M.R.O. 14—13,000—27-9-24

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 1952 Press Mis.

278-281

Dated 22 D. 1864.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department, 22nd October 1864.

Read the following papers:—

No. 278. Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 8th August 1864, No. 5,043.

Read again letter from the Collector of North Arcot, dated 4th February 1864, No. 27, recorded in Board's Proceedings, 7th March 1864, No. 1,401.

Read also the following letter from W. F. HATHAWAY, Esq., Acting Sub-Collector in charge as Collector of North Arcot, dated Chittoor, 28th July 1864, No. 165:—

1. With reference to paragraph 1 of the Proceedings of the Board dated the 7th March last, No. 1,401, I have the honor to send herewith a revised list of nominees who have consented to act, and whose nominations have met with the general approval of the communities interested.

2. With regard to the latter part of the paragraph, I do not think that the differences of religion will prevent the different members from working together. On the contrary the admixture of a disinterested element will probably, in each particular case that has to be settled, tend to the fair hearing and settlement of it, and a case would be more likely to be settled on its merits, and not degenerate into a mere job and matter of personal prejudice and interest. It is the petty differences of sects in the same religion, such as between the Vadakalai and Tenkalai adherents, that we have to fear, far more than the wider distinctions between the two religions; and it is just by bringing in the influence of totally distinct and disinterested opinion that we can keep down this smaller and more virulent party spirit.

3. The statement referred to in paragraph 4 was merely sent, in order to give a general idea of the number and relative importance of the different religious institutions in the District.

4. The Tripati temple was separately reported on by letter No. 25 of the 30th January 1862. There being no other Hindu temples in that Talook (Chendraghiri) no Committee was proposed for that Talook. Similarly there are no Mohammedan Mosques in the Talook of Palmanair.

5. As no one can be found in the Talooks of Polur and Arni who is willing to serve on the Mohammedan Committee, and as the whole number of Mosques in the two Talooks are but four, they have been put under the Committee for the Vellore Talook.

6. It does not seem that the appointment of Ranganada Shastri and Venketta Subba Shastri can be prejudicial to public interests in any way, as they are not employed in this District, and the votes for them are very numerous, while there is not a single dissentient voice.

I 5/5

ENCLOSURE No. 1.

STATEMENT *showing Talookwar, the number and names of the Members selected for the Managing Committee of the several Mohammedan religious institutions in the District of North Arcot.*

Name of Talook.	Total number of religious institutions in each Talook.	Number and names of the Members of each Managing Committee.	Profession or business.
1	2	3	4
CHITTOOR... ...	7	1. Mohammed Ghouse Sahib...	Vakeel, Chittoor Civil Court.
		2. Mandi Ummer Khan	Merchant of Chittoor.
		3. Dastaghir Sahib	Cultivator of do.
CHENDRAGHIRI.	2	1. Mergulam Mohammed... ...	Cultivator of Chendraghiri.
		2. Mohammed Vasa.	Do. do.
		3. Davud Khan.	Do. do.
PALMANAIR ...	...	.....	.....
GUDIATTAM. ...	34	1. Haji Kaja Hussen.	Inámdar of Taliattam.
		2. Kader Beg, son of Abjer Beg, Subadar.	Do. of Gudiattam.
		3. Madar Sahib, son of Kader Hussensa.	Do. of Taliattam.
		4. Tepusa...	Do. of Gudiattam.
		5. Javulidalali Jafer Sahib. ...	Merchant of do.
VELLORE, POLUR AND ARNEE.	29	1. Gulam Allisa Carosi.	Inámdar of Vellore.
		2. Syed Karemullasa Kadri ...	Pensioner do.
		3. Kakein Gullam Ahammed Khan Carosi.	Cultivator do.
		4. Kakein Modenbeg Sahib ...	Do. do.
		5. Abdul Kadersa	Merchant do.
WANDAWASH....	1	1. Dastagher Sahib...	Cultivator of Wandawash.
		2. Shek Ibrahim.	Do. do.
		3. Takia Sahib...	Do. do.
ARCOT...	49	1. Ammundi Ibrahim Sahib ...	Merchant of Vishaiam.
		2. Syed Gulam Mohammed ...	Inámdar of Arcot.
		3. Mohammed Bakir, Subadar.	Do. do.
		4. Sheffi Beg	Do. do.
		5. Mandi Rehiman Sahib. ...	Merchant of do.
WALLAJA... ...	10	1. Muzafer Beg...	Inámdar of Caveripakam.
		2. Gazfer Hussen	Do. of Ranipet.
		3. Kakein Kader Hussen. ...	Cultivator of Wallajapet.

CHITTOOR,
28th July 1864.

(Signed) W. F. HATHAWAY,
Acting Sub-Collector in charge as Collector.

ENCLOSURE No. 2.

STATEMENT *showing Talookwar, the number, names, and sects of the Members selected for the Managing Committee of the several Hindu religious institutions in the District of North Arcot.*

Name of Talook.	Total number of religious institutions in each Talook.	Number, name, and sect of the Members of each Managing Committee.	Profession or business.
1	2	3	4
CHITTOOR. ...	27	1. Alapakam Ragava Charlu, Sri Vaishnava.	Pensioned late Nazir of late Sub-Court, Chittoor.
		2. Trivattur Khanderow, Shaiva.	Resident of Chittoor and holding share in a Mutta in the Madras District.
		3. Babu Row, Shaiva.	Late Head of Police of Maderpâk Division now pensioned.
		4. Kadambi Ragavaga, Shaiva.	Late Tahsildar of Palmanair and at present a cultivator at Chittoor.
		5. Palatta Mudali, Shaiva.	Monigar of Vinnampalli.
		6. Rama Reddi, do.	Do. of Samireddipalli.
		7. K. Gopala Charlu, Sri Vaishnava.	Pensioned late Record-keeper Civil Court, Chittoor.
PALMANAIR ...	12	1. Kunja Gavudu, Shaiva.	Monigar of Gavunithimmapalli.
		2. Hanuma Gavudu, Vaishnava.	Do. of Vengamvaripalli.
		3. Samprati Rama Row, Vaishnava.	Shrotriemdar of Ganginayampalli.
GUDIATTAM ...	73	1. Madava Row, Vaishnava.	Cultivator at Tiruvallam.
		2. Srinivassa Ragava Charlu, Sri Vaishnava.	Do. at Aldayendram.
		3. Ramaseshaya, Shaiva.	Merchant of Vellore.
		4. Vellayh Mudali, do.	Monigar of Pasumattur.
		5. Ravilla Chinna Vengama, Nayadu.	Cultivator of Ernamthangal.
VELLORE... ...	8	1. C. V. Ranganadha Shastri, Shaiva.	A Judge of Small Causes Court, Madras.
		2. Venkata Subba Shastrulu, Shaiva.	Acting Pandit of the High Court, Madras.
		3. Kaluramlala, Vaishnava.	Merchant of Vellore.
		4. Govindu Mudaliar, do.	Do. do.
		5. Muttumanikya Cnetti, Shaiva.	Do. do.
		6. Peruma Mudali, do.	Monigar of Kavasambat.
		7. Annya Charri, Vaishnava.	Cultivator of Pallikonda.
POLUR... ...	5	1. Parasuramaya, Shaiva.	Monigar of Mambat.
		2. Srinivassa Row, Vaishnava.	Do. of Vohur.
		3. Kuppatta Mudali, Shaiva.	Do. of Alliabad.
		4. Vera Reddi, do.	Cultivator of Varathangal.
		5. Rangiya Mudali, do.	Monigar of Santavasal.

STATEMENT *showing Talookwar, the number, names, and sects of the Members selected for the Managing Committee of the several Hindu religious institutions, &c.*—(Concluded.)

Name of Talook.	Total number of religious institutions in each Talook.	Number, name, and sect of the Members of each Managing Committee.	Profession or business.
1	2	3	4
WANDAWASH..	7	1. Ramasamaga, Shaiva.	Monigar of Thennanjur.
		2. Seshachalla Setti, do.	Merchant of Wandawash.
		3. Iyasamiyangar, Vaishnava.	Monigar of Thavaninjembéd
		4. Narasinga Row, do.	Shrotriemdar of Onanthangal.
		5. Arunachalla Mudali, Shaiva.	Monigar of Syathavannan.
ARCOT	4	1. Thaimana, Mudali.	Manager under Abkary Renter.
		2. Teruvengada Charri, Srivaishnava.	Monigar of Bramhadesam.
		3. Padmanabu Mudali, Shaiva.	Merchant of Arcot.
		4. Sreniyassa Ragava Charri, Srivaishnava.	Monigar of Dasi.
		5. Ranganadha Mudali, Shaiva.	Merchant of Arcot.
WALLAJAH ...	21	1. Venkatta Reddi, Shaiva.	Muttadar of Arigalavadi.
		2. Vanianantа Charlu, Srivaishnava.	Resident of Sholinghur, and late District Munsiff in Cuddapah District.
		3. Meganadha Mudaliar, Shaiva.	Merchant of Ranipet.
		4. Pakala Ramanjulu Nayadu, Vaishnava.	At present a resident of Sholinghur and a respectable man holding lands in that village.
		5. Rattana Reddi, Shaiva.	Monigar of Attapakam.
		6. Teruvengada Charlu, Srivaishnava.	Do. of Mangalam.
		7. Babu Row, Vaishnava.	Dambala Inámdar of Nelakantapuram.

CHITTOORE,
28th July 1864.

(Signed) W. F. HATHAWAY,
Acting Sub-Collector in charge as Collector.

Ordered that the above papers be submitted to Government with the Board's recommendation that the Committees, according to the revised list be appointed. In Tripaty the post of Trustee and Manager is settled on the Mohunt for the time being, who nominates his successor from among his "Sishaya" or disciples, the nomination in default vesting in the other members of the Mohunt of which the Mhutt is the chief. These temples therefore do not come within the operation of the Act.

No. 279. Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 12th August 1864, No. 5,163.

Read the following Petitions addressed to Government, Nos. 841, 934, 1,048, 1,110, 1,377, 1,467, 1,565, 1,566, and 1,582 of 1864.

Read also the following Petitions addressed to the Board of Revenue, Nos. 2,185, 2,233, 2,265, 2,316, 2,352, 2,387, 2,444.

1. The whole of the above recorded Petitions have reference to the celebrated Hindu Pagoda at Tripaty in the North Arcot District. Those addressed to Government have been

referred to the Board for report, and those addressed to the Board will now be submitted for the consideration and orders of His Excellency the Governor in Council.

2. The prayer of the greater number of these Petitions is, that the Pagoda Trustee may be placed under the control of the Committee appointed under the provisions of Act XX of 1863, and every endeavour is made in the Petitions to prove that the Pagoda in question falls under Section 3 of that enactment.

3. The remaining Petitions set forth the right of the present Trustee to unlimited power in the management of the Pagoda, and deprecate any interference on the part of the Committee, pleading that when the present Trustee's predecessor was appointed in 1843, the Government divested themselves of any further power of interference in the affairs of this Institution.

4. His Excellency in Council will observe from Extract Minutes of Consultation, under date 21st April 1843, No. 416, and from the Proceedings of the Board referred to therein, that the Pagoda was placed in the sole charge of the late Mohunt *and his successors*, and that the Government were pleased thus to relieve themselves "from all further charge of the Temple."

5. The Petitioners referred to in paragraph 2 above endeavour to prove that it was not the intention of Government to divest themselves of the powers of confirmation which they, or rather the Board of Revenue, possessed in regard to the nomination of Trustees in the case of many other Pagodas, and the terms of the Moochilka given by the late Trustee on his appointment, are quoted as showing that he was bound to produce accounts to the Board of Revenue, and that he was in fact only Trustee under their authority.

6. The correspondence, however, which took place between the Board and the Collector subsequent to the Order of Government referred to in paragraph 4 above, places it beyond a doubt that the intention at the time was to divest Government of all connection with the management of the Pagoda, or with the appointment of future Trustees. The Collector of North Arcot requested to be informed* what provision was to be made for the successor of the Trustee; and, on the Trustee declining then to nominate his successor, the Board consented to his doing so at a future time, observing that in the event of his dying without having nominated a successor to the office of Mohunt, the custom of the institution of which he was the head required that the nomination should be made by the members of the Muttam.

* Letter to the Board, 1st May 1843.

To Collector, 4th May 1843.
From Collector, 15th May 1843.
Board's Proceedings, 25th May 1843.

7. It seems clear therefore that at that time it was the intention of the Board and the Government to constitute the Mohunt of the Byragee Muttam at Tripaty for the time being the sole Trustee of the Tripaty Pagoda, and that the Board should not interfere with the succession. The late Mohunt died only in March last, having previously nominated as his successor to the post and to the Trustee-ship his senior disciple, who has duly taken charge of the office.

8. If any further evidence as to the Board's intentions were wanted it would be supplied by the subsequent correspondence between the Collector and the Board regarding the wording of the Moochilka to be taken from the late Trustee on his taking charge of the property. The Collector proposed that this agreement should be entered into between the Trustee and *the Government*, but to this the Board objected, as involving further interference on the part of Government. The agreement was consequently drawn up, without including the Government as a party thereto, and in regard to the inspection of the accounts to be kept by the Trustee, the Board declined to define any limits under which this should be permitted.

To Collector, 12th June 1843.

Board's Proceedings, 25th May 1843.

9. Under these circumstances the Board are of opinion that the Tripaty Pagoda falls under the provisions of Section 4, Act XX of 1863, and not under those of Section 3, inasmuch as the nomination of the Trustee of this Pagoda was not at the time that Act was passed subject to the confirmation of the Government.

No. 280. From C. PARDASARDY NAIDOO, Chairman, to J. D. SIM, Esq., Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, Fort Saint George, dated Madras, 26th September 1864.

SIR,

With reference to the Order of Government bearing date 20th instant, copy of which has been forwarded to me, I have the honor to transmit herewith, for submission to His Excellency the Governor in Council, a Petition adopted at a meeting of the Hindoo Community of Madras, held in Patcheappa's Hall on the 23rd ultimo, praying for the appointment of a Committee under Act XX of 1863 for the temples of Teroomalla and Terupati, and solicit for the same the favorable consideration of Government.

No. 281. ORDER THEREON, 22nd October 1864, No. 1,952.

Miscellaneous.

1. With the first of these papers, the Board forward, for the approval of Government, a list of persons recommended by the Collector of North Arcot for appointment on the Committees under Act XX of 1863, for the management of Religious Institutions in that District.

2. This list does not call for particular remark. Careful enquiry seems to have been made as to the wishes of the communities interested. The only objection which has been put forward since the receipt of the Board's Proceedings in question emanates from certain individuals, inhabitants of Vellore, who have addressed a petition* to Government against the appointment, on the Vellore Committee, of Ranganada Sastir and Venkata Subba Sastir, on the ground that they are residents of Madras and servants of Government. Mr. Hathaway, the Acting Sub-Collector, in his letter of 23th July last, expresses an opinion that the appointment of the two gentlemen in question can in no way be prejudicial to the public interests, the votes for them being very numerous without in fact a single dissentient voice. Under these circumstances their nomination may stand. The list will be published in the usual manner.

* No. 2,264 of 1864.

3. The only remaining point for consideration is whether the Tripaty Temples are to be placed under the control of a Committee appointed under Act XX of 1863. The Board in the Proceedings already adverted to state that in their opinion these Temples do *not* come within the operation of Section III of the Act; and in their further Proceedings of the 12th August 1864, No. 5,163, the second paper recorded above, they state at length their reasons for believing that the Pagodas in question fall under the provisions of Section 4 and *not* under those of Section 3, Act XX of 1863.

4. The question has excited great interest among the Hindu Community. Petitions for and against the appointment of a Committee have been received by the Government and the Board, and in fact the Board's second Proceedings under review report on several of these. Besides this a meeting has recently been held in Madras, and a Memorial adopted asking Government for an alteration in the present system. This Petition forms an enclosure to the third paper recorded above.

5. The Board of Revenue regard the arrangements made in 1843 and the correspondence which passed at the time, as placing it beyond doubt that the Government gave up all concern with the appointment of future Trustees.

6. After careful consideration, the Government have come to the same conclusion as the Board and are decidedly of opinion that they have no power of appointing a Committee of management as prayed for in the Madras Petition.

7. The following endorsement will be passed on the Petition received with the third letter recorded above:—

"The Memorialists are informed that as the Pagodas referred to fall under Section 4, "Act XX of 1863, the Government cannot interfere in the manner requested."

(Signed) J. D. SIM,
Secretary to Government.

No. 1,401.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 7th March 1864.

Read the following letter from the Collector of North Arcot, dated Chittoor, 4th February 1864, No. 27:—

1. I have the honor with reference to Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 1st July 1863, to submit my scheme for giving effect to Act XX of 1863.

2. In the accompanying Statement No. 1 are entered all Temples, Mosques, and religious institutions of every denomination to which ready money payments have hitherto been made. But there are similar institutions which, though supported by special endowments of land, are yet not independent of State control in respect of the nomination and confirmation of their Trustees or Managers. These which come alike under Section 3 of the Act, are not included in Statement No. 1 now submitted, as the Taluk statistics are still incomplete, but will be embodied in a supplementary list which I hope very shortly to transmit.

TALUK.	Village.	Name of the religious institution.	Allowance per annum. RS.	A.	P.
		Hindu religious institutions.			
Gudiatam..	Tiruvelam..	Stre Bilvanadasawmy...	2,283	1	7
Vellore....	Veranjipur.	Sri Margasahaiswara-sawmy	1,713	13	6
	Palikonda..	Sri Datha Rungana-thasawmy...	1,103	1	7
Arcot	Tirvetur ...	Sri Vadapurcswara-sawmy.....	1,098	4	1
Walaja....	Sholingipu-ram.	Sri Lachumi Nare-simhasamy...	1,112	6	1
		Mahomedan religious institutions			
Vellore....	Vellore ...	Azarat Alli Urus... ...	1,200	0	0
Arcot	Arcot..	Persian School... ...	1,200	0	0
	Do. ..	Do. ...	1,271	12	0
	Do. ..	Jumma Masjeed.....	1,076	3	0
	Do. ..	Kaja Kejamathen... ...	1,075	6	0

3. From this statement, the Board will gather that with the few exceptions noted in the margin, the religious endowments in this District are small.

4. The great temple at Tripaty is I apprehend of the class of establishments described in Section 4 of the Act, as it was about the close of the year 1843 placed under the sole control of the Mahaut* as trustee with conditions which provide for present management and succession to the trusteeship on the Mahaut's demise. The history of this arrangement is narrated at some length in my letter to the Board dated 30th January 1862, No. 25.

* Abbot of the religious order of Byragy who have a malt or monastery at Tripaty.

5. My views in respect to the present and future aspects of the arrangement thus completed are set forth in paragraphs 39 and 40 of the letter just referred to; and I think that now that the general subject is under the consideration of Government, it would be desirable to bring the affairs of this great temple under some such system of management and control as may be adopted in the case of the Conjeveram, and the other large religious institutions of the country.

If it be determined to re constitute it anew on the principles now to be applied to the minor religious establishments of the District, it would not I think be expedient to have more than one Durmakurta or trustee; and I would advocate the retention of so much of the original arrangement as places in the hands of the present trustee the nomination and appointment of his successor. Such an arrangement should go far to accommodate the angry rivalries which now exist, as it would not withdraw from the Mahaut's party an already conceded privilege, and would yet bring the concerns of the temple under the scrutiny and control of the Managing Committee.

6. To return to the general question. It is one of some delicacy, and the very rumour that Government intend to withdraw from all concern with such institutions has created an universal feeling of jealousy and uneasiness as well among those whose lands have been selected by the trustees in lieu of ready money payments, as among the general community.

7. The proposition which I have now to submit has received my careful consideration. It provides Managing Committees, as per marginal statement, for each Taluk, and creates two single representative corporations or vestries for the whole District, one consisting of 25† members for Hindu, and the other of 15‡ members for Mahomedan institutions of all denominations. The office of these vestries will be to fill up, according to a majority of votes, all vacancies as they occur in the Managing Committees of their respective creeds. The Mahomedans do not constitute quite 5 per centum of the general population; and the interests of that form of "cultus" will be fully represented and protected by a general vestry of the number now proposed.

Vide Enclosures 2, 3 and 4.

† Vide Enclosure No. 5.

‡ Vide Enclosure No. 6.

MANAGING COMMITTEES.

TALUKS.	Number of Members.		
	Hindus.	Mahomedans.	Jains
Chittoor ...	7	3	0
Chendragery ...	5	3	0
Palmanair ...	5	0	0
Gudiatam ...	5	5	0
Vellore ...	7	5	0
Polur ...	5	3	0
Wandawash ...	5	3	3
Wallajah ...	7	5	0
Arcot ...	5	5	0
Total...	51	32	3

VESTRIES OR REPRESENTATIVE COMMITTEES.

TALUKS.	Number of Members.		
	Hindus.	Mahomedans.	Jains.
Chittoor ..	3	2	...
Chendragery ...	2	1	...
Palmanair ...	2	0	...
Gudiatam ...	4	2	...
Vellore ...	4	2	...
Polur ...	1	1	...
Wandawash ...	2	1	...
Wallajah ...	4	2	...
Arcot ...	3	4	...
Total...	25	15	...

8. I am satisfied after fully weighing all the *Pros* and *Cons* as to the extent of jurisdiction which should be assigned to these Managing Committees, that it will be better that each Taluk have its Committee for the group of temples situated within it, however small that number may be. Such an arrangement will provoke less jealousy and hostility, will bring the affairs of each institution under readier control, and will keep alive that more immediate and local interest which is so essential to the permanent and regular operation of the system.

9. The list of names composing the Managing Committees and elective vestry, have been very carefully compiled. It will I think be found that in them are represented the more prevalent creeds and sects, due consideration being given to each member's social and moral position among his own countrymen. These men have not been severally canvassed, and may possibly not all accept the office to which they now stand nominated; but I have by me the means of immediately supplying the places of all who decline to undertake these duties.

10. Among the names now standing on my list are those of several of the more influential and intelligent Monigars of the District, the proviso, set forth in paragraphs 2 and 3 of the Board's instructions not being intended, as I read these passages, to apply to this class, but rather to stipendiary Government servants.

	Hindoos.	Mahomedans.
Vellore. ...	4	2
Wallajapet ...	4	2
Gudiatam ...	4	2
Arcot ...	3	4
Palmanair ...	2	0
Chittoor ...	4	2
Chendragheri ...	1	1
Polur ...	1	1
Wandawash ...	2	1

11. To the elective vestries each Taluk returns in the proportion noted in the margin, its representatives according to its area, and the number and importance of the religious establishments within it.

12. To enlarge this representation, and to give to local sections of the community a more general voice in its selection, would I feel sure, provoke such an amount of antagonism and partisanship that it is better far that we should launch the system on perhaps somewhat too bureaucratic principles, and leave it to time and circumstance to give it gradually a more popular element.

13. The vestries thus numerically constituted, I propose that vacancies among its members should be supplied as they occur by a majority of the votes of the trustees of the religious establishments of the Taluk in whose representation the vacancy has occurred. A general election by the parishioners or congregations immediately interested being, for reasons which I shall shortly notice impracticable; the only two modes which occur to me of filling such vacancies would be that now proposed, or the election by the vestry itself of its new member. I have given the former mode my preference, because I think that it is less likely to disturb the harmonious action of the vestry, and that it will better maintain and gradually enlarge the popular element in its constitution.

14. I am called on to submit my opinion as to the rules for elections required to be framed by Sections 8 and 10 of the Act.

15. I reply with all deference that the purely elective principle would, if applied at this stage, be fatal, for reasons already noticed, to any possible introduction of the system.

16. The nominations under the old system were transparent shams so far as they professed to rest on the election of the parishioners or congregation, the canvass was a myth, and the whole affair was arranged in the Taluk Cutcherry; it was better it should be so, for otherwise the Board would have had very fair nominations to confirm.

17. In Section 8 it is said "the local Government MAY cause an election to be held," and Government observe in their Order "recourse to election will be rarely requisite at the OUTSET."

18. The latent meaning of these expressions seems to me to be that the first nominations must of necessity be official.

19. A vestry or representative corporation, constituted as that now proposed, seems to satisfy the requirement of Section 10, and has as much of the popular or elective element as could be given to it with any chance of success at the present stage of matters.

20. I have not considered myself called on to give any code of bye-laws for the regulation even of the scheme I am submitting, as I think it better that these corporations be left to determine among themselves the times and places of meeting for audit of accounts, and the other duties which they engage to perform. I would suggest, however, with respect to the Committees of management, that a quorum should not be less than three, and that votes by proxy should be admitted as well at their meetings, as at those of the representative Committee or vestry, or howsoever it may be named. A simple majority of votes should determine appointments to fill vacancies in the Managing Committees, vacancies in the vestry being supplied by a majority of the body of the trustees of the Taluk in whose representation the void has occurred.

21. With these remarks, I beg to submit my proposition for the favorable consideration of the Board.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.

ABSTRACT.—Statement showing the names and the annual allowance of the Hindu and Mahomedan religious institutions in the District of North Arcot.

ENCLOSURE No. 2.

ABSTRACT.—Statement showing Talukwar, the number, names, and sects of the members selected for the Managing Committee of the several Hindu religious institutions in the District of North Arcot.

ENCLOSURE No. 3.

ABSTRACT.—Statement showing Talukwar, the number and names of the members selected for the Managing Committee of the several Mahomedan religious institutions in the District of North Arcot.

ENCLOSURE No. 4.

ABSTRACT.—Statement showing the number and names of the members selected for the Managing Committee f the Jain religious institutions in the Wandawash Taluk, North Arcot District.

ENCLOSURE No. 5.

ABSTRACT.—Memorandum of persons selected to compose the elective vestry for Hindu religious institutions in the District of North Arcot.

ENCLOSURE No. 6.

ABSTRACT.—Memorandum of persons selected to compose the elective vestry for Mahomedan religious institutions in the District of North Arcot.

---

1. Mr. Robinson proposes a Committee for each Taluk to exercise the powers hitherto vested in the Board of Revenue, but he has not ascertained whether his nominees will consent to act, and apparently anticipates that some will refuse, nor does he state whether his nominations meet with the general approval of the communities interested. He will be requested to supply these omissions with as little delay as possible, and at the same time to state whether the sectarian animosities of the Vishnu and Siva parties are likely to permit of the satisfactory working of a single Committee only in each Taluk for both sects.

2. Mr. Robsinon further proposes to nominate two District Committees, respectively Hindu and Mahomedan, who shall elect successors to fill vacancies in the Taluk Committees, and who shall themselves be elected, as future vacancies may occur, by the majority of the Trustees of the Temples in the Taluk for which the party creating the vacancy was originally nominated.

3. This arrangement appears to the Board to be altogether inconsistent with the requirements of Section 10 of the Act XX of 1863, which expressly provides for vacancies in Managing Committees being supplied by election by the persons interested in the maintenance of the Temples under the Committee, and evidently contemplates a far more extensive electoral body. The proposition will, however, in due course be submitted to Government with the other proposals for elections under Section 10.

4. The Board do not understand with what object Mr. Robinson has forwarded the Statement of Temples receiving money allowances and the amount of such allowances. These allowances do not constitute property to be relinquished by Government, and their commutation for land is altogether a distinct question, not affected by Act XX of 1863.

5. In regard to the Tripaty Temple, the Collector is requested to state whether the nomination of his successor was formally relinquished with the approval of Government to the Mohunt for the time being, or to the present incumbent. He will please to give the dates of all the correspondence that has passed relative to this subject. It would appear that in his letter dated 30th January 1862, only part of this correspondence is quoted by date.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) W. HUDLESTON,
Secretary.

To J. D. Robinson, Esq., Collector of North Arcot.

Exd. J. Wright.

Enclosure to the Proc. of the Bd of Rev. to the Govt N.W.P. Dated 8th August 1864 No. 5063

1864 Department.

No.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE,

Rev: 2142/64

Dated 7th March 1864.

6 6 6

Rec. 22nd Oct/64 Ltr 278

No. 1,401.

Requesting further information regarding the scheme for giving effect to Act XX of 1863.

No. I

Statement showing the Names and the Annual Allowances of the Hindu and Muhammadan Religious Institutions in the District of North Arcot

Names of Villages	Names of Institutions	Annual Allowance	Remarks
		Rs. a. p.	
		5 4 5	5

Hindu Institutions

Chittoor	Varadarajaswami		341 11 2	
	Casiviswanathaswami		185 1 9	
Cuttamanchi	Kalentiswaraswami		167 10 7	
	Varadarajaswami		72 6 1	
Kalavagunta	Makutiswaraswami		417 6 8	
Puthelpat	Ekmeswaraswami		300 10 7	
	Varadarajaswami		165 13 4	
Kanipakam	Vynayakaswami		194 7 9	
	Manikundeswaraswami		57 12 1	
	Varadarajaswami		36 6 1	
Arugondah	Kothandaramaswami		416 15 10	
	Gopalakrishnaswami		102 9 6	
	Swayambleswaraswami		166 13 3	
Yethamari	Varadharajaswami		64 3 4	
	Yekambreswaraswami		128 12 1	
Yerravaram	Pageswaraswami		222 12 10	

Navipetta	Anjyanayaswami		84 " "	
Parathavami	Sripraisanna Venkateswaraswami		173 11 "	
	Casiviswéswaraswami		332 1 11	
Vallimalay	Subramaneyéswaraswami		198 15 4	
Melpady	Somanatheswaraswami		415 15 2	
			5129 4 6	

Vallimalai	Theramaléswaraswami		21 8 "	
Veppalay	Matturu Bhatteswaraswami		21 " "	
Gollapalai	Shanthoneswaraswami		21 " "	
Vannambali	Lachmineraya Ammaswami		15 12 "	
	Agastheswaraswami		15 12 "	
Mahemandalam	Casiviswanathaswami		21 " "	
			126 " "	
Total Sum for 27 Institutions			5255 4 6	
Palamanir	Sreenivasapalaswami		210 1 10	
Cuddapanattum	Sri Velagondarayaswami		359 10 8	
Bireddipallie	Sreshesh athreswami		161 14 11	
Padimpallie	Sri Ramaswami		156 15 7	

Veerakatchetty	Sriveeragopalaswami	214	12	6
	Sriecasiviswéswaraswami	207	14	9
	Srieothundaramaswami	17	10	11
	Sri Angineyaswami	17	10	11
	Srivumamahéswaraswami	17	10	11
Ramakupam	Sriecasiviswéswaraswami	228	15	"
Byrapelly	Srisameswaraswami	15	9	7
	Sriveeragopalaswami	158	3	5
	For 12 dustitutions	1767	3	"

Godeyattum	Angineyaswami	337	9	7
Nullore	Sribalasarthiéswaraswami	515	7	3
Thuttipat	Srumathavarayaswami	861	4	5
Seruvellum	Sribélwanathéswaraswami	2283	1	7
Brumhapuram	Sri Athicesavaswami	677	14	6
	Sreeprasannavenkatéswaraswami	306	13	4
	Srumagamathaswami	378	9	6
		5696	12	2

Shuttipat

Peranambat	Sreeramachumma	2	12	9
Pongarasicupem	Srikanumanthirayaswami	2	12	9
Thottithosé	Do	2	12	10
Pogalur	Sribhyravanaswami	2	12	10
Yiragathi	Srikothundaramaswami	2	12	10
Gudiyattum	Srikunkiammah	2	14	8
	Srimuthialammah	1	15	8
Punchanur	Srivaradarajaswami	1	15	9
	Sricalyammah	2	12	10
Chattakara	Sri Chellapillayar	2	12	10
Chettibunda	Sri Chennarayaswami	2	12	9
Cheruvankie	Sri Pidaramma	2	12	9
Rajukupam	Sreevenkatéswaraswami	2	12	10
Paravakulli	Srichennarayaswami	2	12	8
Alattur	Sri Chellammah	2	14	8
Anaganullore	Sinanthakadumanakaswami	2	14	8
	Srikathandarammah	17	15	6
Chittattur	Sreecasiviswanathaswami			

Chellapa

Vallé	Sri Subbyra swami	17	15	5
Velathúr	Sri Cúttandar ammah	3	15	6
Muruzapatta	Sri Venkatéswara swami	2	12	10
Nagrazigulapallai	Sri Angin ay a swami	1	6	4
Manúr	Sri Sukkan anchar ammah	2	12	10
Modikuppam	Sri Venkateswara swami	2	12	6
Budithépallé	Sri Chenna Casa swami	1	6	5
Thalémanepallé	Sri Chenn ar ay a swami	2	12	10
Ramalé	Sri Sel an an ath a swami	2	12	9
Pákam	Sri Chenn ar ay a swami	3	15	6
Chengondram	Sri Caliy ammah	2	12	10
Rungampetta	Sri Chenn ar ay a swami	2	12	9
Gundlapallai	Do	2	12	10
Arumalla	Sri Narasunka swami	2	12	10
Gittapallé	Sri Venkateswara swami	2	12	10
Yerathumkut	Sri Kannamah ar ay a swami	2	12	10
Champallai	Sri Chel Caperumal	2	12	9
Nalaiyanellúr	Sri Peperumal swami	2	12	10

Gudiyatta Villages

Cherlopallai	Sri Prasanna Venkateswara swami	2	12	9
Gufrakad	Do	2	12	10
Cavanúr	Sri Kyl Sa an ath a swami	7	"	"
	Sri Varad ar aj a swami	7	"	"
	Sri Casi an ch ar ammah	11	14	5
Gudamúr	Sri Angin ay a swami	32	6	11
Canjayanellúr	Sri Sundar ar ad araja swami	12	"	"
	Sri Subbram anes war a swami	12	"	"
Ammandi	Sri Arth an ath a swami	12	"	"
Annagandi	Sri Prasanna Venkateswar a swami	52	8	"
Chinkád	Sri Athi asana swami	21	"	"
	Sri Nagan ath a swami	21	"	"
Kempa raja puram	Sri Lakshmin ar ay an a swami	15	12	"
	Sri Soman athéswar a swami	15	12	"
Cattupadi	Sri Varath ar aj a swami	10	8	"
	Sri Kyl as an ath a swami	10	8	"
Calamlúr	Sri Swarnamokeswar a swami	31	8	"
Irelúr	Sri Kant an athéswara swami	12	"	"

Vellé	Sri Calabhyra Swami	17	15	5
Velathúr	Sri Cúttandar Ammah	3	15	6
Immuzapatta	Sri Venkatéswara Swami	2	12	10
Nagarajzulapallai	Sri Angiraya Swami	1	6	4
Iranúr	Sri Sukanandhar Ammah	2	12	10
Nodikuppam	Sri Venkatéswara Swami	2	12	6
Budithépallé	Sri Chenna Casava Swami	1	6	5
Thalémanepallé	Sri Chennaraya Swami	2	12	10
Ramalé	Sri Selvanatha Swami	2	12	9
Pákam	Sri Chennaraya Swami	3	15	6
Chingondram	Sri Caliy Ammah	2	12	10
Rungampetta	Sri Chennaraya Swami	2	12	9
Gundlapallai	Do	2	12	10
Arnattla	Sri Narasimha Swami	2	12	10
Gettapallé	Sri Venkatéswara Swami	2	12	10
Gorathumbut	Sri Kanumanthraya Swami	2	12	10
Champallai	Sri Chelapperumál	2	12	9
Palaiyanellúr	Sri Perumalu Swami	2	12	10

Vellaru

Cherlopallai	Sri Prasanna Venkatéswara Swami	2	12	9
Gufrakad	Do	2	12	10
Caranúr	Sri Kylasanatha Swami	7	"	"
	Sri Varadaraja Swami	7	"	"
	Sri Casinanchar Ammah	11	14	5
Gudamúr	Sri Angiraya Swami	32	6	11
Cangayanellúr	Sri Sumantharavara Sarajaswami	42	"	"
	Sri Subbramanéswara Swami	42	"	"
Nimmadi	Sri Narasinha Swami	42	"	"
Annagandi	Sri Prasanna Venkatéswara Swami	52	8	"
Chinkád	Sri Athisasana Swami	21	"	"
	Sri Nagana Atha Swami	21	"	"
Kemparajapuram	Sri Lakshmi Narayana Swami	15	12	"
	Sri Somanatheswara Swami	15	12	"
Cattupadi	Sri Varatharaja Swami	10	8	"
	Sri Kylasanatha Swami	10	8	"
Calamúr	Sri Swayambu Mokeswara Swami	31	8	"
Irelúr	Sri Kantanatheswara Swami	42	"	"

Vallé	Sri Calabhyra naswami	17	15	5
Velathúr	Sri Cúthandar ammah	3	15	6
Iruvegapatta	Sri Venkateswaraswami	2	12	10
Nagrajzulapallé	Sri Angjinayaswami	1	6	4
Imanúr	Sri Sukanandachar ammah	2	12	10
Modikuppam	Sri Venkateswaraswami	2	12	6
Budithépallé	Sri Chenna casavaswami	1	6	5
Thalémanepallé	Sri Chennarayaswami	2	12	10
Ramalé	Sri Sadanandhaswami	2	12	9
Pákam	Sri Chennarayaswami	3	15	6
Chengondram	Sri Caliyammah	2	12	10
Rungampetta	Sri Chennarayaswami	2	12	9
Gundlapallé	Do	2	12	10
Arandalu	Sri Narasimhaswami	2	12	10
Gettapallé	Sri Venkateswaraswami	2	12	10
Gerathumbut	Sri Kanamanthanarayaswami	2	12	10
Champallé	Sri Chel Caperumal	2	12	9
Palaiyanellúr	Sri Peperumaleswami	2	12	10

Vellara

Cherlopallé	Sri Prasanna Venkateswaraswami	2	12	9
Gupfakad	Do	2	12	10
Cavanúr	Sri Kylasanathaswami	7	"	"
	Sri Varadarajaswami	7	"	"
	Sri Casimanchar ammah	11	14	5
Gudamúr	Sri Angjinayaswami	32	6	11
Sangayanellúr	Sri Sanathanavaradarajaswami	42	"	"
	Sri Subbramaneswaraswami	42	"	"
Ammandi	Sri Arthanathaswami	42	"	"
Annayandi	Sri Prasanna Venkateswaraswami	52	8	"
Chinkád	Sri Athisasanaswami	21	"	"
	Sri Naganathaswami	21	"	"
Hemparajapuram	Sri Lakshminarayanaswami	15	12	"
	Sri Somanatheswaraswami	15	12	"
Cattupadi	Sri Varathurayaswami	10	8	"
	Sri Kylasanathaswami	10	8	"
Calambúr	Sri Swarnamookeswaraswami	31	8	"
Melúr	Sri Kanthanatheswaraswami	42	"	"

Mudigambat	Sri Dharmalingaswami	21	"	"
Vallattangottupalam	Sri varadarajaswami	52	8	"
Kangayam	Sri Mahadevaswami	21	"	"
	Sri Ramanathaswami	21	"	"
Lathuri	Sri Prasanna Venkateswaraswami	21	"	"
Dharapadavedu	Sri varadarajaswami	10	8	"
	Sri Ranganathaswami	10	8	"
Perur	Sri Agastheswaraswami	42	"	"
Somanur	Sri Kylasanatheswaraswami	21	"	"
Sengai	Sri Sundra Bhargavesakayaswami	31	8	"
Sivapuri	Sri Somanatheswaraswami	21	"	"
Karanambat	Sri Kylasanatheswaraswami	42	"	"
		854	4	11
	For 14 Institutions	6551	11	1

Perungipuram	Sri Amirthakumbeswami	1713	13	6
Pallikonda	Sri Venkatachala Ranganadaswami	1103	1	7
Agaram	Sri Gajendra Varadarajaswami	278	13	6
Irugattukundi	Sri Kalakaleswaraswami	294	2	1

Arur	Sri Vinayagaswaraswami	197	4	6
Villore	Sri Lakshminarayanaperumal	437	11	10
Sholavaram	Sri varadarajaswami	276	5	"
Perumpakkiparam	Sri Venugopalaswami	133	2	9
	For 8 Institutions	1455	"	9

Polur	Sri Lakshminarasimhaswami	461	7	6
	Ramachandraswami	423	4	"
Padavedu	Renukamba	230	"	"
Pallikondur	Sri Subramaneswaraswami	190	"	"
Vilvarayanallur	Sri Kasiviswaraswami	288	15	"
	For 5 Institutions	1597	4	6

Vandavasi	Jalakanteswaraswami	503	2	10
	Ranganadaswami			
Venjamam	Dhavalagireswaraswami	175	"	"
Avaniyapuram	Sri Lakshminarasimhaswami	632	2	"
	Srinivasaswami			
	Sri Ramachandraswami	331	7	4
	Dirgha Chaleswaraswami	142	12	11
	For 7 Institutions	1784	9	1

Mulagambat	Sri Dammalingaswami	21	"	"
Valatthanakupam	Sivaradarajaswami	52	8	"
Kangeyam	Sivamahadevaswami	21	"	"
Lalgudi	Sivaramanathaswami	21	"	"
	Sivapasanna Venkateswaraswami	21	"	"
Dharapadavedu	Sivaradarajaswami	10	8	"
	Sivaringanathaswami	10	8	"
Sirkali	Sivagnanatheswaraswami	42	"	"
Somanur	Sivakylasanatheswaraswami	21	"	"
Sengi	Sri Sundara Mrigasahayaswami	31	8	"
Sivur	Sri Somanatheswaraswami	21	"	"
Haranambat	Sivakylasanatheswaraswami	42	"	"
		854	11	11
	For 14 Institutions	6551	11	1
Perinjeepuram	Sivamagabhanthuswami	1713	13	6
Pallikonda	Sivavishnu Rungadaswami	1103	1	7
Agaram	Sivagajendra Varadarajaswami	298	13	6
Pungavakumbadi	Sivakameswaraswami	294	2	1

Amur	Trinayaneswaraswami	197	4	6
Pillore	Sivachidambaranatharswami	1437	11	10
Sholavaram	Sivanadamajaswami	276	5	"
Perumpacheeparam	Sivamungosalaswami	133	2	9
	For 8 Institutions	4455	"	9
Polur	Sivachidambaranathaswami	461	7	6
Padavedu	Ramachandraswami	423	4	"
	Renukambal	230	"	"
Ezhkathur	Sivasubramaneswaraswami	190	"	"
Vilvarayanpet	Visweswaraswami	288	15	"
	For 5 Institutions	1597	4	6
Vandavasi	Jalagandeswaraswami	503	2	10
	Rungadaswami			
Venjamam	Dakshinamurtheswaraswami	175	"	"
Avaniyapuram	Sivachidambaranathaswami	632	2	"
	Sivavishnuswami			
	Sri Ramachandraswami	331	7	4
	Dirgha Chaleswaraswami	142	12	11
	For 7 Institutions	1784	9	1

Tesur	Vaseshteswar a swami	44	9 "
Valapudi	Bhimeshwar Varadarajaswami	225	13 2
	Bhukta Vacheswar a swami	191	13 1
Sivalloor	Sivadapureshwar a swami	1098	4 1
	For 4 Institutions	1963	7 4
Cauverypakum	Swamitheswar a swami	156	11 2
	Venkatagar a jaswami	85	13 2
Thirumalpuram	Dhanushkantheswar a swami	137	5 9
Sholingpur	Venkatachellam ar a simhaswami	1112	6 1
Kodi	Chengalveswar a swami	356	6 4
Nagira Perumanchi	Nagira Perumal a swami	268	6 9
Pallaloor	For 6 Institutions	2117	8 1
Cauverypakum	Swamitheswar a swami	31	8 "
Thirumalpuram	Venkatagar a jaswami	1	" "
Sholingpur	Venkatachellam ar a swami	28	" "
Perumal	Vyloosamitheswar a swami	52	8 "
Dhanushkotam	Devatheswar a swami	7	" "
Nagumedu	Agastheswar a swami	7	" "
Vellore	Swamiar ayan a Perumal	110	" "
Thakkolam	Nagalam a deswar a swami	38	8 "
Balagolam	Sivasundara Raja Perumal	754	8 "
Sozamur	Viswanatheswar a swami	28	" "
Sholavaram	Subbalingam deswar a swami	21	" "
Perur	Anantapadmanabha swami	21	" "
	Subhadra swami	21	" "
Velambakam	Nageshwar a swami	21	" "
Pallikondai	Varadharaja swami	21	" "
Harsathi	Vetheswar a swami	31	8 "
Tengyam	Lakshmi Narayan a swami	21	" "
	Veerabadreswar a swami	21	" "
	For 18 Institutions	519	8 "
	For 24 Institutions	2737	" 1
	Total allowance for 71 Thirtieth Institutions	24511	1 5
	" for 90 Chellans — Do —	1600	6 11
	" 161 "	26111	8 1

Cheanjeeram	Varadarajaswami			116	" 7
Veruppagudi	Vijeeraghavaswami			2155	9
Cholingheur	Annadanum	Choultry		1280	9 5
				2772	3 8 1

Mahommedan Institutions.

Kusba Chittoor	Jumjeed			31	8 "
Do	Eedgah			10	8 "
Do	Do			42	" "
Do	Asaré Sherif			138	11 8
Do	Do			48	" "
Do	Jumma Jumjeed			63	" "
Do	Do			48	" "
Chendraghiry	For the expenditure in Jumjeed			12	6 "
Do	Do			37	8 "

Chalyattum	Inchabia	Allemahomed		22	2 "
Talgheri	Jumma Jumjeed			336	" "
Gudamur	Asaréshérif			60	" "
Do	For expenses at the Inchar anglesiahs and for Support			60	" "
Do	Dargah Monument			96	" "
Do	Jumjeed			70	12 10
Do	Walajah Jumjeed			70	12 8
Do	Jumjeed			70	12 10
Do	Do			70	12 10
Talgheri	Asaré Sherif			59	" "
Amurghud	Dargah			351	" "
Talgheri	Do			15	" "
Mullur	Jumjeed			46	8 8
Do	Do			16	8 8
Gudyattum	Asaréshérif			8	8 "
Amurgud	Dargah			8	8 "
Valattoor	Jumjeed			14	12 "
Chalyattum	Jumjeed Dargah			67	6 10

Gudiyattum

Shalyattum	Mosjeed		67	6 10
Do	Do	Durga	67	6 10
Do	Do	—	67	6 10
Do	Do		67	6 10
Satghur	Mosjeed		67	7 "
Ambur	Mosjeed		126	" "
Do	Ambur	Durga	88	8 "
Do	Do		88	8 "
Gufraband	Mosjeed		32	" "
Ambur	Do		70	12 10
Gudiyattum	Do		19	6 "
Do	Do		19	6 "
Satghur	Shah Yusuf	Durga	12	" "
Do	Jamallasa	Durga	37	5 4
Gufraband	Mosjeed		72	" "
Satghur	Mosjeed		93	1 4
Gudiyattum	Do		52	" "

Vellore

Vellore	Chundasa	Mosjeed	48 " "

Do —	Mosjeed near the Circar Mundi		30 " "
Lalleepuram	Do		36 " "
Arugantham Mundi	Durga		177 " "
Do	Syed Shah ali Hussin	Durga	177 " "
Vellore	Syed Shah	Mosjeed	30 " "
Do	Syed Abdul Hussin	Mosjeed —	60 " "
Do	Meer Abdullah Khan	Do	72 " "
Do	Asare Shreef		177 " "
Do	Durga		88 8 "
Do	Syed Ali Sultan	Durga	153 8 "
Do	Do		153 8 "
Do	Do		47 " "
Hussinabad	Mosjeed		65 " "
Vellore Chavuk	Do		177 " "
Do	Do		22 " "
Vellore Bazar	Do		177 " "
Vellore	Yedgah		22 " "

Vellore

47

Shalyattum	Mosjeed		67	6 10
Do	Do	Durga	67	6 10
Do		Do	67	6 10
Do		Do	67	6 10
Do		Do	67	6 10
Satgheir	Mosjeed		67	7 "
Amurghaud	Amurdiddum	Durga	126	" "
Do		Do	88	8 "
Gufrahaud	Mosjeed		88	8 "
Amúr		Do	32	" "
Gudiyattum		Do	70	12 10
Do		Do	19	6 "
Satgheir		Do	19	6 "
Do	Shah Ausuf	Durga	12	" "
Do	Janallasa	Durga	37	5 4
Gufrahaud	Mosjeed		72	" "
Satgheir	Mosjeed		93	1 4
Gudiyattum	Do		52	" "
Vellore	Chundata	Mosjeed	48	" "
Do	Mosjeed	Near the Circular Mundi	30	" "
Lalleepuram	Do		36	" "
Aruganthum Mundi	Darga		177	" "
Do	Syed Shah Ali Hussein	Darga	177	" "
Vellore	Syed Shah	Mosjeed	30	" "
Do	Syed Abdul Hussein	Mosjeed	60	" "
Do	Meer Abdullah Khan	Do	72	" "
Do	Asare Sheriff		177	" "
Do	Darga		88	8 "
Do	Syed Ali Sultan	Darga	153	8 "
Do	Do		153	8 "
Do	Do		47	" "
Hussinabad	Mosjeed		65	" "
Vellore Chavuk	Do		177	" "
Do	Do		22	" "
Vellore Bazar	Do		177	" "
Vellore	Gedgah		22	" "

Pellore	Hazarat Ali Poorvos	1200 " "
Do	Kazi Kizmatto	177 " "
Do	Asthana	63 " "
Do	Mussjeed	48 " "
Arugantham Kundi	Dargah	88 8 "
Pellore	Asareshery	126 " "
Do	Hassin ali Darga	88 8 "
Do	Asareshrif	138 1 3
Sembakam	Srisatyadi Rajaswami Brunda vanam	240 " "
Pallicondá	Mussjeed	59 12 10
Arni	Kasthasha Dargah	58 15 10
Do	Do	59 " 1
Polúr	Pisha Imam Mussjeed	88 8 "
Do	Mussjeed	102 11 "
Pandawash	Darga	43 38
Arcot	Jayuddin alikhan Mussjeed	44 4 "
Do	Do	22 2 "
Do	Mussjeed	66 5 10
Do	Imamkhan Mussjeed	44 3 10
Pipir	Shabitthallo and Shabeckiya	354 " "
Peerjattapettah	Asareshriff	177 " "
Arcot	Mussjeed	9 7 8
Do	Do	9 7 8
Syd Mahomed Peerjattapuram	Do	9 7 10
Do	Do	9 7 8
Syd Mahomed Peerjattapuram	Mussjeed	9 7 8
Do	Do	9 7 8
Dawdkhan Chavukam	Shah Sathagul Hussin Darga	67 8 "

Nagadekkam Nagabattam	}	Dargah	67	8 "
Do		Do	67	8 "
Nakomdjuram		Do	354	" "
Arcot		Usmanda Saravar Dargah	88	8 "
Alakattumpetta		Mosjeed	88	8 "
Thennini		Pallevar Mosjeed	26	1 8
Arcot		Nakhtansa Do	44	4 "
Do		Asare Sherif	354	" "
Do		Nakhtansa Mosjeed	44	4 "
Do		Great Asares Sherif	120	" "
Do		Do	120	" "
Do		Do	120	" "
Do		Do	120	" "
Do		Do	120	" "
Do		Do	120	" "
Do		Do	84	" "
Do		Do	120	" "
Do		Do	120	" "
Do		Nakhoud Shahiya Juram Mosjeed	88	8 "
At the entrance				
Leading to Pillar Arcot	}	Asare Sherif	201	" "
Arcot		Do	21	" "
Do		Syed Abdulwahab Mosjeed	180	" "
Do		Jamal ali Mosjeed	240	" "
Sheragalapuram		Large Asare Sherif	120	" "
Do		Dargah	118	" "
Syed Nakomdjan Jamidar Juram	}	Mosjeed	126	5 8
Nawajpoor		Asare Sherif	36	" "
Arcot		Mosjeed	110	" "
Murgul am Nussempuram	}	Dargah	23	4 4
Arcot		Do	36	" "
Kaverpaukam		Mosjeed	55	5 "
Wallajahuggur		Do	271	6 4
Punjé		Dargah	117	4 10
Do		Do	117	4 10
Kaverpakam		For Sedantam Almonne	60	" "

Arcot

Wallajah

Shanadeh Shanah	}	Dargah	67	8 "
Do		Do	67	8 "
Ibrahim Sahiban		Do	354	" "
Arcot		Pusnandsa Saravar Dargah	88	8 "
Alahathumpetah		Mosjeed	88	8 "
Chunnie		Lakhevar Mosjeed	26	1 8
Arcot		Shahtunsa Do	44	4 "
Do		Asareshreef	354	" "
Do		Shahtunsa Mosjeed	44	4 "
Do		Great Asareshreef	120	" "
Do		Do	120	" "
Do		Do	120	" "
Do		Do	120	" "
Do		Do	120	" "
Do		Do	84	" "
Do		Do	120	" "
Do		Do	120	" "
Do		Ibrahim Shahiba Sahiban Mosjeed	88	8 "

At the entrance leading to Pillar in Arcot

		Asareshreef	201	" "
Arcot		Do	21	" "
Do		Syd Abdulwahab Mosjeed	180	" "
Do		Jamalabi Mosjeed	240	" "
Nirazolapuram		Large Asareshreef	120	" "
Do		Do	118	" "
Syd Mahomed Jamidupuram	}	Mosjeed	126	5 8
Aravapoor		Asareshreef	36	" "
Arcot		Mosjeed	110	" "
Mungalam Mussumpuram	}	Dargah	23	4 11
Arcot		Do	36	" "

Kaverippakam	Mosjeed	55	5 "
Palliapalayam	Do	271	6 4
Pungé	Dargah	117	14 10
Do	Do	117	4 10
Kaverippakam	For Sedantam Almanac	60	" "

Do.	Do.	1200 " "
Ranchet	Imamjeed	132 12 "
Do	Darga	84 " "
Walliju Ruggar	Imamjeed	177 " "
Ranjeeto	Kokalcira	88 8 "
Areot	Persian School	247 12 "
Pallaju	Do	29 8 "
Areot	Jumma Imamjeed	1076 3 "
Shaikpur an	Imamsyed Ali Shah Barusaya	250 " "
Areot Chavadi	Gattal Syud Darga	365 " "
Areot	Anthrajani Dargah	700 " "
Do	Asarenkuf	197 " "
Do	Do	197 " "
Areot	Hazi Hazimatta	1075 6 "

North Areot
Collector's Office
Chittoor 14th February
1864

Collector

Dated the 6th March 1866.

ment has thus long been complete
& it is very undesirable that Govt.
should now interfere in its affairs
- Under these circumstances it seems
one that the Civil Court is the proper
which Peters, or any other persons inter-
ested in the Pagoda, should turn if
they are dissatisfied with its manage-
ment or see due cause for trying to
get it placed in fresh hands - (See
Sect 4 & Sec 26 of Act 20 of 1863)
- I cannot for a moment admit the
Peters argument that that Govt did
in 1843 was invalid & that the Govt
is bound now by Reg 7 of 1817 to

interfere in the affairs of the Pagoda -
- Whatever Govt did in 1843 is not to be
questioned now after such a lapse of
years, and the Pagoda has retained
endowments in land or money to
which Reg 7 of 1817 refers - It is dep-
rived by voluntary offerings, & when
the Resident took charge there were
neither lands nor payments of money
to be transferred to him -
- It is desirable however not to enter into
arguments or decide any general question
and the Peters should, I think, be told
briefly that as the Pagoda comes under
Sect 4 of the Act, Govt cannot interfere
in the manner they urge -

Chittoor	1 S. Rayava chartí Vishnuvite			
	2 Dattagi Roú Siviti			
	3 C. Margasahaya Pilli Siviti			
	4 K. Raghviah Siviti		27	5,253 4 6
	5 Ramadassuppa Nayanivaru Poligar of Bangarí Siviti			
	6 Shami Reddipullai Guaniam Rami Reddi Siviti			
	7 Davalampéta Vootumbadg Mari Basuppa Chetty Siviti			
Chandragherry	1 Runga Roú Pensioned Shirestadar of that Talug Siviti			
	2 Pangulúr Verasami Chetty Siviti			
	3 Shanumbatla Ironiem		"	" " "
	Venkata Reddi Sishumviti			
	4 Punniya Cotti Sreenivasa Chetty - Siviti			
	5 Talapallum Venkatasasha Chárli Vishnuvite			
Palmanair	1 Kunji Goudú Managar Siviti			
	2 K. Narayana Roú Siviti			
	3 Murugappa Chetty Do		12	1,673 4
	4 K. Venkatasa Chetty Do			
	5 Samprathi Rama Roú Do			
Godiathum	1 Madava Roú Do			
	2 N. Sreenivasa Rajuia Charli Vishnuvite			
	3 Sayer Narasinga Roú Do		73 ×	6,351 11 1
	4 Pelliah Mudali Managar Siviti			
	5 G. Ravilla Chinna Singam Nadú Vishnuvite			

× Of these 8 are large Pagodas, and the remaining 65 are minor ones the average amounting to which on an average from Rs 42-6 Rs 1-6-

1.
3

Chittoor

1 A Rayava chartie Vishnumite

2 Dattagi Roú Sivite

3 C Nargasahaya Pilli Sivite

4 K Rayaiah Sivite } 27 5,253 4 6

5 Ramadassappa Nayaniwaru Poligar of Bangaul Sivite

6 Shanireddipullai Shaniam Rani Reddi Sivite

7 Davalumpéta Vootumbady Nari Basuppa Chetty Sivite

Chandragherry

1 Runga Roú Renouned Shere tadar of that Salary Sivite

2 Pangulúr Verasami Chetty Sivite

3 Shanumbatta Naniem } " " "

Venkata Reddi Subsidies

4 Pamniya Cotti Sreenivasa Chetty - Sivite

Palmanair

5 Talapatlum Venkatasasha Charlie Vishnumite } 5

1 Kunji Goudu Managar Sivite

2 K Narayana Roú Sivite

3 Murugappa Chetty Do } 12 1,767 3 "

4 K Venkatasa Chetty Do

5 Samprathi Rama Roú Do

Godiathum

1 Madava Roú Do

2 N Sreenivasa Rajula Charlie Vishnumite

3 Sayer Narasinga Roú Do } 73 6,351 11 1 × Of these 8 are large Pagodas, and the remaining 65 are minor ones the readymoney payments to which vary from Rs 42 to Rs 1-6-

4 Pellah Imdali Managar Sivite

5 G Ravilla Chinna Tingam Nandi Vishnumite

× Of these 8 are large Pagodas, and the remaining 65 are minor ones the readymoney payments to which vary from Rs 42 to Rs 1-6-

6

5

Vellore	1 Vedam Ramasastria	Smarta		
	2 K. Ramaswamy Iya	Do		
	3 J Kaluram Lalla	Vaishnavite		
	4 Muthu Manikya Chetty	Smarta	8	4455 9
	5 V. Govindu Mudali	Vaishnavite		
	6 K. Peruma Mudali	Manager Smarta		
	7 K. Annia Charlu of Pulliconda	Vaishnavite		
Polur	1 Parasuramiah Manian of Mambilla	Smarta		
	2 C. Srinivasa Rau	Manager Madhwa	5	1597 46
	3 A. Rushpathi Mudali	Smarta		
	4 B. Vera Reddy			
	5 Rungia Mudali	Manager Do.		
Wandiwash	1 Shanmuguru Ramasami	Manager Smarta		
	2 V. Sashachella Chetty	Do		
	3 T Iyasami Iyangar	Vaishnavite	7	17849 1
	4 B. Narasinga Rau	Madhwa		
	5 Iyappa Reddi	Manager of Smarta		
Arcot	1 Sundara Sastry	Do		
	2 Padmanabha Mudali	Do		
	3 Runganatha Mudali	Do	4	1963 7 4
	4 W. Hanumaji Rau	Madhwa		
	5 Srinivasa Ragava chary	Vishnavite Manager of Dasi		

Wallajah

1 Venkata Reddy Muttuda
of Aregaluvadi Sinks

2 V. Anuntha Chary
Vishfinite

3 Reghunatha Mudali of
Ranipett. Sinks

4 C. P. Ramanjulu
Nayadu - Desfunmite

5 Athipathum Ruttna ... 24 2/39 " 1
Reddi Inanagar
Sinks —

6 Inangalum Manigar
Sherawenga da Charlu
Vishnulvite —

7 Baboo Roi of Nelatunta
Roi pett Inamdar Mayor

North Arcot
Collr Office
Chittoor 4th February 1/24

Collector

Rev = 2142/64

In a letter from the Collector of North Arcot to the Secretary to the Board of Revenue dated 4th February 1864 No 27

Enclosure No 3

Statement showing & alleging the number and names of the members selected for the Managing Committee of the Several Mahomedan Religious Institutions within the District of North Arcot.

Ltr No 697 D 1864
4-A-22nd Oct/64 L L 278

5 Arcot Shafiehsahibdar

Wallajah:

1	Arnul Konda Jaghirdar Hussain Dost Khan Bahadar	10	1134	5
2	Mahomed Gouse Jaghirdar of Habannulla Kuttpum			
3	Inuzaferbeg Inamdar of Kavenipak			
4	Gojafer Hussen			
5	W. Madenz Hussen Sahib			

Sorth Arcot
Collectors Office
Chittoor 4th February 1834.

Collector

No. IV

Statement Shewing the Number and Names of the Members Selected for the Managing Committee of the Jaganie Religious Institutions in the Vrad amaskotah Tuluq. North Arcot District.

Name of Tuluq	No. and Names of Members of each Managing Committee.	Total No. of Institutions	Total amount of annual money allowance Rs. As. P.
Vrad amaskotah	1 Ponnuru Ayadore Ayyár 2 Gelangadu Munia-badra Ayyár 3 Dévi Chinna Chinna-ra Ayyár 4 Séndamangalam Chinnana Ayyár 5 Viradúr Perumal Ayyár 6 Cuddalore Amma-yappa Ayyár 7 Kolabaloor Appaji Ayyár	20	

North Arcot
Collectorate 4th February 1864

Collector

Rec = 2142/64

In a letter from the Collector of North Arcot to the Secretary to the Board of Revenue dated 4th February 1864. No 27

Enclosure No 5

Memo of persons selected to compose the electric testing for Hindu Religious Institutions in the District of Nellore.

Copy of the Government Order No 697 dated 2nd December 1863.

No V

Memo of persons selected to compose the Electoral Assts for Hindu Religious institutions in the District of North Arcot.

Name of Taluq	No	Names & Casts of persons selected	Caste
Chittoor	1	Poligar of Nagunte Polliem	Balja
	2	V. Vardachárlu - Court Vakeel. Vishnuvite	Brahmin
	3	Viraka Kellur Manium Chinna - munéreddi. - Vishnuvite	Reddi
Chandragherry	4	Thammaji Row Pensioned Sub Division Shirastadar - Smarto	Brahmin
	5	Wallajapett Comandooru Narsimha Charlu - Vishnuvite	Do.
Palmanér	6	Srinivasa Row Pensioned Tasildar of Late Tiruvalam Taluq -	Do
	7	Jallipéta Subbiah Sivaite	Do.
Gudiátham	8	Alattur Bhimáchi Naidu	Kummavár
	9	C. Kristnappa Mudaly	Vellála
	10	A. Dévasikhamony Mudaly Monigar	Do.
	11	Chenji Manium Venkatasamy Mudy	Do
Vellore	12	Sirnala Row Sahib Jagirdar & Arnj Karnatic	Brahmin
	13	Catah Lutchman Row Sivite	Do
	14	Chinna Yelia Pernal Chetty Do	Béri
	15	Shótalam Varadappa Naidu Vishnuvite	Sudra
Polur	16	Kunnatturu Syareddi	Do
Wandiwash	17	V. Kristnasamy Iyer Monegar	Brahmin
	18	Asur Shirnala Chairy Vishnuvite	Do.
Arcot	19	Vepur Kristnappa Mudali Monigar	Sudra
	20	Nyesayanúr Ramasami Iyer	Brahmin
	21	Chengulroya Naidu Vishnuvite	Sudra
Wallajah	22	Kavéripakam Védánthia Syengar Do.	Brahmin
	23	C Narasimhacharlu Do	Do
	24	Nagaredu Védéchela Reddy Manigar	Sudra
	25	Venkatasamy Raju. Vishnuvite	Do

North Arcot
Collectors Office
Chittoor 12th February 1841

Collector

No V

Memo of persons selected to compose the Electoral Roster for Hindu Religious Institutions in the District of North Arcot.

Name of Taluq	No	Names & Seats of persons selected.	Caste.
Chittoor	1	Poligar of Nargunti Poliem	Balji
	2	V. Vardachariu - Court Vakeel. Pushmundi	Brahmin
	3	Viraraghellúr Ramiem Chinna - unnéreddi - Pushmundi	Reddi
Chindragherry	4	Shanmaji Row Pensioned Sub Division Shirastedar - Pundit	Brahmin
	5	Wallajapett Comandooru Narsinha Charlu - Pushmundi	Do.
Palmanér	6	Srinivasa Row Pensioned Tasildar of late Tiruvalam Taluq -	Do
	7	Jallipéta Subbiah Shanbogue Pundit	Do.
Gudiáttam	8	Alattúr Bhimáchi Naidu	Kummavaniér
	9	C. Kristnappa Mudaly	Do Vélla
	10	A. Dévasikhamony Mudaly Monigar	Do.
	11	Chenji Ramiem Venkatasamy Mudy	Do.
Vellore	12	Sirmala Row Sahibjagirdar Shanbogue	Brahmin
	13	Otah Lutchman Row Savér	Do.
	14	Chinna Yelia Pernal Chetty	Do Béri
	15	Shótalam Varadappa Naidu Pushmundi	Sudra
Polúr	16	Kunnatturu Syareddi	Do
Wandiwash	17	V. Kristnasamy Iyer Monegar	Brahmin
	18	Ásur Shirmala Chairy Pushmundi	Do.
Arcot	19	Vépur Kristnappa Mudali Monigar	Sudra
	20	Yesayanúr Ramasami Iyer	Brahmin
	21	Chengulroya Naidu Pushmundi	Sudra
Wallajah	22	Kávéripakam Védánthia Syengar	Do. Brahmin
	23	C Narasimhachariu	Do Do
	24	Nagavédu Védáchela Reddy Monigar	Sudra
	25	Venkatasamy Raju - Pushmundi	Do

Madras Revenue Collectors Office
Chittoor 11th February 1841

Collector

27

Memorandum of persons selected to compose the Electoral
Body for Mahomedan Religious Institutions in the
District of North Arcot.

Name of Taluq	Nos. & names of persons selected for Electoral Body	
Chittoor	1 Mohamed Gowsaib Court Vakeel	
	2 Vakil Ibram Subadar	
	3 Sirpathi Dade Saib	
Chendragerry Palmanair Gudiyatham	4 Syna Mahomed Kasim Saib	Vide list of the Managing Committee
	5 Hakeem Basanalli	
Vellore	6 Rusthum Alli Khan	
	7 Mir Asadulla Khan	
	8 Mahomed Jafersá	
Polúr,	9 Syed Gulam Ibahim	
Wandewash	10 Ersaff Sha	
Arcot	11 Hamed Hussen	
	12 Gulam Mohadin	
	13 Sha Jashirdar of Valavanur	
	14 Syed Imam Pad Subadar	
Wallajah	15 Syed Pir Subadar	

Recommended
Collector's Office
Chittoor 4th February 18[illegible]

Collector

Revenue Department

Petn No: 2829.

From authorities of the Devarajaswamy Pagoda at Conjeveram praying that it may be declared exempt from the authority of Pagoda committee

proposed Endorsement

statements are required to the endorsement on petn: 2453 of 1865

Mem

The Honorable Mr Phillips has directed this endorsement to be kept back. He is of opinion that the maidens of the Devarajaswamy pagoda at Conjeveram should be stated that as their pagoda falls under Sec: 4 Act XX of 1863 the Collector will not

* The Government are not competent to declare petitioners exempt from the jurisdiction of Temple committees under Act XX of 1863; but the law has carefully defined that jurisdiction; & in the event of any improper interference being attempted, petitioners can defend their rights in the established courts.

Vide G. O. 3181 Aug 1865 No 2048

Note 2 more pagodas have within the last day or two petitioned to be declared exempt. The Durmacurta of one of them told me that for his own part he thought it would be safer

Rev: 2142/65

not be required to take its affairs into consideration when preparing his Committee lists.

As, however, the Collector has officially submitted his proposals such an intimation would hardly meet the case.

There could, I think, be no objection to treat this petition like that of the priests of the Tripliceme pagoda and say:

"The Governor in Council adheres to the orders which "have already been passed; "but he observes that as "the hereditary" character "of the wardenship of the "Devarayaswamy pagoda at Conjeeveram has been recognized by the Board,

to have Hoisting &c placed under a committee such as Govt had declared Inpatigy Temple came exempt, it had caused the "respectable" to a "common" committee temple

Such an order has however been issued in the case of the Triplicane pagoda. Vide G.O. 2nd Feb 1874 - No 145 - It should be noticed that in this case the Inpatty temple was the only one in the Chingleput district, so that Govt were forced to decide whether a committee should be appointed for it or not

by Govt and by the Courts, it is very unlikely that the pagoda committee will attempt to interfere with it, and if they do petitioners will have no difficulty in vindicating their rights.

This I think is the safe only way in which Govt can endeavour to prevent litigation.

If they commit themselves to a distinct order on the subject, and the matter ever comes before the Courts, it will be treated with scant courtesy.

There is another way however, in which the same object might have been gained.

Under

Under Sec: 4 Reg: XX of 1863, in the case of temples like this, the Board are to transfer all pagodas property and all their "powers and responsibilities" to the hereditary trustees. If such a transfer were made as a matter of routine after due enquiry and by a formal instrument, I do not think the Committees would be likely to interfere and the dignity of Govt would be in no way imperilled.

The Board however have committed themselves to a different view of the case, and it is late in the day for Govt to request them to change their policy. —

24.1.66. J.S.

Vide Board Bo in Govt Bs 29 Aug 1865 No 2029 put up with the other mem.

Under Secs: 4 Reg: XX of

18.

53

After perusing the two Memos of Sol. Gen. & Govt Pleader, & the papers on the packet, I deem it necessary to add to the remarks I formerly made in regard to interference by Govt with the Palaces at Conjeeveram.

Act 20 of 1863 enables the Govt to direct the management of religious Endowments & Institutions, whether Hindu or Mahomedan.

By its Section 3, Govt are to form Committees for the future management of such religious institutions as, at the time the Act takes effect, were under the control of a Trustee, Manager or Superintendent whose nomination was subject to confirmation by the Govt or any public officer.

By its Section 4, a course is prescribed for adoption by the Govt, when the nomination of any Officer of the description in Section 3, is not called in, is not carried by, and is not subject to confirmation of the Govt or any public officer.

It is, I consider, clear beyond any doubt on the papers which form the bundle, that in 1842, both the Govt & Rev: and the Govt recognized the hereditary right of Tatachary to the office of Dharmakarta (meaning, that a) of the Palaces in question.

Consequently when the Act 20 of 1863

became law, this institution was not one of those whose managers, when taking office, would require to be confirmed in that position, by the Govt, or by one of its officers.

It, in fact, was of the class of institutions contemplated in Section 4 of the act, which the action of Govt is shut out.

And that such was the position, has been acted by the Govt in their proc: of 24 Feby 64. No 331. para 2 where they speak then consistently in paras 2 & 3 of a letter from the Acting Colr of Kandy, to Sanbury.

In para 3 this referred to by the Govt, Mr Sanbury wrote: "Moreover "it appears from the decision of the "late Judge Lait, that the Sri Dewa= "ya Sawmy Palada at Colgeneriam "hospers an hereditary Durmakar= "tanship; so that most probably "it will not come under the provisions "of the act."

Thus then, we have the acknowlgt of the Govt of Rev & the Govt, that Salu= chary had an hereditary right to the Managership, stirg thereby upon a judgment of the Court of last resort, in his favor in the same point: and it is seen from the papers that this the managership has since 1842 remained undisturbed: — and we also have the Govt itself recognizing

recognizing the beneficial 1864 that an act passed in the previous year and specially relating to institutions of the kind under remark, would not touch the Palada at Colgeneriam —

To return to Section 4: What is the course then prescribed for adoption? The Govt through the Dept of Revenue, have simply to transfer the manager of an institution coming within the scope of Section 4, "as soon as possible after the passing of the act" as the Trustee under superintendence & inspection of the Board.

Nothing is there stated of the election of a "Committee". The de facto manager, and in the case of this institution under remark, there is a de facto Committee of 23 men Mr Sanbury — to get the property of the Palada and with that transfer under the connection we come entitled between Govt & the institution. —

If the Collector of North and had prepared a Committee to bring under its control the Palada at Tripoly, would it not have been the duty of the Govt to point out to him, that, institution was not one of those to be operating of Committees appointed under Section 3 were intended to apply?

Petn & Letter

Proceedings of the Madras Government.

Read the following petitions

2829 – 1865 &
No 277 of 1866
663
711
534
400
401
399

Ordered that the following endorsement be passed

The Govt observe that the Committee has been expressly appointed for such institutions as come under the scope of Sec 3 of Act 20 of 1864 & for such institutions only. By the powers to which petitioners refer does not come within the

would ask the Govt have issued similar orders if the Collector of Madras has disposed similarly of cases dealt with Mr Parada in Tuticorin.

I have no doubt that the orders of the two Collectors in these disputes would have been approved. But I should consider that in issuing these orders, & which the principle has been decided & long established, the Govt would justly have been liable to the charge of placing a construction on the law and of invading the hypothecate province in that respect of a Court of Law. – So with Mr Parada at Tanjore.

I feel that in the case of public debentures such as I grant or record, I should not be bound to obtain from making a plain declaration that the Collector is not entitled to arrange for the future of penal superintendence of the Corporation the whole of the Govt fund was thereby committed to its hereditary [illegible] so far back as 1842 – If the Committee deem themselves, & vested in their general powers, at liberty to attempt to bring under their control the said Setachary, they may of course make the attempt & Setachary will resist: but he will not be left in the hands of the Committee which [illegible]

Mr Ramachandra Chetty in Conjunction with Mr Ramachandra Chetty in Conjunction with Mr Ramachandra Chetty

scope of that section
the Committee of course
have no power of
interference with
it.

J. D. S

Fort St. George
26th March 1886

Nos. 2142 and 2182.

1. With the file of these papers the Board forward for the approval of Government a list of persons recommended by the Collector of North Arcot for appointment on the Committee under Act XX of 1863 for the management of religious institutions in that District.

2. As this does not call for further remark. Careful enquiry seems to have been made as to the duties of the Committees interested. No really objectionable has been made since the receipt of the Board's Proceedings regarding the nomination from certain individuals interested in the affairs of Velore who have addressed a petition to Government against the appointments on the Velore Committee of Raja and Sheristadar Subha Sastri on the ground that they are residents of Madras and Mr. Mathews the Collector, in forwarding the petition, expresses the opinion that the objections urged are unfounded and that the appointments in question would not be prejudicial to the interests of the two Committees. The reasons for this opinion are numerous

× 2264 of 1886

ordinance in fact a sanctuary for rice. Under this circumstances I presume the question may be taken

3. The only remaining consideration of whether the Tripati Temple should be placed under the Committee appointed under Act XX of 1863. That in the Proceedings a reference to state the 18th para: 12th ultimo opinion these temples are come within the ordinance and in the Proceedings of the 7th March 1862 No. 5163, the Secretary from among his papers recorded to add this, the nomination state at length the generally of the Hindu grounds for believing that the present measure in question fall under the provisions of section 3 Act XX of 1863.

4. The question is a exciting great interest to Hindu Community for and against the appointment of a Committee

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue under date

Pro: of the Board of Revenue Submitting with their Bd's recommendation, letter from the Asst Collector in charge of North Arcot forwarding a revised list of persons appointed for the management of Religious institutions.

Pro: of the Board of Revenue Submitting Bd's report on the subject of certain Hindu questions regarding the Hindu Pagodas at Tripati now placed under the control of the Committee appointed under the provisions of Act XX of 1863.

From Chas Cardew Secretary Revenue Board forwarding a petition for the appointment of a Committee under Act XX of 1863 for the temples of Tirupati

Public

report on several of the questions under review in connection with this application, which has already been held in abeyance pending the consideration of a memorial for an alteration of the present system of management of the Tirupati Temples.

The Board of Revenue in their letter of 30 January last, after noticing the charges of malversation brought against the Tirupati Temples, observe that no reason but the excitement under which they made appear to have induced the petitioners to suggest that the management of these shrines might be described with reference to the management of the Temples. The Board see no reason for alteration in the arrangements made under Act XX of 1863, and so the Collector at the time took occasion to advise the Collector upon to devise such a scheme as to bring the Tirupati Temples under Act XX of 1863 as he might think fit.

~~a letter to the same Mr. Robinson's~~

~~Letter of 30th January 1862~~ ~~Recorded in Board's Bo. 20th February 1862 No. 1224~~

~~written at a time when~~

~~certain Charges of Malversation had been brought~~

~~against the Trustees of the Temples~~

~~These Charges~~

~~came to nothing, but~~

~~Circumstances under which~~ ~~they~~ ~~had been made~~

~~induced the Collects~~

~~suggest that on the death~~

~~of the three Mohunts other~~

~~arrangements might~~

~~be~~

desirable in reference to the management of the Temples. The Board have never seen any reason for altering the arrangements made at the time of the Mahunt's appointment, and to the latter stood at the time the Collector was called upon to devise a scheme for giving effect to Act X of 1863, when he took occasion to adhere to their former proposals and to suggest the

~~Para 6 of the Robinson letter dated 1862, recorded in Board's Bo. 1st March 1862 No. 1191~~

~~might be well to ascertain~~

~~concerns of the Templars and~~

~~the Venetian and Centre~~

~~managing Committee with~~

~~altering the arrangements~~

~~by which the nomination~~

~~& appointment of their direct~~

~~was vested in the Crown~~

~~Trustees.~~

5. The Board [illegible]

regard the arrangements ma

in 1813 & the correspondence

which passed at the time

placing it beyond doubt that

the Government gave up all control

with the appointment of future Trustees.

~~If this is the case the Court of Chancery~~

~~[illegible]~~

After careful consideration of the Circumstances

we have come to the same conclusion as the

Court have decided & they

are of opinion that the

power of appointing

a Committee of Management as prayed

in the Petition

and

~~to be placed~~

~~upon the Petitioners, or for~~

~~carrying into effect the~~

~~arrangements between the~~

~~Crown & the Templars~~

~~& that for this purpose~~

~~Trustees ought to be chosen~~

~~by the Crown~~

accordingly

according to the Rules
of the Absolute Exchange
of the Proprietorship
now in Office —

& The Engineering
Executive Committee
to Statements and
Contracts do not affect
the questions raised
Reg VII of 1871 &
Board's Journal
Vol I of Calcutta
Volume —

" The following
documents will be
proceeded upon the Re
received with the Co
Mint & Cellar Record
above —

" The Memorand
mentioned Chair
Engineering
Chief Engineer

of Section 11
Act XX of 1863, that
Government cannot
dispose in the ma
requested" —

GW

W Cosmo Jarbert Bell Asst
Calcutta Collector

according to the Rules
of the Albuhera Exchange
of the Proceedings
now in Action —

& The Engineers have
likewise been instructed
to attend and
that they do not offer
the questions arising
Reg VII of 1854 c
Boundaries
Land Acts &
Lands.

7 The following
documents will be
passed on the Fee
received with the
Plan & Letter Record
above.

"The Memorandum
"are informed that
"as the Exhibition

Capt Luther R.E. Surv. Asst.
Calcutta Circle

full under Section
Act XX of 1863, the
Government cannot
forgone in the ma
requested" —

~~might be worth to her.~~

~~Concerns of the Trustees and~~

~~the deeding and Conveying of~~

~~managing Committee and of~~

~~altering the arrangements~~

~~by which the nomination~~

~~& appointment of this deed~~

~~was vested in the Queen~~

~~Trustee.~~

5. The Board ~~of Rev~~

regard the arrangements ma

in 1843 & the correspondence

which passed at the time

placing it beyond doubt that

the Government gave up all control

with the appointment of future Trustees.

~~If this is the case the Temples present~~

~~Trust~~ ~~of~~ ~~property~~ ~~the~~ ~~Trust~~

~~of~~

After ~~careful~~ con

sideration the Queen

are come to the same conclusion as the

Court have decided &

they

opinion that a

power of appoint

a Committee of m

agement as prayed

the Charter

~~In support the Clau~~

~~Lords Report~~

~~ge of this Petition~~

~~Crimes Act, or for~~

~~case such the Go~~

~~ment have hi~~

~~Charge to support this~~

~~Crimes Act the Act~~

~~& dignity~~ ~~his Trust~~

~~Petitioners~~

according to the Rules
of the Alnwick Workhouse
of the Poor and
an Officer.

X The Enquiries and
Evidence taken under
the Statute and
Orders do not affect
the question at issue
Reg. VII of 1864
Board's power
Vide Order &
Evidence.

7 The following
documents were
passed upon the Pet
received with the Un
Union Collector Record
above.

" The Memorial
" and Declaration Order
[illegible]

Wm Cosens Esq Barl Asst.
[illegible]

[illegible] Order No VII
of 1863, the
Guardians cannot
[illegible] in the ma
requested" —

[illegible]

Revenue 1861 Dept.
Pro. of Go. for Oct.

No 1952.

Pro. of the Madras Govt
Dt 22nd Oct 61.

Copy
Pro. No. 36-44
21-4-43
to
393
24-6-63

Copy submitted to Govt
for the information
of the Court of Wards
and ordering that no Com.
matter be responded for the
Court of Wards.

No 2780281.