M.R.O. 14—13,000—27-9-24.

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue **DEPARTMENT.**

G.O. No. 163 Press ~~MIS.~~

318. 319

Dated 29—1—~~19~~1864

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department, 29th January 1864.

No. 318. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 18th January 1864, No. 331 :—

Read the following letter from the Collector of Tanjore, dated 31st December 1863, No. 497.

1. I have the honor to report on Petition No. 3,089, addressed to the Board by one Teruvengadathaiyangar, soliciting permission to sue for certain Inám land as per margin.

V. O. M. 3, G. 45½, in Gangatharapuram, Combaconum Talook.

2. This land is included in a grant of 1¼ Veli made by Rajah Tuljaji about a century ago to one Seshadri Vaidyar, and is entered in No. 100, of the Register prepared in 1809, in which it is shown that the land was at that time in the enjoyment of one Strinivassa Achari a great grandson of the original grantee.

3. Petitioner states that the land in question descended to his father by inheritance from the original grantee, that his father mortgaged it to one Chakravarti Achari now (deceased), father of the present occupant, Ramanuja Achari, and that as the last mentioned individual refuses to restore the land on receiving the mortgage, money, he (Petitioner) seeks to recover possession of the same by means of Civil action.

4. The Defendant Ramanuja Achari admits the mortgage, but states that the land was afterwards sold to his (Defendant's) father by Petitioner's father.

5. Petitioner is not able to show how his alleged right of inheritance from the original grantee has been derived, but whatever doubt there may be as to his being a descendant of the original grantee, there is none as to the party now in enjoyment not being such, inasmuch as his present possession has been derived through the family of the Petitioner.

6. Under these circumstances I see no objection to the permission sought for by the Petitioner being granted.

7. The Inám land in question has not yet been enfranchised.

Enclosure No. 1.—Petition.

Resolved that the above letter, together with the Petition to which it refers, be submitted to Government with the Board's recommendation that Petitioner be granted the usual certificate enabling him to sue for his alleged rights in the ordinary Courts.

No. 319. Order thereon, 29th January 1864, No. 163.

Under Section 2, Regulation IV of 1831, the Government permit Teruvengadathaiyangar to sue in the established Courts for 3 Mas 45½ Gulis of Inám land situated in Gangatharapuram, Combaconum Talook, Tanjore District.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) C. G. MASTER,
Deputy Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.

Exd. S. T. Augustin.

No. 331.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 18th January 1864.

Read the following letter from the Collector of Tanjore, dated 31st December 1863, No. 497 :—

1. I have the honor to report on Petition No. 3,089, addressed to the Board by one Teruvengadathaiyangar, soliciting permission to sue for certain Inam land as per margin.

V. 0, M. 3, G. 45¾, in Gangatharapuram, Combaconum Taluk.

2. This land is included in a grant of [illegible] made by Rajah Tuljaji about a century ago to one Seshadri Vaidyar, and is entered in No. 107 of the Register prepared in 1809, in which it is shown that the land was at that time in the enjoyment of one Srinivassa Achari, a great grandson of the original grantee.

3. Petitioner states that the land in question descended to his father by inheritance from the original grantee, that his father mortgaged it to one Chakravarti Achari now (deceased), father of the present occupant, Ramanuja Achari, and that as the last mentioned individual refuses to restore the land on receiving the mortgage money, he (Petitioner) seeks to recover possession of the same by means of Civil action.

4. The Defendant Ramanuja Achari admits the mortgage, but states that the land was afterwards sold to his (Defendant's) father by Petitioner's father.

5. Petitioner is not able to show how his alleged right of inheritance from the original grantee has been derived, but whatever doubt there may be as to his being a descendant of the original grantee, there is none as to the party now in enjoyment not being such, inasmuch as his present possession has been derived through the family of the Petitioner.

6. Under these circumstances I see no objection to the permission sought for by the Petitioner being granted.

7. The Inam land in question has not yet been enfranchized.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.—Petition.

Resolved that the above letter, together with the Petition to which it refers, be submitted to Government with the Board's recommendation that Petitioner be granted the usual certificate enabling him to sue for his alleged rights in the ordinary Courts.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

Sub-Secretary.

To J. D. Sim, Esq., Secretary to Government, R. D., with a Petition.

Copy to W. M. Cadell, Esq., Collector of Tanjore.

Exd. J. Wright.

Rev. 1864. Department.
Pro. of Govt for Jany:

No. 204 -

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE,

Dated 18th January 1864.
~~Dup 22d~~
Rec 25th —
C. 104

No. 331.

Submitting a report from the Collector of Tanjore on Petition No. 3,089 and recommending that permission be granted to the Petitioner to sue for a certain Inam land in the Combaconum Taluq.

No 318 & 319.

No 163. 29 Jany
with an order

No. 163.

Under section 2. Regulation IV of 1831. the Government permit Permeegudi Thiagaraja to sue in the Established Courts for 3 Acres 45 3/4 Cullis of Inam land situated in Gangatharapuram Combaconum Talook, Tanjore District.

Govt
From Wm George
29th Jany 1864

14 Copies to Collr & Revenue Surveyor with an Extract