M.R.O. 14—13,000—27-6-24

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue **DEPARTMENT.**

G.O. No. 1960 Press ~~Mis.~~

296.297

Dated 24-10-1864.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department, 24th October 1864.

No. 296. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 12th September 1864, No. 5,958.

Read the following letters:—

From G. S. FORBES, Esq., Collector of Ganjam, to W. HUDLESTON, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Chetterpoor, 28th February 1863, No. 31.

With reference to the Board's Proceedings, No. 354 of the 20th ultimo, I have the honor to state that under orders of Government, dated the 3rd August 1861, No. 1,550, communicated with the Board's Proceedings of the 8th idem, No. 4,286, an annual compensation of Rupees (60) sixty is allowed to the Proprietress of Teakally, being the assessment of a piece of land situated around the platform of * * * of the Nowpadah Salt works, measuring Acres 14, Coontas 24, which was withdrawn from cultivation for the convenience of salt traders and their cattle. The annual Peishcush payable by the Proprietress is Rupees 49,148, subject to the deduction of the above-mentioned sum of Rupees 60.

From D. F. CARMICHAEL, Esq., Acting Collector and Agent to the Governor, Vizagapatam, to W. HUDLESTON, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated 7th March 1863, No. 15.

1. I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of Miscellaneous Circular, No. V, dated 20th ultimo, and in reply to forward herewith a Memorandum showing the present Peishcush, and the amount of compensation to be deducted therefrom on account of lands taken up for roads, &c., and the net amount of Peishcush to be collected in future on certain Estates in this District.

2. During the past two years the sums entered in Columns 5, 6, 7 respectively were paid by the Public Works Department and the Military Paymaster under instructions of Government.*

* From District Engineer, Vizagapatam, No. 1,664, dated 17th April 1862.
Accountant General's Circular, No. 1,846, dated 26th January 1860.

3. The gross amount of compensation to be given annually is Rupees 6,128-7-0.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.

ABSTRACT.—Memorandum showing the present Peishcush, the amount to be deducted therefrom on account of compensation for Moturpha abolished, and lands taken up for roads and cantonments in the District of Vizagapatam.

From A. PURVIS, Esq., Collector of the Godavery District, to W. HUDLESTON, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Dracharum, 24th February 1863, No. 39.

ABSTRACT.—Stating with reference to the Board's Miscellaneous Circular of the 20th ultimo, that there are no cases of annual payments by Government on account of compensation due to the holders of Proprietary Estates paying revenue to Government.

From E. B. FOORD, Esq., Acting Collector of the Kistna District, to W. HUDLESTON, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Repally, 2nd February 1863, No. 22.

1. With reference to the Board's Miscellaneous Circular, No. V, dated 20th ultimo, I have the honor to state for the information of the Board that there are no cases in this District, of annual payments by Government, on account of compensation due to the holders of proprietary estates paying revenue to Government.

2. The only item remaining to be deducted from the Peishcush of proprietary estates is Moturpha remission, for which the sanction of Government is pending, as stated in the Board's Proceedings of the 20th instant, No. 320.

From J. W. B. DYKES, Esq., Collector of Nellore, to W. HUDLESTON, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated 29th May 1863, No. 165.

In reply to Board's Miscellaneous Circular, No. V, bearing date the 20th January last, and Official Memorandum, No. 329, dated 21st May, I have the honor to state that there are no cases in this District of annual payments on account of compensation due to holders of estates paying revenue to Government.

From A. WEDDERBURN, Esq., Collector of Cuddapah, to W. HUDLESTON, Esq, Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Kadiri, 20th February 1863, No. 21.

With reference to Miscellaneous Circular of the Board of Revenue, dated 20th January 1863, No. V, I have the honor to report that there are no annual payments by Government in this District, on account of compensation due to the holders of proprietary estates paying revenue to Government.

Board No. 354.

From A. HATHAWAY, Esq., Collector of Bellary, to W. HUDLESTON, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Anantapur, 4th February 1863, No. 21.

ABSTRACT.—Stating that no payments of the description alluded to in his Miscellaneous Circular letter, No. 354, of the 20th ultimo, are made in this District.

From J. I. MINCHIN, Esq., Collector of Kurnool, to W. HUDLESTON, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Nagaloor, 29th January 1863, No. 15.

With reference to your Miscellaneous Circular, No. V, Board No. 354 of the 20th instant, I have the honor to state that there are in this District no holders of proprietary estates paying revenue to Government.

From the Honorable R. S. ELLIS, C. B., Acting Collector of Madras, to W. HUDLESTON, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Sydapet, 30th March 1863, No. 104.

With reference to the Board's Miscellaneous Circular, No. V, dated 20th January last, I have the honor to annex a Memorandum showing the Peishcush of proprietary estates, the origin and amount of compensation deducted from it, and the net amount of Peishcush payable by the proprietors.

	Peishcush.			Compensations deducted.			Net amount at present paid by the proprietors.			
	Rs.	A.	P.	Rs.	A.	P.	Rs.	A.	P.	
Palaveram	1,178	12	11	531	6	9	647	6	2	These compensations were granted for lands taken for the Cantonment of Saint Thomas' Mount. The net Peishcush has already been sanctioned by Government —Vide Proceedings 28th November 1862, No. 2,502.
Pomel.....	157	5	8	157	5	8	...	...	...	
Malamananipadu and Vadacaput.	316	15	2	351	13	7	9	1	7	

From J. R. FRASER, Esq., Acting Collector of North Arcot, to W. HUDLESTON, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Palikondah, 23rd February 1863, No. 24.

ABSTRACT.—In reply to Board's Miscellaneous Circular, No. V, Board No. 354, dated 20th January 1863, states that no payments of the kind therein referred to are being made in the District.

From C. W. READE, Esq., Collector of South Arcot, to W. HUDLESTON, Esq, Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Cuddalore, 27th January 1863, No. 18.

In acknowledgment of your Miscellaneous Circular, No. V, dated 20th instant, calling upon me to report whether there are any cases of annual payments by Government on account of

compensation due to the holders of proprietary estates paying revenue to Government, &c., &c., I have the honor to report that there are no cases of the kind in my District.

From G. L. MORRIS, Esq., Acting Collector of Tanjore, to W. HUDLESTON, Esq, Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Vellum, 31st January 1863, No. 36.

With reference to the Board's Miscellaneous Circular, dated the 20th instant, No. V, I have the honor to report that there are no cases in this District of annual payments by Government, on account of compensation due to the holders of proprietary estates paying revenue to Government.

From Æ. R. McDONELL, Esq., Officiating Collector of Trichinopoly, to W. HUDLESTON, Esq., Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated 17th December 1860, No. 180.

1. In reply to the Board's Circular, No. 5,822, dated the 12th, and received by me on the 15th instant, I have the honor to state that in no Zemindaries or Muttahs of the District is the Moturpha tax levied by the Proprietors themselves, either by right or permission. The right of levying this tax is vested in the Government, and the accompanying Memorandum exhibits the amount collected in each Muttah or Zemindary.

2. In the Muttah of Cautoopoottoor this tax amounting to Rupees 199-11-11, was by oversight included in the Peishcush when it was originally fixed. But under instructions received from Government in or about Fusly 1230, the Moturpha Revenue of the Muttah has been annually settled as a separate item, and collected as such by Government. A perusal of the correspondence noted marginally furnish all particulars.

Board's Proceedings, dated 21st February 1853. From the Collector to the Board, dated 31st March 1853, No. 24.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.

ABSTRACT.—Memorandum of the Moturpha Revenue levied by Government in the Zemindaries and Muttahs of the District of Trichinopoly, being an enclosure to letter No. 180, dated 17th December 1860.

From Æ. R. McDONELL, Esq, Collector of Trichinopoly, to W. HUDLESTON, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated 17th October 1862, No. 178.

1. Adverting to my letter to the Board, and the other correspondence noted marginally, I have the honor to inform you that the Peishcush of the Cautoopoottoor Muttah, amounting to Rupees 16,101-3-10, includes also the Moturpha tax, Rupees 199-11-11, levied in the Muttah. This tax the Muttahdar himself was allowed to collect up to the year 1853, when the right of collecting the tax having been declared to belong to the Government alone, the amount of the Moturpha tax was collected by the Government, and the amount carried in the accounts to the Muttahdar's credit.

From the Collector to the Board, dated 17th December 1860, No. 180. Board's Proceedings, dated 21st February 1853. From the Collector to the Board, dated 31st March 1853, No. 24.

2. Now that this tax is abolished by Act XVIII of 1861, it is necessary to reduce the permanent amount of Peishcush, deducting the sum of the Moturpha tax (Rupees 199-11-11).

3. Under these circumstances, I have the honor to request that sanction may be obtained to strike the above sum out of the Peishcush of the Muttah.

From Æ. R. McDONELL, Esq., Collector of Trichinopoly, to W. HUDLESTON, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated 31st January 1863, No. 21.

1. In acknowledging receipt of the Board's Miscellaneous Circular, No. V of the 20th instant, I do myself the honor to refer you to my letter, No. 178 of the 17th of October last, regarding the necessary reduction required to be made in the present Peishcush of the "Kattoopatur" Muttah, in consequence of the abolition of the Moturpha tax.*

* Annually to Rupees 199-11-11.

2. As directed I append a Memorandum in the form required :—

Present Peishcush of the Kattoo-putur Mutta.	Amount of Moturpha tax to be deducted.	Net amount of Peishcush to be collected in future.
RS. A. P.	RS. A. P.	RS. A. P.
16,101 3 10	199 11 11	15,991 11 7

3. The above is the only case in this District.

From V. H. LEVINGE, Esq., Collector of Madura, to W. HUDLESTON, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated 7th February 1863, No. 27.

With reference to the Miscellaneous Circular No. V, dated 20th ultimo, I have the honor to report there are no cases in this District of annual payments by Government on account of compensation due to the holders of the proprietary estates paying revenue to Government.

From J. SILVER, Esq., Collector of Tinnevelly, to W. HUDLESTON, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Trichendoor, 31st January 1863, No. 49.

1. With reference to the Board's Miscellaneous Circular, No. V of the 20th instant, I have the honor to state, that in this District no annual payments are made by Government on account of compensation to the holders of proprietary estates paying revenue to Government.

2. A permanent deduction has been already made by order of Government, dated 22nd November 1853, No. 1,303, on account of Moturpha, from the Peishcush of the Zemindars of the District.

From P. GRANT, Esq., Collector of Coimbatore, to W. HUDLESTON, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Coimbatore, 27th January 1863, No. 21.

1. With reference to the Board's Miscellaneous Circular of the 20th instant, No. V, I have the honor to report that no annual payment is made from the Government Treasury in this District on account of compensation due to the holders of proprietary estates paying revenue to Government.

2. I take this opportunity of informing the Board that the Moturpha revenue of nine villages held on Jaghire and other tenures in Coligal, Oodoomulpettah, and Caroor Talooks, was collected by the several proprietors as reported in paragraphs 2 and 6 of Mr. Thomas's communication of the 4th January 1861, No. 3.

5 Polipot villages in Oodoomulpettah.
1 Do. at Caroor.
1 Jaghire village at Coligal.
2 Shotriem do. at do.

3. Consequent on the abolition of Moturpha tax under Act XVIII of 1861, Government, in paragraph 3 of their Order dated 25th February 1862, No. 408, communicated by the Board under date the 20th idem, No. 1,297, sanctioned compensation of 507-1-4 in the shape of reduction from the annual Peishcush payable by the proprietors referred to.

From the Honorable D. ARBUTHNOTT, Collector of Salem, to W. HUDLESTON, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated 6th June 1863, No. 102.

1. With reference to the Board's Miscellaneous Circular, No. V, Board No. 354, dated the 20th January last, I have the honor to enclose two Statements, the one (No. 1) showing the deductions to be made permanently from the Peishcush of certain Muttahs on account of lands taken up in those Muttahs for public purposes, and the other (No. 2) showing the additions to be made to the Peishcush of certain Muttahs on account of resumed Ináms as shown in the Statement.

2. Statement No. 1 includes the annual payments already sanctioned by Government, as well as those for which sanction has not yet been obtained. In the latter case the annual payments from the time the lands were taken up by Government have to be made, and I request the Board will be good enough to obtain sanction for the same. The payments to be made amount to Rupees 3,530-1-9 as shown in column 10. Statement No. 1 includes the lands taken up for Railway purposes under Classes A, B, and D. As the assessment of the lands taken up for the Railway under Class C, will have to be paid annually by the Railway Company, I have not included them in this Statement, but shown them in another Statement which accompanies a separate letter.

3. The deductions to be made permanently from the Peishcush of the several Muttahs amount to Rupees 895-2-4 as shown in column 6, and the future Peishcush of the Muttahs from Fusly 1273 will be Rupees 72,872-4-11, as shown in column 7.

4. There are two cases in which annual payments are made to Muttahdars under the orders of Government noted in the margin. These are for lands occupied by two Travellers' Bungalows, the one at Vaniembady, and the other at Iramuttoor. In the first case, the land measures Acres 11-21-4, assessed at Rupees 32-2-0, and in the second case Acres 2-27-4, assessed at Rupees 3-2-10. The South-West Railway has rendered these two Bungalows useless, and I propose to submit a report within a few days for the sale of these Bungalows. A clause will be entered in the Sale Advertisement that the purchaser would be liable to pay to the Muttahdars the assessment of the lands occupied by the Bungalows. I have not therefore entered these two cases in Statement No. 1.

11th September 1837, Board's Proceedings dated 18th September 1837.
11th July 1851, No. 639, Board's Proceedings dated 21st July 1851.

5. Statement No. 2 shows the Ináms which have been resumed by Government, and which the Muttahdars are willing to have included in their Muttahs. The Jodigi of the Ináms are already included in the assets of the Muttah, and the Muttahdars are willing to have the difference between the Jodigi and the full assessment of the lands added to the Peishcush. I have accordingly ordered the lands to be made over to the Muttahdars. Since the resumption of the Ináms the whole assessment has been collected by Government and credited in the accounts, and I request that the necessary sanction may be obtained for my paying to the Muttahdars the arrears of Jodigi due to them for past Fuslies.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.

ABSTRACT.—Statement showing the deductions to be made permanently from the Peishcush of several Muttahs on account of certain lands taken up in those Muttahs for public purposes.

ENCLOSURE No. 2.

ABSTRACT.—Statement showing the additions to be made to the Peishcush of certain Muttahs on account of resumed Ináms in the Salem District.

From A. P. HODGSON, Esq., Acting Collector of South Canara, to W. HUDLESTON, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Udippi, 10th February 1863, No. 14.

With reference to the Board's Miscellaneous Circular, No. V of 1863, dated 20th ultimo, I have the honor to state for the information of the Board, that no payments are made in this District of the description therein referred to.

From G. A. BALLARD, Esq., Collector of Malabar, to W. HUDLESTON, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Calicut, 4th February 1863, No. 20.

ABSTRACT.—States that there are no cases in this District of annual payments by Government on account of compensation due to the holders of Proprietary Estates paying revenue to Government.

---

1. The foregoing letters are replies to the Board's Miscellaneous Circular, No. 5, dated 20th January 1863, calling on Collectors to report any cases in their Districts of annual payments by Government on account of compensation due to the holders of Proprietary Estates paying revenue to Government, to enable the Board to obtain the sanction of Government in such cases for a permanent reduction of the Peishcush in lieu of the existing annual payments. It appears from the replies that these annual payment exist only in Vizagapatam, Trichinopoly, and

Salem. In the other Districts there have either never been any such payments, or they have been already adjusted by permanent deductions from the Peishcush made from time to time under the authority of Government. These cases need not therefore be now considered; and the Board's remarks will be confined to the cases of annual payments which, in the three Districts mentioned above, have not yet been finally adjusted by a reduction of Peishcush.

Vizagapatam.

2. With his letter above recorded, the Collector of Vizagapatam forwards a Statement showing the amount of compensation paid to certain Estates in this District on account of lands taken up for public purposes. The aggregate amount of this compensation is Rupees 5,217-7-9, of which Rupees 1,674-10-1 is stated to be the remission on account of lands occupied by Cantonments in the Vizianagrum and Walta r Estates under the Orders of Government noted in the margin; Rupees 1,114-2-3 on account of lands included in the high Military roads under Government Order, dated 30th May 1826, and Rupees 2,398-11-5 on account of lands taken up for cross roads, as per sanction of Government dated 25th September 1862, No. 2,088.

27th March 1824.
4th do. 1834.
27th do. 1840.

3. Of the orders quoted in support of the first item of remission, viz., Rupees 1,674-10-1, the sanction of 27th March 1824 was not apparently communicated to this Office. The Government Order, dated 4th March 1834, authorizes an annual remission of only Rupees 35 to the owner of certain ground situated near Dibbalapoliem; and the Order of 27th March 1840 grants a remission of Rupees 15½ to the Vizianagarum Estate for certain land taken up for Regimental purposes. The information being thus imperfect, the Board are compelled to call upon the Collector to furnish clear and full information; 1st, as to the several orders of Government authorizing the grant of the remission in each case; 2nd, as to the nature of the adjustment annually made in the accounts regarding it; and 3rd, as to the head under which provision was hitherto made in the Budget for these payments. The Collector will also furnish copy of the Order of Government, dated 27th March 1824, which is not on the records of this Office.

4. As regards the second item of compensation, Rupees 1,114-2-3, the Board observe that the Order of Government quoted by the Collector in support of the item authorizes the grant of an annual remission of Rupees 1,352-11-1, or Rupees 208-8-10, in excess of the amount given by the Collector. Comparing the Collector's present return, in column 7 of which the sum of Rupees 1,114-2-3 alluded to above is entered, with the Statement No. 1 received with the letter, dated 6th April 1826, upon which the Government Order quoted by the Collector was passed, the difference noticed above is observed in the following Estates:—

Estates.	As per Collector's present Return.	As per Return which accompanied letter dated 6th April 1826.	Difference.
	RS. A. P.	RS. A. P.	RS. A. P.
Royaveram	... ...	93 7 2	93 7 2
Survasiddy	... ...	57 15 4	57 15 4
Dimily	... ...	34 0 11	34 0 11
Ankapilly...	22 6 7	45 8 0	23 1 5
			208 8 10

The omission of the first three Estates in the Collector's present return is probably due to their having been incorporated with Government lands subsequent to the Collector's Report of 6th April 1826. The Collector will state whether this is the case. In regard to the Ankapilly Estate, a difference of Rupees 23-1-5 is observed, of which explanation is requested. The remissions entered for the other Estates in the present Statement tally exactly with those sanctioned; but before recommending them to be deducted from the Peishcush, the Board wish to be informed why they were not already deducted under the original sanction, and the net Peishcush in

each case alone brought to account. The Collector will explain also the system of adjustment followed in the case of these payments.

5. The third item of remission alluded to in paragraph 2 is that on account of lands taken up for cross roads. The amount sanctioned for this purpose in Government Order, dated 25th September 1862, No. 2,088, is Rupees 2,398-11-5, which agrees with the total of column 8 of the Collector's present Statement, but comparing the remission entered for each estate in this Statement with that in paragraph 3 of the Board's Proceedings, dated 13th May 1862, No. 3,062, upon which the order of Government above quoted was passed, the following differences are observed:—

Estates.	As per Collector's present Statement.		As per Board's Proceedings, dated 13th May 1862, No. 3,062.		Difference.	
	Peishcush.	Remission.	Peishcush.	Remission.	Peishcush	Remission
	RS. A. P.	RS. A. P.	RS. A. P.	RS. A. P.	RS. A. P.	RS. A. P.
Madagole... ..	30,141 13 0	43 9 8	30,141 13 0	46 14 6	.....	3 4 10
Jagannadapuram.	3,108 3 0	3 4 10	... ...	... ...	3,108 3 0	3 4 10
	33,250 0 0	46 14 6	30,141 13 0	46 14 6	3,108 3 0	.....

It will be seen that the whole remission of Rupees 46-14-6 was sanctioned for the Estate of Madagole, and it is not clear under what circumstances a portion of this remission, viz., Rupees 3-4-10, was entered in the present return opposite to Jagannadapuram, which is a distinct Estate with a separate Peishcush, and of which no mention whatever was made by the Collector in his letter, dated 9th April 1862, No. 255. The Collector is requested to furnish full information on this point, and to state why the whole remission of Rupees 2,398-11-5 was not deducted from the Peishcush entered in the accounts of Fusly 1272, the Order of Government dated 25th September 1862, quoted above, having distinctly authorized this remission. The Board direct that this course be followed by the Collector in future, unless he sees any real objection, in which case he is requested to report for orders. He will also explain the nature of the adjustment hitherto made in the accounts in regard to this payment. The Board request that the information called for in this and the previous paragraphs may be furnished by the Collector of Vizagapatam at an early date to enable them to apply for the necessary sanction of Government to reduce the Peishcush demand of the District, and thus avoid the annual adjustments now made in the account.

Trichinopoly.

6. In his letters recorded at the head of these Proceedings, the Collector of Trichinopoly recommends that the Peishcush of the Kautoopootoor Muttah in that District, amounting to Rupees 16,101-3-10, should be reduced by Rupees 199-11-11, the average amount of Moturpha Revenue enjoyed by the Muttahdar, the tax having been abolished by Act XVII of 1861. It appears that when the permanent Peishcush of this estate (which originally belonged to the Salem District) was fixed, the proceeds of the Moturpha tax were by an oversight included in the assets upon which the permanent settlement was made, and were accordingly collected by the Muttahdar; but when this revenue was resumed by Government, a compensation of Rupees 199-11-11, determined upon the average revenue of a certain number of years, was recommended by the Collector for this Muttah in his letter dated 13th October 1831, No. 2,142. The Board supported this recommendation, and the Government sanctioned the compensation in their Order, dated 8th November 1831, No. 1,019.

7. The system of adjustment followed in the accounts in regard to this compensation was to enter the amount of it as an item of charge under the head "Refunds of Moturpha" and to credit it to the Peishcush payable by the Muttahdar, the full amount of the Peishcush as originally fixed, viz., Rupees 16,101-3-10, being of course brought to account. The collections were made by the officers of Government, and the proceeds of the tax were credited to the general revenue

of the State; but now that the Moturpha has been abolished, the arrangement requires alteration. The Board therefore recommend that the sanction of Government be granted for deducting the amount of compensation alluded to above, from the permanent Peishcush of the Muttah, leaving the future Peishcush payable by the Muttahdar at Rupees 15,901-7-11. This net Peishcush alone will for the future be entered in the accounts as the sum payable, and the adjustment hitherto made will become unnecessary.

	Rs.	A.	P.
Peishcush originally fixed...	16,101	3	10
Deduct Moturpha compensation	199	11	11
Remainder...	15,901	7	11

Salem.

8. Lastly, in respect to the Muttahs in the Salem District, the Collector submits with his letter above recorded two Statements, one showing the deductions to be made permanently from the Peishcush of certain Muttahs on account of lands taken up in them for public purposes, and the other showing the additions to be made to the Peishcush of certain other Muttahs on account of resumed Inâms. The former of these returns includes annual payments already sanctioned by Government as well as others for which sanction has not yet been obtained. In regard to this latter description of cases, the Collector requests sanction not only for a permanent reduction of the Peishcush, but also for the payment of the arrears of former years from the time the lands were taken up. The cases falling under this head are chiefly those of lands taken up for the Railway purposes under Classes A, B, and D.

9. Before submitting the Collector's letter to Government, and obtaining their sanction for a reduction of the Peishcush of the Muttahs mentioned in the Statement, the Board wish to receive from the Collector revised Statements and information on certain points noticed below:—

I. Statement No. 1 as already mentioned includes annual payments already sanctioned by Government, as well as others for which sanction has yet to be obtained. The promiscuous entry in one Statement of cases sanctioned and not sanctioned is very inconvenient; the Board direct therefore that two Statements be submitted to them, the one showing the payments already sanctioned and the other those not yet sanctioned, noting carefully in the former case the dates of the several orders of Government granting the sanction. The latter Statement should be submitted with a separate application, as the subject is quite distinct from the former.

II. Of the cases not yet sanctioned, the Board observe that some are of very old date, the lands having been taken up several years ago. It is not clear why in each of these cases the sanction of Government was not immediately applied for and the claim of each Muttahdar adjusted soon after it arose. It is observed from columns 9 and 10 that arrears are payable in some cases from Fusly 1260, and no good reason is apparent for the excessive delay which has taken place in the disposal of these claims. In regard to certain roads which were constructed from the Cart tax in the Trichengode Talook, the Collector observes that the Muttahdars in these cases did not make their application for deduction till recently. This explanation is by no means satisfactory for a delay, which in one case has exceeded twelve years, and in the other fourteen years. Owing to the length of time which has elapsed since the origin of several of these claims, the Board are unable to support the Collector's present application for sanction without clear and definite explanation of the delay in each case. They request therefore that in the separate application called for, explanation may be furnished for the delay in the settlement of each case in which the claim for a compensation arose prior to Fusly 1270, and the Muttahdars should be called on to state whether they made any previous applications to those referred to by the Collector; and if not, why not.

III. In the Peishcush of the Muttah of Thadavelly in the Tripathur Talook, the Collector recommends a reduction of Rupees 2-7-0, which is the Jodi of an Inâm land in the Muttah resumed under the Order of Government, dated 11th March 1859, No. 304. This Jodi it would appear was included in the assets of the Muttah, and as the Muttahdar is unwilling to have the land included in his Muttah, the Collector recommends a reduction of the Peishcush equal in amount to the Jodi of the Inâm. The Board wish to be informed why the Muttahdar is unwilling to take up this Inâm land, and whether the terms which were offered to, and accepted by the holders of the Muttahs mentioned in Statement No. 2 were offered to this Muttahdar also. The Collector is

equested in any case to renew the offer, and to explain to the Muttahdar the desirability of his aving the land included in his Muttah, as a convenient arrangement both for himself and Governent. If the Muttahdar consents to have the land included in the Muttah, then the difference etween the Jodi (already included with the assets) and the assessment of the land should be added o the Peishcush payable by the Muttahdar, and the case will be included in Statement No. 2. hould, however, the Muttahdar be averse to the arrangement, the Collector will furnish the oard with a Memorandum showing for this Inâm and for those in Statement No. 2, the extent, he Jodi payable to the Muttahdar, the full assessment, and the Collections made during each year ince their resumption by Government. This will enable the Board to judge how far the Muttahdar has reason on his side in declining to have the lands included in his Muttah, and whether the ssessment needs to be revised.

IV. The Board also observe that the present Peishcush of the several Muttahs entered in ie Statements which accompanied the Collector's letter under notice, includes the Moturpha ompensation sanctioned in the Board's Proceedings, dated 23rd September 1862, No. 6,330, lthough this item has already been in accordance with those orders deducted from the Peishcush, nd the net amount alone brought to account in Fusly 1272. Owing to this cause the future eishcush, payable by the Muttahs, after making the proposed deductions entered in the above tatements, is also incorrect, and the Board request that in the Statements now called for, the net eishcush, after deducting the sums already sanctioned on account of Moturpha, may be entered s the present Peishcush, and the proposed deductions being then made, the future Peishcush ayable by the Muttahs will be correctly arrived at.

V. Also in regard to the amount of Moturpha compensation, the Board observe that the nount sanctioned in the concluding paragraphs of their Proceedings, dated 23rd September 1862, ove quoted, is Rupees 9,365, while the amount actually deducted from the Peishcush in the accounts of Fusly 1272 is Rupees 9,395-6-7, as will be seen by a comparison of the Peishcush demand of the District for Fuslies 1271 and 1272. The Collector is requested to account for the difference of 30 Rupees.

	Rs.	A.	P.
ishcush of Fusly 1271	4,57,159	8	0
Do. of Fusly 1272	4,47,764	1	5
	9,395	6	7

VI. In Statement No. 2, which accompanied the Collector's letter, the total of column 8 is tered at Rupees 6,441-11-9, instead of Rupees 6,431-11-9, which is correct. This was doubtss a clerical error, but the Board trust that the Statements now called for will be free from nilar mistakes.

VII. On the receipt of the revised Statements, and the information called for in the egoing paragraphs from the Collector of Salem, the Board will apply to Government for sancn for reducing permanently the Peishcush of the District.

10. The Board resolve to submit these Proceedings to Government, and to request that the ction applied for in paragraph 7 for reducing the Peishcush of the Kautoopootoor Muttah in ichinopoly may be granted.

. 297. ORDER THEREON, 24th October 1864, No. 1,960.

Land Revenue.

Agreeably to the recommendation made by the Board of Revenue in paragraph 7 of these Proceedings, His Excellency the Governor in Council sanctions the reduction of the Peishcush the Kautoopootoor Muttah in the Trichinopoly District from Rupees 16,101-3-10 to Rupees ,901-7-11.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) J. D. SIM,
Secretary to Government.

the Board of Revenue.
the Accountant General.

d. S. T. Augustin.

tioned, and the other those not yet sanctioned, noting carefully in the former case the dates of the several orders of Government granting the sanction. The latter Statement should be submitted with a separate application, as the subject is quite distinct from the former.

II. Of the cases not yet sanctioned, the Board observe that some are of very old date, the lands having been taken up several years ago. It is not clear why in each of these cases the sanction of Government was not immediately applied for and the claim of each Muttadar adjusted soon after it arose. It is observed from Columns 9 and 10 that arrears are payable in some cases from Fasli 1260, and no good reason is apparent for the excessive delay which has taken place in the disposal of these claims. In regard to certain roads which were constructed from the Cart fax in the Trichengode Taluk, the Collector observes that the Muttadars in these cases did not make their application for deduction till recently. This explanation is by no means satisfactory for a delay, which in one case has exceeded twelve years, and in the other fourteen years. Owing to the length of time which has elapsed since the origin of several of these claims, the Board are unable to support the Collector's present application for sanction without clear and definite explanation of the delay in each case. They request therefore that in the separate application called for, explanation may be furnished for the delay in the settlement of each case in which the claim for a compensation arose prior to Fasli 1270, and the Muttadars should be called on to state whether they made any previous applications to those referred to by the Collector; and if not, why not.

III. In the Peishcush of the Mutta of Thadavally in the Tripathur Taluk, the Collector recommends a reduction of Rupees 2-7-0, which is the Jodi of an Inam land in the Mutta resumed under the Order of Government, dated 11th March, 1859, No. 304. This Jodi it would appear was included in the assets of the Mutta, and as the Muttadar is unwilling to have the land included in his Mutta, the Collector recommends a reduction of the Peishcush equal in amount to the Jodi of the Inam. The Board wish to be informed why the Muttadar is unwilling to take up this Inam land, and whether the terms which were offered to, and accepted by the holders of the Muttas mention[illegible] and to extend to the Muttadar the The Collector is requested in any case to [illegible], as a convenient arrangement both for desirability of his having the land included in his Mutta, as a convenient arrangement both for himself and Government. If the Muttadar consents to have the land included in the Mutta, then the difference between the Jodi (already included with the assets) and the assessment of the land would be added to the Peishcush payable by the Muttadar, and the case will be included in Statement No. 2. Should however the Muttadar be averse to the arrangement, the Collector will furnish the Board with a Memorandum showing for this Inam and for those in Statement No. 2, the extent, the Jodi payable to the Muttadar, the full assessment, and the collections made during each year since their resumption by Government. This will enable the Board to judge how far the Muttadar has reason on his side in declining to have the lands included in his Mutta, and whether the assessment needs to be revised.

IV. The Board also observe that the present Peishcush of the several Muttas entered in the Statements which accompanied the Collector's letter under notice, includes the Moturpha compensation sanctioned in the Board's Proceedings, dated 23rd September 1862, No. 6,380, although this item has already been in accordance with those orders deducted from the Peishcush, and the net amount alone brought to account in Fasli 1272. Owing to this cause the future Peishcush, payable by the Muttas after making the proposed deductions entered in the above Statements is also incorrect, and the Board request that in the Statements now called for, the net Peishcush after deducting the sums already sanctioned on account of Moturpha, may be entered as the present Peishcush, and the proposed deductions being then made, the future Peishcush payable by the Muttas will be correctly arrived at.

V. Also in regard to the amount of Mofurpha compensation, the Board observe that the amount sanctioned in the concluding paragraphs of their Proceedings, dated 23rd September 1863 above quoted, is Rupees 9,395-6-7, while the amount actually deducted from the Peishcush in the accounts of Fasli 1272 is Rupees 9,395-6-7, as will be seen by a comparison of the Peishcush demand of the District for Fasis 1271 and 1272. The Collector is requested to account for the difference of 30 Rupees.

		Rs.	A.	P.
Peishcush of Fasli 1271	... ...	4,57,159	8	0
Do. of Fasli 1272	... ...	4,52,761	1	5
		9,395	6	7

VI. In Statement No. 2 which accompanied the Collector's letter, the total of Column 8 is entered at Rupees 6,441-11-9, instead of Rupees 6,431-11-9, which is correct. This was doubtless a clerical error, but the Board trust that the Statements now called for will be free from similar mistakes.

VII. On the receipt of the revised Statements, and the information called for in the foregoing paragraphs from the Collector of Salem, the Board will apply to Government for sanction for reducing permanently the Peishcush of the District.

10. The Board resolve to submit these Proceedings to Government, and to request that the sanction applied for in paragraph 7 for reducing the Peishcush of the Kautoopatur Mutta in Trichinopoly may be granted.

(True Copies and Extract.)

L. Huthwaite
Secretary.

To J. D. Sim, Esq., Secretary to Government, R. D.
D. F. Carmichael, Esq., Collector of Vizagapatam.

Pro 8th November 1831 N 10811
— 1st March 1859 N 27428
— 20th October 1862 N 24/14242
Pr. 1960.

Agreeably to the recommendation made by the Board of Revenue in para 7 of these Pro; His Excellency the Governor in Council sanctions the reduction of the Peishcush of the Kautoopatur Muttah in the Trichinopoly District from Rs 16101-3-10 to Rs 15901-7-11.

Revd. 1864. Department.
Pro: of Govt Fort St. G.
No. 2477.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE

Dated 12th September 1864.
Despatched 15th —
Received 17th —
Pro do Dept Sched
Circ 30th

No. 5,958.

Submitting, with remarks, replies of Collectors to Board's Miscellaneous Circular, No. V, dated 20th January 1863, calling for a report on the cases of annual payments by Government on account of compensation due to the holders of proprietary estates paying revenue to Government.

No 1960-24 Oct

Wilkamorden

Honorable E. Arbuthnot, Collector of Salem.
Copy to Collectors.
No 2647-27.
Esd. J. Wright.

Board of Revenue 24th October 1864.
Accountant General
Pro 31st Oct 1864