

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No.	1676	Press
		Mis.
	191-192	
Dated	12-9-1864.	

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
12th September 1864.*

No. 191. Read the following letter from CLEMENT DALE, Esq., Government Pleader :—

In reference to the Proceedings of Government, dated 27th }
February 1864, No. 357, advising that Government should } (Here enter 5th September 1864, No. 198.)
not take any steps in regard to the fresh Deed therein-
mentioned.

No. 192. ORDER THEREON, 12th September 1864, No. 1,676.

Miscellaneous.

Ordered to be communicated to the Board of Revenue with
reference to the Order quoted by the Government Pleader.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) C. G. MASTER,
Deputy Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.
,, the Government Pleader.

Exd. S. T. Augustin,

N^o 198

From Clement Dale Esquire Government Pleader & Madras
To S. D. Sim Esquire Secretary to Government

Madras 5th September 1864

Sir

Para 1 I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt

of the Proceedings of Government under the
27th February 1864 1857
Revenue Department state and number noted in the margin; at

the time of their receipt I was in expectation that
judgment would be shortly given in the Suit
instituted by the alleged adopted Son against the
Collector, as however the pronouncing such
judgment is again postponed I will no longer
defer offering my opinion.

2. I advise that Government should not
take any steps in regard to the fresh deed mentioned
in the Acting Collector's Letter.

3. If the alleged adoption be declared not
to have been legally made to Ramaswamy the
Deed will fall to the ground as a matter of course.

4. And if such alleged adoption should
be ultimately declared to have been a valid adoption
to Ramaswamy, then I am not aware that Government
as such, have any power vested in them by regulation

5 If the alleged adoption should be ultimately declared to be valid to Ramaswamy, it will be open to the Successors to the Zemindary to question the validity of this fresh Deed; and I am not aware of the State of Muttoramalinga's family so as to be able to judge whether it would warrant the assumption that on his death, if the adoption should be ultimately declared to be valid, the Zemindary would be likely to escheat for want of Heirs.

6. I return the 2 papers which accompanied the proceedings noted above.

I have the honor to be

Sir

Your most Obedt. Servant

W. A. A. A.
Government Pleader.