M.R.O. 14—18,000—27-9-24

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue **DEPARTMENT.**

G.O. No. 1859 Press ~~Mis.~~

96.57

Dated 11 — 10. 1864.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department, 11th October 1864.

No. 96. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 10th June 1864, No. 3,554.

Read the following letter from the Acting Collector of Madras, dated Sydapet, 4th May 1864, No. 196 :—

1. I have the honor to reply to Board's Proceedings, dated 21st April 1864, No. 1,417. Agreeably to Board's orders dated 27th March 1861, No. 1,620, they were all rented for one year. The ten villages in the Statement referred to by the Board had originally been rented for upwards of one year, and these rents expired with the last Fusly. Garagambakam was last year under "Amany" management, and consequently did not appear in the return. The villages noted by the Board in the margin of their Proceedings are Kayam Izarah villages rented for lengthened periods, and which have yet many years to run. The Beriz of Narasingapuram village for Fusly 1272 is Rupees 450, but the amount given in the Jummabundy Statement No. 12 is the remaining revenue, after deducting the assessment of a Curnum's Maniem submerged, and thereby rendered unfit for cultivation. The amount is annually deducted from the Beriz of the village, and the net proceeds alone appear in the Jummabundy Statement. The Beriz of Periaveppattoor for Fusly 1272, as given in the Jummabundy Statement No. 12, is correct, and that given in the present return is a clerical error ; a cypher having most probably been taken for the figure 8.

2. As the Board presume, villages granted on Kayam Puttah are entered under "rents for more than one year." The several orders upon which the various villages were granted under this tenure will be sought for, and their dates submitted.

3. All the villages entered in the present return have been surveyed upon the old Pymash system, and a rough classification has also been made ; but neither of these results has been formally and accurately tested. A competent Deputy under the guidance and encouragement of the Collector would not take long to bring matters to a satisfactory termination. When writing about the late Deputy,* I brought to the Board's notice the circumstance of this task still requiring completion,

* *Vide* Acting Collector's letter to the Board, dated 1st December 1863, No. 520.

1. The Board observe with reference to paragraph 2 of the Collector's letter that villages held on "Kayam Puttah," should not be included under "Rents for more than one year" as the two items are totally different in character. "Kayam Puttah" is granted to an individual proprietor for his own land, but in the case of village rents, the lands do not belong to the renter.

2. The villages or portions of villages which are held on Kayam Puttah should in future be entered as a separate item under Land Revenue Miscellaneous, and they should be shown distinctly in the Jummabundy Statement No. 12, stating in each case for what period the Puttah has been granted and under what orders, so that the subject may not be lost sight of.

3. The Board are of opinion that it is highly desirable that the villages now annually rented out and kept under Amani settlement should be speedily brought under the Ryotwar system ; and they therefore resolve to again request Government to appoint, as early as possible, a competent Deputy Collector for completing the revision of assessment lately undertaken including the settlement of these villages.

No. 97. ORDER THEREON, 11th October 1864, No. 1,859.

Land Revenue.

Ordered to be recorded with reference to the Proceedings of Government, dated 5th October 1864, No. 1,832.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) C. G. MASTER,
Deputy Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.

Exd. S. T. Augustin.

No. 3,554.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 10th June 1864.

Read the following letter from the Acting Collector of Madras, dated Sydapet, 4th May 1864, No. 196 :—

1. I have the honor to reply to Board's Proceedings dated 21st April 1864, No. 1,417. Agreeably to Board's orders dated 27th March 1861, No. 1,620, they were all rented for one year. The ten villages in the Statement referred to by the Board had originally been rented for upwards of one year, and these rents expired with the last Fasli. Garagambakam was last year under "Amany" management, and consequently did not appear in the return. The villages noted by the Board in the margin of their Proceedings are Kayam Izarah villages rented for lengthened periods, and which have yet many years to run. The Beriz of Narasingapuram village for Fasli 1272 is Rupees 450, but the amount given in the Jummabundy Statement No. 12 is the remaining revenue, after deducting the assessment of a Curnum's Maniem submerged, and thereby rendered unfit for cultivation. The amount is annually deducted from the Beriz of the village, and the net proceeds alone appear in the Jummabundy Statement. The Beriz of Periaveppattoor for Fasli 1272, as given in the Jummabundy Statement No. 12, is correct, and that given in the present return is a clerical error, a cypher having most probably been taken for the figure 8.

2. As the Board presume, villages granted on Kayam Puttah are entered under "rents for more than one year." The several orders upon which the various villages were granted under this tenure will be sought for, and their dates submitted.

3. All the villages entered in the present return have been surveyed upon the old Pymash system, and a rough classification has also been made; but neither of these results has been formally and accurately tested. A competent Deputy under the guidance and encouragement of the Collector would not take long to bring matters to a satisfactory termination. When writing about the late Deputy,* I brought to the Board's notice the circumstance of this task still requiring completion.

* Vide Acting Collector's letter to the Board dated 1st December 1863, No. 520.

---

1. The Board observe with reference to paragraph 2 of the Collector's letter that villages held on "Kayam Puttah," should not be included under "Rents for more than one year" as the two items are totally different in character. "Kayam Puttah" is granted to an individual proprietor for his own land, but in the case of village rents, the lands do not belong to the renter.

2. The villages or portions of villages which are held on Kayam Puttah should in future be entered as a separate item under Land Revenue Miscellaneous, and they should be shown distinctly in the Jummabundy Statement No. 12, stating in each case for what period the Puttah has been granted and under what orders, so that the subject may not be lost sight of.

3. The Board are of opinion that it is highly desirable that the villages now annually rented out and kept under Amani settlement should be speedily brought under the Ryotwar system; and they therefore resolve to again request Government to appoint, as early as possible, a competent Deputy Collector for completing the revision of assessment lately undertaken including the settlement of these villages.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

Secretary.

To J. D. Sim, Esq., Secretary to Government, R. D.
Copy to E. G. R. Fane, Esq., Collector of Madras.

Exd. J. Wright.

No. 3,554.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 10th June 1864.

Read the following letter from the Acting Collector of Madras, dated Sydapet, 4th May 1864, No. 196 :—

1. I have the honor to reply to Board's Proceedings dated 21st April 1864, No. 1,417. Agreeably to Board's orders dated 27th March 1861, No. 1,620, they were all rented for one year. The ten villages in the Statement referred to by the Board had originally been rented for upwards of one year, and these rents expired with the last Fasli. Garagambakam was last year under "Amany" management, and consequently did not appear in the return. The villages noted by the Board in the margin of their Proceedings are Kayam Izarah villages rented for lengthened periods, and which have yet many years to run. The Beriz of Narasingapuram village for Fasli 1272 is Rupees 450, but the amount given in the Jummabundy Statement No. 12 is the remaining revenue, after deducting the assessment of a Curnum's Maniem submerged, and thereby rendered unfit for cultivation. The amount is annually deducted from the Beriz of the village, and the net proceeds alone appear in the Jummabundy Statement. The Beriz of Periaveppattoor for Fasli 1272, as given in the Jummabundy Statement No. 12, is correct, and that given in the present return is a clerical error, a cypher having most probably been taken for the figure 8.

2. As the Board presume, villages granted on Kayam Puttah are entered under "rents for more than one year." The several orders upon which the various villages were granted under this tenure will be sought for, and their dates submitted.

3. All the villages entered in the present return have been surveyed upon the old Pymash system, and a rough classification has also been made; but neither of these results has been formally and accurately tested. A competent Deputy under the guidance and encouragement of the Collector would not take long to bring matters to a satisfactory termination. When writing about the late Deputy,* I brought to the Board's notice the circumstance of this task still requiring completion.

* Vide Acting Collector's letter to the Board dated 1st December 1863, No. 520.

---

1. The Board observe with reference to paragraph 2 of the Collector's letter that villages held on "Kayam Puttah," should not be included under "Rents for more than one year" as the two items are totally different in character. "Kayam Puttah" is granted to an individual proprietor for his own land, but in the case of village rents, the lands do not belong to the renter.

2. The villages or portions of villages which are held on Kayam Puttah should in future be entered as a separate item under Land Revenue Miscellaneous, and they should be shown distinctly in the Jummabundy Statement No. 12, stating in each case for what period the Puttah has been granted and under what orders, so that the subject may not be lost sight of.

3. The Board are of opinion that it is highly desirable that the villages now annually rented out and kept under Amani settlement should be speedily brought under the Ryotwar system; and they therefore resolve to again request Government to appoint, as early as possible, a competent Deputy Collector for completing the revision of assessment lately undertaken including the settlement of these villages.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

Secretary.

To J. D. Sim, Esq., Secretary to Government, R. D.
Copy to E. G. R. Fane, Esq., Collector of Madras.

Exd. J. Wright.

Rev. 1864. Department
Pro: of Govt for October.

No. 1549.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE,

Dated 10*th June* 1864.

Dec. 1864
Rec. 15th.

No. 3,554.

Submitting with remarks letter from the Acting Collector of Madras affording further information regarding the rents of the Ammani villages.

No. 1859. 18th Octr.
With an order

No. 96 & 97.

No. 1859.

Ordered to be recorded with reference to the Proceedings of Government of 5th October 1864 No. 1832.

Fort St. George
11th Octr. /64.

Board of Revenue Dated 13th October