M.R.O. 14—13,000—27-9-24

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 1697 Press ~~Mis.~~

234-235

Dated 15-9-1864.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department, 15th September 1864.

No. 234. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 9th May 1864, No. 2,799.

Read the following letter from the Collector of Sea Customs, Madras, dated 2nd May 1864, No. 512:—

With reference to my letter, No. 468 of the 21st ultimo, I have the honor to forward copy of a letter* from the Collector of Customs Bombay, which shows that the practice at that Port as well as at Calcutta has been to charge one per cent. duty on all manufactured Iron for Industrial or Mechanical purposes, since July 1863.

* No. 1,021, dated 25th April 1864.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.

From G. INVERARITY, Esq., Commissioner of Customs, to J. H. BLAIR, Esq., Collector of Sea Customs, Madras, dated 25th April 1864, No. 1,021 of 1864.

1. In reply to your letter, No. 437 of the 18th instant, I have the honor to subjoin for your information an extract from a letter addressed by me to Government under date the 15th July last:—

"No instructions have been received by the Customs Department as to what was intended "to be included in the word 'Iron,' but from Sir C. Trevelyan's remarks, when bringing the "Bill forward, it has been understood that all unmanufactured Iron and all manufactured Iron, "materials for Railways, and for industrial or mechanical purposes, are to be admitted at the "lower rate, a view which has been confirmed by the course ascertained to have been adopted "at the Calcutta Custom House.

"Ornamental iron railings and gates for parks or compounds, are neither industrial nor "mechanical, while they come clearly within the class 'Ironmongery,' and have therefore been "charged with the higher or 10 per cent. rate of Customs duty."

2. The view above taken was subsequently concurred in by the Government of India.

(True Copy.)

(Signed) J. H. BLAIR, *Collector.*

---

1. The Collector will adopt the same rule at this port as is in force at Calcutta and Bombay.

2. The Board do not understand that it applies to machinery.

No. 235. ORDER THEREON, 15th September 1864, No. 1,697.

Separate Revenue, No. 112
Customs.

Ordered to be recorded.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) C. G. MASTER,
Deputy Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.

Exd. S. T. Augustin.

No. 2,799.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 9th May 1864.

Read the following letter from the Collector of Sea Customs, Madras, dated 2nd May 1864, No. 512:—

With reference to my letter, No. 468 of the 21st ultimo, I have the honor to forward copy of a letter* from the Collector of Customs, Bombay, which shows that the practice at that Port as well as at Calcutta has been to charge one per cent. duty on all manufactured Iron for Industrial or Mechanical purposes, since July 1863.

* No. 1,021, dated 25th April 1864.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.

From G. INVERARITY, Esq., Commissioner of Customs, to J. H. BLAIR, Esq., Collector of Sea Customs, Madras, dated 25th April 1864, No. 1,021 of 1864.

1. In reply to your letter, No. 437 of the 18th instant, I have the honor to subjoin for your information an extract from a letter addressed by me to Government under date the 15th July last:—

"No instructions have been received by the Customs Department as to what was intended "to be included in the word 'Iron,' but from Sir C. Trevelyan's remarks, when bringing the "Bill forward, it has been understood that all unmanufactured Iron and all manufactured Iron, "materials for Railways, and for industrial or mechanical purposes, are to be admitted at the "lower rate, a view which has been confirmed by the course ascertained to have been adopted "at the Calcutta Custom House.

"Ornamental iron railings and gates for parks or compounds, are neither industrial nor "mechanical, while they come clearly within the class 'Ironmongery,' and have therefore been "charged with the higher or 10 per cent. rate of Customs duty."

2. The view above taken was subsequently concurred in by the Government of India.

(True Copy.)

(Signed) J. H. BLAIR, Collector.

---

1. The Collector will adopt the same rule at this port as is in force at Calcutta and Bombay.

2. The Board do not understand that it applies to Machinery.

(True Copies and Extract.)

Secretary.

To J. H. Blair, Esq., Collector of Sea Customs, Madras.

Copy to J. D. Sim, Esq., Secretary to Government, R. D.

Exd. J. Wright.

Rev = 1864. Department.
Pro: of Govt for Septr.

No. 1352.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE.

Dated 9th *May* 1864.

Despatched 21st

Received 23d

No. 2,799.

Intimating the Collector of Sea Customs that he is to adopt the rule in force at the sister Presidencies, with regard to the levy of duty on manufactured Iron.

P = Recorded 21-1697. Ellis
15 Septr 1864. I D S