33 15 2	282 6 5			
	11	21 10 0	153 3 3			
	12	40 2 3	237 10 5			
	13	32 9 2	154 1 6			
	...	163 4 2	1,157 12 5			
	14	14 7 2	51 6 4	4	46 12 4	
	15	4 0 2	9 9 2	7	8 1 0	
Total ...	...	181 12 2	1,218 11 11	...	758 15 9	Decrease. 459 12 2

(Signed) C. W. READE, *Collector.*

ENCLOSURE No. 2.

B.—STATEMENT *showing how the amount of relief on the actual cultivation is distributed between the irrigated cultivation, the irrigable dry cultivation, and the unirrigable dry cultivation of Manawari lands in the District of South Arcot.*

ITEMS.	Total extent of Manawari lands as entered in Column 2 of Enclosure A in a letter to Board, dated 23rd October 1863.	Total of the present assessment entered in Column 9 of Enclosure A.	PARTICULARS OF THE PROPOSED ASSESSMENT.			COMPARISON BETWEEN COLUMNS 3 AND 6.	
			Amount of full Nunjah assessment fixed for irrigated Manawari lands at the revised rates.	Total.	Amount of modified Punjah assessment for unirrigated Manawari and Picottah lands at the revised rates.	Increase.	Decrease.
1	2	3	4	6	5	7	8
	C. A. P.	RS. A. P.	RS. A. P.	RS. A. P.	RS. A. P.	RS. A. P.	RS. A. P.
Irrigated Manawari lands. — Manawari lands brought under cultivation as per settlement of Fusly 1272	2,918 0 2	21,801 4 0	21,049 8 2	21,049 8 2	.....	.....	751 11 10
Irrigated Manawari lands. — Waste	470 15 0	2,873 2 11	3,224 2 1	3,224 2 1	.....	350 15 2	.....
Total ...	3,388 15 2	24,674 6 11	24,273 10 3	24,273 10 3	.....	.....	Net Decrease. 400 12 8
Manawari lands watered by large picottahs. — Manawari lands brought under cultivation as per settlement of Fusly 1272	115 4 2	766 2 11	.....	483 13 9	483 13 9	.....	282 5 2
Manawari lands watered by large picottahs. — Waste	66 8 0	452 9 0	.....	275 2 0	275 2 0	.....	177 7 0
Total ...	181 12 2	1,218 11 11	.....	758 15 9	758 15 9	.....	459 12 2
Unirrigated Manawari lands. — Manawari lands brought under cultivation as per settlement of Fusly 1272	1,095 15 3	6,765 6 7	.....	4,589 7 9	4,589 7 9	.....	2,175 14 10
Unirrigated Manawari lands. — Waste	338 7 0	2,029 6 2	.....	1,378 9 3	1,378 9 3	.....	650 12 11
Total ...	1,434 6 3	8,794 12 9	.....	5,968 1 0	5,968 1 0	.....	2,826 11 9
Total — Cultivation	4,129 4 3	29,332 13 6	26,122 13 8	26,122 13 8	5,073 5 6	.....	3,209 15 10
Total ... — Waste	875 14 0	5,355 2 1	4,877 13 4	4,877 13 4	1,653 11 3	.....	477 4 9
Grand Total...	5,005 2 3	34,687 15 7	31,000 11 0	31,000 11 0	6,727 0 9	.....	3,687 4 7

(Signed) C. W. READE, *Collector.*

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department, 25th October 1864.

1. Ordered that the above papers be submitted for the information of Government, with reference to their Proceedings, dated 9th July 1861, No. 1,375, Revenue Department, calling for a report of the financial results of the measures of relief sanctioned by them for the Manawari lands of South Arcot.

2. The Board trust that the Government will approve of the course adopted at the Board's suggestion in regard to the Picottah lands, which, while maintaining a principle of the highest importance, adds but a trifling amount of some Rupees 373 to the remission of taxation.

3. The general result is shown below in abstract, the apparent increase in the tax, on the irrigable waste being nominal, in consequence of the comparison being instituted with the former assessment *exclusive of the Kasser* which would have been charged on it had it been brought under wet cultivation, at the rate of one-third of the original assessment, so that this land is really relieved to the extent of the difference between (Rupees 8,797 ÷ 3 =) Rupees 2,932 and Rupees 1,517, or of Rupees 1,415 :—

Items.	Former assessment.	Present assessment.	Difference.
	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Area irrigated in Fusly 1272	15,877	13,959	— 1,918
Do. irrigable and classed accordingly ...	8,797	10,314	+ 1,517
Total real Nunjah...	24,674	24,273	— 401
Picottah lands now classed as Punjah ...	1,219	759	— 460
Unirrigable Manawari do. ...	8,795	5,968	— 2,827
Total including fractions ...	34,688	31,000	— 3,688
Cultivation of Fusly 1272	29,332	26,122	— 3,210
Waste do.	5,356	4,878	— 478

4. Thus the total remission amounts to Rupees 3,688, of which Rupees 3,210 falls to the cultivation area of Fusly 1272, and Rupees 478 to the waste, and the bulk of the whole, or Rupees 3,287 is the remission given to the Manawari land, which is incapable of irrigation from any Government source.

5. The Statement in paragraph 5 of the Collector's last letter shows how the relief is distributed between the cultivated and waste portion of each class Nunjah, well lands, and Punjah. It will be observed that while the amount relinquished on the cultivated area is Rupees 3,210, the revised assessment on the waste is Rupees 4,878, so that it may reasonably be hoped that the loss of revenue will be ere long made good by extension of cultivation.

No. 311. ORDER THEREON, 25th October 1864, No. 1,967.

Land Revenue.

1. The Government confirm the arrangements effected by the Board and the Collector for carrying out their orders for the transfer of Manawari lands to the heads of Nunjah and Punjah, according to the possession or want of means of irrigation for Government sources.

2. The Government cannot admit the validity of Mr. Reade's excuse for the excessive delay which has occurred in the submission of this report.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) J. D. SIM,
Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.

Exd. T. O'Connor.

No. 4,458.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 18th July 1864.

Read again the following letters from the Collector of South Arcot, dated Cuddalore, 23rd October 1863, No. 287 :—

1. Adverting to the 6th paragraph of the Order of Government dated 9th July 1861, No. 1,375, communicated with the Proceedings of the Board dated 12th July 1861, No. 3,700, I have the honor to submit three Statements, the first, marked A, showing in abstract the present and proposed assessment of Nunjah Manawary lands; the second, B, showing the Turumwar thereof; and the third, C, showing the present and proposed rates of assessment on them.

To Board 19th January 1861, No. 10.
Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, 11th May 1861, No. 2,406.

2. As the nature and mode of assessing this description of land are before the Board (vide correspondence marginally noted), further comment seems uncalled for.

3. From the subjoined abstract, the Board will observe that the total extent of Manawary lands in this District amounts to C. 5,005, A. 2, P. 3, of which the extent irrigated aggregates C. 3,388, A. 15, P. 2, and the extent watered by artificial means, such as by large picottahs, &c., is C. 181, A. 12, P. 2, and the portion unirrigated is C. 1,434, A. 6, P. 3.

	C.	A.	P.
I. Total extent of irrigated Manawary lands as entered in Column 2 of Statement A...	3,388	15	2
II. Manawary lands watered by large Picottahs	181	12	2
III. Unirrigated Manawary lands...	1,434	6	3
Total...	5,005	2	3

4. I will now endeavour to explain the principles which have been followed in fixing the proposed assessment on these three classes of lands.

5. The irrigated Manawary lands have been brought into the corresponding regular Nunjah Tarums of the District, and are assessed with the modified Nunjah rates* settled in 1854. This has resulted in a net decrease of the present assessment to the amount of Rupees 400-12-8, as follows :—

1. Irrigated Manawary land.
* Vide Columns 2, 9, and 10 of Statement C.

	C.	A.	P.
The present assessment, as entered in Column 9 of Statement A.	24,674	6	11
Proposed assessment as entered in Column 10 of Statement A.	24,273	10	3
Decrease...	400	12	8

6. In applying the modified Nunjah rates to the irrigated Manawary lands, as already explained, the actual loss in the assessment will be Rupees 1,917-13-10 on the extent of land brought to Jumma in Fasli 1272. If from this sum be deducted the increase of Rupees 1,517-1-2, as particularized in the annexed Statement, in the assessment of the lands situated under the influence of tanks, channels, &c., but the water of which has not been availed of by the Ryots from fear of the additional water cess, the net loss will only be Rupees 400-12-8.

	C.	A.	P.
Manawary lands under irrigation as per settlement of Fasli 1272	1,948	9	0
	15,877	6	3
Present assessment on them	13,959	8	5
Proposed assessment			
Decrease...	1,917	13	10
Lands situated under the influence of tanks, channels, &c., the water of which has not been availed by the Ryots	1,440	6	2

	RS.	A.	P.
Present assessment	8,797	0	8
Proposed do	10,314	1	10
Increase	1,517	1	2
Net Decrease	400	12	8

7. The increase of 1,517-1-2 is nominal, arising from the comparison of the present (excluding the water cess) and proposed assessment.

8. If the present assessment, *plus* the one-third, is compared with that of the modified Nunjah Teerwah now proposed, a decrease amounting to Rupees 1,415-4-5 will be the result as exhibited in the annexed Statement :—

	RS.	A.	P.
Present assessment on lands situated under the influence of channels, tanks, &c., the water of which has not been availed by the Ryots, *vide* Column 7 of Statement A.	8,797	0	8
Add one-third assessment for the supply of water from the Government source	2,932	5	7
Total...	11,729	6	3
Proposed assessment, *vide* Column 10 of Statement A.	10,314	1	10
Decrease...	1,415	4	5

9. This arrangement relieves the Ryots inasmuch as the grant of annual remissions will eventually become unnecessary, as well as all inquiry in regard to irrigation and the use of Government water.

10. The extent of this description of land is only C. 181, A. 12, P. 2. It is hardly fair to bring these lands into corresponding regular Nunjah Tarums, for under this arrangement the Ryots will have to pay more than they do at present. In view to their interests which are identical, I presume, with those of the Government, I propose to reduce the Teerwah rates as follows.

2.- Manawary lands watered by large Picottahs.

11. Lands under the present Tarums it is proposed to bring to the next lowest Tarums or grade, such lands to be assessed on that Tarum with the corresponding rates of the modified Nunjah Teerwah. This arrangement will result in a decrease of Rupees 86-5-5 on the Jumma of this class of land, as exhibited below :—

Vide Columns 2, 9, and 10 of Statement C.

	RS.	A.	P.
Present assessment as exhibited in Column 7 of Statement A.	1,218	11	11
Proposed assessment, as exhibited in Column 10 of the same Statement ...	1,132	6	6
Decrease...	86	5	5

12. Of this class there is C. 1,434, A. 6, P. 3. These lands command no Government water. The Government, in paragraph 3 of their Order under acknowledgment, express a desire to an additional water cess when irrigated from a Government source; and accordingly the Manawary lands under 8th, 9th, 10th, 11th, 12th, and 13th Tarums, whose present assessment exceeds that of Punjah, first quality, have been so treated as directed. Regarding those which come under the 14th, 15th, and 16th Tarums, these bear a lower assessment than that fixed on Punjah first quality, and therefore they have been respectively reduced to 4th, 7th, and 9th Punjah Tarums. the assessment of which is a little less than exists at present. The extent of this class of land being somewhat considerable, there is necessarily a perceptible decrease of Rupees 2,826-11-9 as exhibited in the subjoined figures :—

3. Unirrigated Manawary lands.

Tarums.	Present assessment. RS.	A.	P.	Proposed. Tarum.	RS.	A.	P.
8	10	10	0				
9	9	7	2				
10	8	4	4	2	4	5	0
11	7	1	5				
12	5	14	6				
13	4	11	7				
14	3	8	8	4	3	4	0
15	2	5	10	7	2	0	0
16	1	2	11	9	1	1	0
Present assessment as per Column 7 of Statement A.					8,794	12	9
Proposed as per Column 11 of that Statement... ...					5,968	1	0
Decrease... ...					2,826	11	9

13. The Ryots are accustomed to raise only Punjah produce on these lands from their situation, which does not admit of irrigation, and this has influenced me to make the reduction in their classification as above explained.

14. In regard to the instructions contained in the 4th paragraph of the Government Order, every encouragement has been held out to the Ryots to agree to a suitable fixed Nunjah assessment instead of variable Punjah rates, but they are universally opposed to the proposal, but in all cases, where Government sources of irrigation are availed of, the usual additional water cess will be chargeable, an arrangement which appears more consonant with the peoples' wishes and feelings.

15. The financial result of the foregoing changes is given below :—

	C.	A.	P.	Present assessment. RS.	A.	P.	Proposed assessment. RS.	A.	P.	Decrease. RS.	A.	P.
Cultivated extent as included in settlement of Fasli 1272	4,129	4	3	29,332	13	6	26,383	7	9	2,949	5	9
Unoccupied waste...	875	14	0	5,355	2	1	4,990	10	0	364	8	1
Total...	5,005	2	3	34,687	15	7	31,374	1	9	3,313	13	10

There will be a loss of Rupees 2,949-5-9 on the extent of land actually cultivated in Fasli 1272, and Rupees 364-8-1 on the unoccupied waste portion, making a total of Rupees 3,313-13-10. But the loss of Teerwah on the cultivated area will, I presume, be made up by the speedy extension of cultivation of that portion still lying waste, which bears about 17½ per cent. on the total extent, *vide* the following figures :—

	RS.	A.	P.
Total extent...	5,005	2	3
Cultivation in Fasli 1272	4,129	4	3
Waste ...	875	14	0
Per centage ...		17½	

16. Should this arrangement be sanctioned, the practice of granting yearly remissions at the annual settlement at the rate of 35 per cent. will altogether cease, and the preparation of the settlement accounts will be much facilitated.

17. I beg to add that a difference of C. 211, A. 5, P. 2, will be found in the figures entered in Statement No. I submitted to the Board with my predecessor's letter No. 10, dated 19th January 1861, and that entered in the present Abstract Statement A. This is owing to C. 18, A. 2 of Manawary land, which belonged to two villages transferred from the late Chaitput Taluk of this District to North Arcot, and also to C. 193, A. 3, P. 2, transferred from our Ain accounts to Inam consequent on their selection as rent-free lands, having been excluded from the present Statement, while both their extents were included in the Statement No. I sent by the late Collector prior to the above arrangement which has occasioned the difference.

	C.	A.	P.
Extent as entered in the Statement No. I sent with the late Collector's letter...	5,216	8	1
Do. entered in Column 2 of the present Statement A..	5,005	2	3
Difference...	211	5	2

18. The labour and care required in the preparation of the accounts necessary to arrive at the foregoing classification and transfer of these Manawary lands must be my apology for the delay in submitting the information sought by Government.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.—A.

ABSTRACT STATEMENT showing the present and proposed Assessment of Nunjah Manawary lands in the District of South Arcot.

ITEMS.	Extent of Manawary lands as entered in the Pymash.	PARTICULARS OF PRESENT ASSESSMENT.						
		Amount of Teervah as per Hulus Settlement.	DEDUCT			Net Assessment.	Add water cess at ½ of the teerwa.	Total.
			Remission at 25 per cent.	A further remission at 10 per cent.	Total remission.			
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
	C. AS. P.	RS. A. P.	RS. A. P.	RS. A. P.	RS. A. P.	RS. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	RS. A. P.
Irrigated Manawary lands. 1. Irrigated lands as per settlement of Fasli 1272	1,948 9 0	17,624 5 0	4,402 13 6	1,319 1 8	5,721 15 2	11,902 5 10	3,975 0 5	15,877 6 3
Irrigated Manawary lands. 2. Lands situated under the influence of Tanks, Channels, Anicuts, &c., the water of which has not been availed of by the Ryots to these lands in consequence of the imposition of an additional assessment on them but are now classed as irrigated at the request of the Ryots.	1,440 6 2	13,027 0 3	3,254 3 1	975 12 6	4,229 15 7	8,797 0 8	... ...	8,797 0 8
Total irrigated lands...	3,388 15 2	30,651 5 3	7,657 0 7	2,294 14 2	9,951 14 9	20,699 6 6	3,975 0 5	24,674 6 11
Manawary lands watered by Pacotta	181 12 2	1,802 3 5	449 2 10	134 4 8	583 7 6	1,218 11 11	... ...	1,218 11 11
Unirrigated Manawary lands...	1,434 6 3	13,023 7 11	3,259 15 9	968 11 5	4,228 11 2	8,794 12 9	... ...	8,794 12 9
Total...	5,005 2 3	45,477 0 7	11,366 3 2	3,397 14 3	14,764 1 5	30,712 15 2	3,975 0 5	34,687 15 7
Particulars for the above.								
Cultivation as per Settlement of Fasli 1272...	4,129 4 3	37,538 14 7	9,377 11 2	2,803 6 4	12,181 1 6	25,357 13 1	3,975 0 5	29,332 13 6
Waste	875 14 0	7,938 2 0	1,988 8 0	594 7 11	2,582 15 11	5,355 2 1	... ...	5,355 2 1
Total...	5,005 2 3	45,477 0 7	11,366 3 2	3,397 14 3	14,764 1 5	30,712 15 2	3,975 0 5	34,687 15 7

Abstract Statement showing the present and proposed Assessment, &c. (*Concluded*)

ITEMS.	PARTICULARS OF THE PROPOSED ASSESSMENT.			COMPARISON BETWEEN COLUMNS 9 and 12.	
	Amount of full Nunjah Assessment fixed for irrigated Manawary and Pacotta lands at the revised rates as exhibited in column 10 of Statement C.	Amount of modified Punjah Assessment for unirrigated Manawary lands at the revised rates as exhibited in Column 11 of Statement C.	Total.	Increase.	Decrease.
	10	11	12	13	14
	RS. A. P	RS. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	RS. A. P.	RS. A. P.
Irrigated Manawary lands. 1. Irrigated lands as per Settlement of Fasli 1272.....	13,959 8 5	... ...	13,959 8 5	... ...	1,917 13 10
Irrigated Manawary lands. 2. Lands situated under the influence of Tanks, Channels, Anicuts, &c., the water of which has not been availed of by the Ryots to these lands in consequence of the imposition of an additional assessment on them but are now classed as irrigated at the request of the Ryots...	10,314 1 10	... ...	10,314 1 10	1,517 1 2	... ...
Total irrigated lands...	24,273 10 3	... .	24,273 10 3	1,517 1 2	1,917 13 10
				Net Decrease.	400 12 8
Manawary lands watered by Pacotta	1,132 6 6	... ...	1,132 6 6	... .	86 5 5
Unirrigated Manawary lands...	... ...	5,968 1 0	5,968 1 0	... ...	2,826 11 9
Total...	25,406 0 9	5,968 1 0	31,374 1 9	.. ..	3,313 13 10
Particulars for the above.					
Cultivation as per settlement of Fasli 1272...	21,745 9 9	4,637 14 0	26,383 7 9	... ...	2,949 5 9
Waste...	3,660 7 0	1,330 3 0	4,990 10 0	... ...	364 8 1
Total...	25,406 0 9	5,968 1 0	31,374 1 9	... ...	3,313 13 10

(Signed) C. W. READE, Collector.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.—A.

ABSTRACT STATEMENT showing the present and proposed Assessment of Nunjah Manawary lands in the District of South Arcot.

ITEMS.	Extent of Manawary lands as entered in the Pymash.	PARTICULARS OF PRESENT ASSESSMENT.						
		Amount of Teervah as per Hulus Settlement.	DEDUCT			Net Assessment.	Add water cess at ¼ of the teerwa.	Total.
			Remission at 25 per cent.	A further remission at 10 per cent.	Total remission.			
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
	C. AS. P.	RS. A. P.	RS. A. P.	RS. A. P.	RS. A. P.	RS. A. P.	RS. A. P.	RS. A. P.
Irrigated Manawary lands. 1. Irrigated lands as per settlement of Fasli 1272	1,918 9 0	17,624 5 0	4,402 13 6	1,319 1 8	5,721 15 2	11,902 5 10	3,975 0 5	15,877 6 3
Irrigated Manawary lands. 2. Lands situated under the influence of Tanks, Channels, Anicuts, &c., the water of which has not been availed of by the Ryots to these lands in consequence of the imposition of an additional assessment on them but are now classed as irrigated at the request of the Ryots.	1,440 6 2	13,027 0 3	3,254 3 1	975 12 6	4,229 15 7	8,797 0 8	... ...	8,797 0 8
Total irrigated lands...	3,388 15 2	30,651 5 3	7,657 0 7	2,294 14 2	9,951 14 9	20,699 6 6	3,975 0 5	24,674 6 11
Manawary lands watered by Pacotta	181 12 2	1,802 3 5	449 2 10	134 4 8	583 7 6	1,218 11 11	... ...	1,218 11 11
Unirrigated Manawary lands...	1,434 6 3	13,023 7 11	3,259 15 9	968 11 5	4,228 11 2	8,794 12 9	... ...	8,794 12 9
Total...	5,005 2 3	45,477 0 7	11,366 3 2	3,397 14 3	14,764 1 5	30,712 15 2	3,975 0 5	34,687 15 7
Particulars for the above.								
Cultivation as per Settlement of Fasli 1272...	4,129 4 3	37,538 14 7	9,377 11 2	2,803 6 4	12,181 1 6	25,357 13 1	3,975 0 5	29,332 13 6
Waste	875 14 0	7,938 2 0	1,988 8 0	591 7 11	2,582 15 11	5,355 2 1	... ...	5,355 2 1
Total...	5,005 2 3	45,477 0 7	11,366 3 2	3,397 14 3	14,764 1 5	30,712 15 2	3,975 0 5	34,687 15 7

Abstract Statement showing the present and proposed Assessment, &c. (*Concluded*)

ITEMS.	PARTICULARS OF THE PROPOSED ASSESSMENT.			COMPARISON BETWEEN COLUMNS 9 and 12.	
	Amount of full Nunjah Assessment fixed for irrigated Manawary and Pacotta lands at the revised rates as exhibited in column 10 of Statement C.	Amount of modified Punjah Assessment for unirrigated Manawary lands at the revised rates as exhibited in Column 11 of Statement C.	Total.	Increase.	Decrease.
	10	11	12	13	14
	RS. A. P	RS. A. P.	RS. A. P.	RS. A. P.	RS. A. P.
Irrigated Manawary lands. 1. Irrigated lands as per Settlement of Fasli 1272.....	13,959 8 5	... ...	13,959 8 5	... ...	1,917 13 10
Irrigated Manawary lands. 2. Lands situated under the influence of Tanks, Channels, Anicuts, &c., the water of which has not been availed of by the Ryots to these lands in consequence of the imposition of an additional assessment on them but are now classed as irrigated at the request of the Ryots...	10,314 1 10	... ...	10,314 1 10	1,517 1 2	... ...
Total irrigated lands...	24,273 10 3	... .	24,273 10 3	1,517 1 2	1,917 13 10
				Net Decrease.	400 12 8
Manawary lands watered by Pacotta	1,132 6 6	... ...	1,132 6 6	... .	86 5 5
Unirrigated Manawary lands...	... ...	5,968 1 0	5,968 1 0	... ...	2,826 11 9
Total...	25,406 0 9	5,968 1 0	31,374 1 9	.. ..	3,313 13 10
Particulars for the above.					
Cultivation as per settlement of Fasli 1272...	21,745 9 9	4,637 14 0	26,383 7 9	... ...	2,949 5 9
Waste...	3,660 7 0	1,330 3 0	4,990 10 0	... ...	364 8 1
Total...	25,406 0 9	5,968 1 0	31,374 1 9	... ...	3,313 13 10

(Signed) C. W. READE, Collector.

ENCLO

TURMWAR ABSTRACT showing the present and proposed

ITEMS.	Ta-rum.	Extent of Nunjah Manawary lands as entered in the Pymash.	PARTICULARS OF THE Amount of Teervah as per Hulus Settlement.	Deduct. Remission at 25 per cent.	A futher remission at 10 per cent.	Total remission.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
		C. A. P.	RS. A. P.	RS. A. P.	RS. A. P.	RS. A. P.
Irrigated Manawary lands.	8	140 11 3	2,213 10 5	553 4 4	165 8 2	718 12 6
	9	247 3 2	3,461 4 5	865 3 1	259 0 10	1,124 3 11
	10	405 14 0	4,971 11 11	1,242 13 0	372 7 8	1.615 4 8
	11	552 10 0	5.802 2 2	1,450 11 6	434 11 0	1,885 6 6
	12	730 7 0	6,391 8 9	1,597 13 0	478 13 7	2.076 10 7
	13	734 12 3	5,147 5 8	1,286 11 8	385 9 0	1,672 4 8
	14	405 8 3	2,129 8 4	532 4 1	159 2 10	691 6 11
	15	133 4 0	466 7 4	111 8 0	35 1 2	146 9 2
	16	38 7 3	67 3 3	16 11 11	4 7 11	21 3 10
	Total.	3,388 15 2	3,651 5 3	7,657 0 7	2,294 14 2	9,951 14 9
Particulars for the above irrigated lands.	...	1,948 9 0	17,624 5 0	4,402 13 6	1,319 1 8	5,721 15 2
Lands situated under the influence of tanks, channels, annicuts, &c., the water of which has not been availed of by the Ryots to these lands in consequence of the imposition of an additional assessment on them.	...	1,410 6 2	13,027 0 3	3,254 3 1	975 12 6	4,229 15 7
	Total.	3,388 15 2	3,651 5 3	7,657 0 7	2,294 14 2	9,951 14 9
Lands watered by Pacotta.	8	0 8 0	7 14 0	1 15 5	0 9 4	2 8 9
	9	34 6 3	481 12 10	120 15 0	35 12 3	156 11 3
	10	33 15 2	416 2 0	102 8 3	31 3 4	133 11 7
	11	21 10 0	226 10 8	56 10 5	16 13 0	73 7 5
	Total.	55 9 2	642 12 8	159 2 8	48 0 4	207 3 0
	12	40 2 3	351 7 3	87 9 5	26 3 5	113 12 10
	13	32 9 2	228 2 5	57 0 4	17 0 7	74 0 11
	14	14 7 2	76 0 5	19 0 0	5 10 1	24 10 1
	15	4 0 2	14 1 10	3 8 0	1 0 8	4 8 8
	Total.	181 12 2	1,802 3 5	449 2 10	134 4 8	583 7 6
Unirrigated Manawary lands.	8	12 3 2	195 9 4	49 6 8	14 11 7	64 2 3
	9	104 13 1	1,468 1 7	367 8 8	110 0 2	477 8 10
	10	181 13 1	2,224 13 4	556 11 4	166 15 9	723 11 1
	11	267 7 2	2,810 6 0	703 1 6	201 15 2	905 0 8
	12	348 2 1	3,044 6 1	761 9 6	228 11 2	990 4 8
	13	373 4 0	2,610 10 0	653 2 6	195 15 2	849 1 8
	Total.	1,287 11 3	12,353 14 4	3,091 8 2	918 5 0	4,009 13 2
	14	99 5 0	521 8 10	130 14 2	39 3 7	170 1 9
	15	37 0 3	129 12 8	32 15 2	9 12 6	42 11 8
	16	10 5 1	18 4 1	4 10 3	1 6 4	6 0 7
Total,	...	1,434 6 3	13,023 7 11	3,259 15 9	968 11 5	4,228 11 2
Grand Total...	...	5,005 2 3	45,477 0 7	11,366 3 2	3,397 14 3	14,764 1 5

URE No. 2.—B.

Assessment for Nunjah Manawary lands in the Southern Division of Arcot.

PRESENT ASSESSMENT. Net assessment.	Add water cess at one-third of the Teervah.	Total.	PARTICULARS OF THE PROPOSED ASSESSMENT. Amount of Nunjah Teervah fixed for irrigated Manawary and Pacotta lands at the rates exhibited in column 10 of Statement C.		Amount of Punjah assesssment fixed for unirrigated Manawary lands at the rates exhibited in column 11 of Statement C.		Remarks.
8	9	10	11		12		13
RS. A. P.	RS. A. P.	RS. A. P.	TM.	RS. A. P.	TM.	RS. A. P.	
1,494 13 11	238 14 3	1,733 12 2	8	1,671 3 8	...	... ...	
2,337 0 6	397 15 7	2,735 0 1	9	2,625 15 3	...	... .	
3,356 7 3	570 14 4	3,927 5 7	10	3.755 10 3	...	... .	
3,917 2 8	707 13 9	4,625 0 5	11	4,686 14 7	...	... .	
4,314 14 2	805 1 5	5,119 15 7	12	5,158 10 1	...	... ...	
3,475 1 0	898 4 8	4,273 5 8	13	4,183 4 8	...	... ...	
1,438 1 5	305 3 5	1,743 4 10	14	1,718 0 6	...	... ...	
319 14 2	50 1 0	369 15 2	15	386 3 7	...	... .	
45 15 5	0 12 0	46 11 5	16	57 11 8	...	... .	
20,699 6 6	3,975 0 5	24,674 6 11	...	24,273 10 3	...	... ...	
11,902 5 10	3,975 0 5	15,877 6 3	...	13,959 8 5	...	... .	
8,797 0 8	... .	8,797 0 8	...	10,314 1 10	...		
20,699 6 6	3,975 0 5	21,674 6 11	...	24,273 10 3	...	... ...	Decrease 400 12 8
5 5 3	... ...	5 5 3	9	5 4 6	...	... ...	
325 1 7	... .	325 1 7	10	318 2 6	...	... .	
282 6 5	... ...	282 6 5	12	391 7 4	...	... ...	
150 3 3	... ...	153 3 3					
435 9 8	... .	435 9 8					
237 10 5	... ...	237 10 5	13	228 5 6	...	... ...	
154 1 6	.. ..	154 1 6	14	141 8 1	...	... ...	
51 6 4	... ...	51 6 4	15	41 9 7	...	... .	
9 9 2	... ...	9 9 2	16	6 1 0	...	... ...	
1,218 11 11	... .	1,218 11 11	...	1,132 6 6	...	... ...	Do. 86 5 3
131 7 1	... ...	131 7 1					
990 8 9	... ...	990 8 9					
1,501 2 3	... ...	1,501 2 3	...	... ...	2	5,560 7 0	
1,905 5 4	... ...	1,905 5 4					
2,054 1 5	... ...	2,054 1 5					
1,761 8 4	... ...	1,761 8 4					
8,344 1 2	... ...	8,344 1 2	...	... ...	...	... .	
351 7 1	... ...	351 7 1	...	... .	4	322 7 5	
87 1 0	... ...	87 1 0	...	... ...	7	74 3 0	
12 3 6	... ...	12 3 6	...	... ...	9	10 15 7	
8,794 12 9	... ...	8,794 12 9	...	... ...	...	5,968 1 0	Do. 2,826 11 9
30,712 15 2	3,975 0 5	34,687 15 7	...	25,406 0 9	...	5,968 1 0	Do. 3,313 13 10

(Signed) C. W. READE, Collector.

ENCLOSURE No. 3.—C

STATEMENT showing the present and proposed rates of Assessment for Nunjah Manawary lands in the District of South Arcot.

ITEMS.	Turums.	PRESENT ASSESSMENT PER CAWNY. Amount of Teerwa as per Hulus Settlement.	Remission at 25 per cent.	Dencr: A further Remission at 10 per cent.	Dencr: Total Remission.	Net Assessment.	Add water cess at one-third of the Teerwa.	Total.	PARTICULARS OF THE PROPOSED ASSESSMENT PER CAWNY. Rate of Assessment per Cawny fixed for irrigated Manawary and Pacotta lands at the rates sanctioned by Government for other Nunjah Turumwar Ayacut lands of the District.	Rate of Assessment per Cawny fixed for unirrigated Manawary lands at the rates sanctioned by Government 28th September 1859 for Turumwar Punjah lands of the District.
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
		RS. A. P.	RS. A. P.	RS. A. P.	RS. A. P.	RS. A. P.	RS. A. P.	RS. A. P.	Taram.	RS. A. P.	RS. A. P.
Irrigated Manawary Lands.	8	15 12 0	3 15 0	1 2 11	5 1 11	10 10 1	.....	10 10 1	8	11 14 0	.....
	9	14 0 0	3 8 0	1 0 10	4 8 10	9 7 2	.....	9 7 2	9	10 9 0	.....
	10	12 4 0	3 1 0	0 14 8	3 15 8	8 4 4	.....	8 4 4	10	9 4 0	.....
	11	10 8 0	2 10 0	0 12 7	3 6 7	7 1 5	.....	7 1 5	11	8 8 0	.....
	12	8 12 0	2 3 0	0 10 6	2 13 6	5 14 6	.....	5 14 6	12	7 1 0	.....
	13	7 0 0	1 12 0	0 8 5	2 4 5	4 11 7	.....	4 11 7	13	5 11 0	.....
	14	5 4 0	1 5 0	0 6 4	1 11 4	3 8 8	.....	3 8 8	14	4 5 0	.....
	15	3 8 0	0 14 0	0 4 2	1 2 2	2 5 10	.....	2 5 10	15	2 14 0	.....
	16	1 12 0	0 7 0	0 2 1	0 9 1	1 2 11	.....	1 2 11	16	1 8 0	.....
Lands watered by Pacotta.	8	15 12 0	3 15 0	1 2 11	5 1 11	10 10 1	.....	10 10 1	8	.....	.....
	9	14 0 0	3 8 0	1 0 10	4 8 10	9 7 2	.....	9 7 2	9	.....	.....
	10	12 4 0	3 1 0	0 14 8	3 15 8	8 4 4	.....	8 4 4	10	.....	.....
	11	10 8 0	2 10 0	0 12 7	3 6 7	7 1 5	.....	7 1 5	11	.....	.....
	12	8 12 0	2 3 0	0 10 6	2 13 6	5 14 6	.....	5 14 6	12	.....	.....
	13	7 0 0	1 12 0	0 8 5	2 4 5	4 11 7	.....	4 11 7	13	.....	.....
	14	5 4 0	1 5 0	0 6 4	1 11 4	3 8 8	.....	3 8 8	14	.....	.....
	15	3 8 0	0 14 0	0 4 2	1 2 2	2 5 10	.....	2 5 10	15	.....	.....
	16	1 12 0	0 7 0	0 2 1	0 9 1	1 2 11	.....	1 2 11	16	.....	.....
Unirrigated Manawary lands.	8	15 12 0	3 15 0	1 2 11	5 1 11	10 10 1	.....	10 10 1	8	2	4 5 0
	9	14 0 0	3 8 0	1 0 10	4 8 10	9 7 2	.....	9 7 2	9	.....	.....
	10	12 4 0	3 1 0	0 14 8	3 15 8	8 4 4	.....	8 4 4	10	.....	.....
	11	10 8 0	2 10 0	0 12 7	3 6 7	7 1 5	.....	7 1 5	11	.....	.....
	12	8 12 0	2 3 0	0 10 6	2 13 6	5 14 6	.....	5 14 6	12	.....	.....
	13	7 0 0	1 12 0	0 8 5	2 4 5	4 11 7	.....	4 11 7	13	.....	.....
	14	5 4 0	1 5 0	0 6 4	1 11 4	3 8 8	.....	3 8 8	14	4	3 4 0
	15	3 8 0	0 14 0	0 4 2	1 2 2	2 5 10	.....	2 5 10	15	7	2 0 0
	16	1 12 0	0 7 0	0 2 1	0 9 1	1 2 11	.....	1 2 11	16	9	1 1 0

(Signed) C. W. READE, Collector.

1. In Government Order dated 9th July 1861, No. 1,375, Revenue Department, the Government directed that the following arrangement should be at once carried out in regard to the Manavari lands of South Arcot.

(1.) That the area actually irrigated from Government sources should be classed as Nunjah, and assessed accordingly at the revised rate for land of similar quality in the same village.

(2.) That the remaining land, which has no regular command of water from Government works, should be entered as Punjah, and generally as of the first quality, and that an additional water cess should be levied when irrigation is received from any Government source.

2. The Collector was directed to carry out these orders, and to report the financial result.

3. From the papers now submitted it appears that the total area concerned measures Kanis 5,005, divided as follows :—

	K.	
Actually irrigated as per settlement of Fasli 1272...	1,949	
Actually of being irrigated and classed accordingly at the request of the Ryots though not hitherto watered...	1,440	
	3,389	Now classed as Nunjah.
	182	
Pacotta lands	1,434	do. Punjah.
Unirrigable...		
	5,005	Including fractions.
Cultivation as per settlement of Fasli 1272.	4,129	
Waste...	876	
	5,005	

4. The result of the revision of the assessment is shown below :—

ITEMS.	Former assessment.	Present assessment.	Difference
	RS.	RS.	RS.
Area actually irrigated in Fasli 1272...	15,877	13,959	— 1,918
Area irrigable and now classed accordingly	8,797	10,314	+ 1,517
	24,674	24,273	— 401
	1,219	1,132	— 86
Pacotta lands...	8,795	5,968	— 2,827
Unirrigable, now classed as Punjah...			
	34,688	31,374	— 3,314
Total. Including fractions...			
	29,332	26,383	— 2,949
Cultivation of Fasli 1272	5,356	4,991	— 365
Waste...			
Total... ...	34,688	31,374	— 3,314

5. Thus the general result is a reduction of Rupees 3,314 in the assessment on these Kanis 5,005 of land, of which relief Rupees 2,949 falls to the cultivated area of Fasli 1272, and Rupees 365 to the waste.

6. On the land actually irrigated and in occupation the relief amounts to Rupees 1,918, while on the remaining irrigable land there is an apparent increase of Rupees 1,517, which is however due to the fact that the former assessment with which comparison is made does not really exhibit what would have been charged on it under the former system had it been brought under *wet* cultivation.

7. An addition to the assessment of one-third would in that case have been charged as Tirwajasti, so that the increase now apparent is really only nominal, and the land cultivated as Nunjah will be more lightly assessed than under the old system. The present addition of Rupees 1,517 to the original assessment would have been Rupees 2,932 under the old rules in the form of Tirwajasti.

8. In the Pacotta lands there is a trifling reduction of Rupees 86, by the adoption of the established Nunjah rates.

9. The unirrigable portion of the Manavari land, which will now be classed as Punjah, receives a relief of Rupees 2,827.

10. The Collector does not give the details necessary to show how the relief on the actual cultivation is distributed between the irrigated cultivation, the irrigable dry cultivation, and the unirrigable dry cultivation.

11. Mr. Reade would seem to have carried out the orders of Government as intended, but before submitting his report of results for the information of Government, the Board require further information as to the precise nature of the artificial irrigation under which the so-called "Pacotta lands" are cultivated. They observe that Mr. Reade speaks of them as "watered by artificial means such as large Picottahs," and he proposes to class them as Nunjah at the varying rates sanctioned in 1854, which for the lands concerned ranges from Rupees 10-9-0, to Rupees 1-3-0 per *Kani*, not more than four *Kanis* coming under the last rate. The whole area is certainly small, but the Board wish to feel assured that the principle of charging well lands as Punjah has not been abandoned. Exceptional cases do exist, justifying the occasional retention of well lands as Nunjah of which instances occur in the Trichinopoly District, but the old practice of assuming that all wells belong to Government for which unquestionable evidence of private proprietary right is not forthcoming is decidedly disapproved by the Board, and is not upheld by Government at the present day.

NOTE.—The highest Punjah rate or special rate for Totacal in the South Arcot District is Rupees 7-1-0 per Kani for *old* garden only.

12. The Board consider that it may safely be assumed that all wells are private property unless proof can be shown to the contrary, and lands irrigated from private wells should be classed as Punjah.

13. The Board presume that the lands now in question are those designated "Yerapa-puttadu" in paragraph 36 of Mr. Maltby's Report, dated 17th December 1853, and included with the Manavari lands to which his proposals would afford little or no relief, and if they are identical with the "Nunjah Totum" lands of the Trichinopoly District, which Mr. Puckle states to be "equal if not superior to ordinary wet land under tank irrigation, for it is supplied "from large square wells some 30 or 40 feet in diameter, and capable of yielding an unlimited "supply of water from springs near the surface of the ground," then if the right of Government to levy a Nunjah rate from the lands is generally recognised, as in Trichinopoly, on the ground that no private proprietary right exists in them, or has ever existed so far as even tradition can show, the Board will not object to their being dealt with in the manner proposed.

14. In regard to the unirrigable Manavari land now classed as Punjah, the Board observe that a satisfactory measure of relief will be afforded. Some 1,287 Kanis of land now charged with Rupees 8,344 at rates varying from Rupees 10-10-1 to Rupees 4-11-7, are now assessed with Rupees 5,560, at the highest rate in the District for Punjah proper, viz., Rupees 4-5-0 per Kani. Trifling relief to the extent of about Rupees 40 is given to a further area of about 146 Kanis, which is already assessed at rates lower than the highest Punjah rate, and have been brought to the nearest rate corresponding with their previous assessment.

15. These arrangements appear in accordance with the Government orders and it may be hoped will produce beneficial results.

(True Copies and Extract.)

(Signed) W. HUDLESTON,
Secretary.

To C. W. Reade, Esq., Collector of South Arcot.

Copy to R. E. Master, Esq., Officiating Director of Revenue Settlement.

Exd. J. Wright.

Encl in the Pro of Same
of Rec to the Govt
R. D. D dtd 23 Sept 64 No
6278-

1864. Department.

No.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE,

Rev-2566/64.

Dated 18th July 1864.

No. 4,453.

Reviewing the report on the Manavari lands and requiring further information on one or two points.

Rog July 1861 No 11, 1-11/2

Rev. 1864. Department.
Revs. of Govt for Acts.

No. 2566.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE.

Dated 23rd September 1864.
Dep 28 do
Rec. 29th —
Cl.

No. 6,278.

Submitting, with copy of Board's Proceedings dated 18th July 1864, No 4458 letter from the Collector of South Arcot reporting the financial results of the measures of relief sanctioned for the Manawari lands of the district.

No 310 & 311.

No 1967. 25 Oct

With an Order

Copies sent to Mr Ogilvie & Mr Boyd 25th Oct

Cert for Rly

Nov 1967.

The Govt. confirm the arrangement detailed by the Bd & the Collr for carrying out their orders for the transfer of Manawari lands & the heads of Nungah & Penjah, according to the possession or want of means of irrigation for Govt sources.

The Govt cannot admit the validity of Mr Reade's excuse for the excessive delay which has occurred in the Report of this report

Fwd to St George 25th Oct 1864

L. D. S.