M.R.O. 14—13,000—27-8-34

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue **DEPARTMENT.**

G.O. No. 1824 Press ~~Mis.~~

370. 371

Dated 25. ~~8~~ . 1864

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
25th August 1864.

No. 370. Read the following letter from PAIDI MARRI BUCHCHI VENKATRAMAYA, residing in Narasimhapuram village of Baharzulli Pargana of Nedadavole Zenim, attached to Godavery District.

Prays that the petitions herein enclosed in triplicate may be despatched to the Secretary of State for India, if the Madras Government see no reason to interfere in the matter of his former petition No. 3,363 of 1863. } (Here enter 20th June 1864.)

No. 371. ORDER THEREON, 25th August 1864, No. 1,544.

Miscellaneous.

Before forwarding to its destination the Memorial received with the foregoing letter, the Government resolve to transmit one copy of it, through the Board of Revenue, to the Collector of the Godavery District for a complete report on Memorialist's claim.

(True Extract.)


(Signed) C. G. MASTER,
Deputy Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.

Exd. S. T. Augustin.

...Appa Row Bahadur.

...e Board after taking the Petition
...into



interfere in the matter
of his former petition No
3363 of 1863.

Paidimarri Buchchi Ven-
katramayya

Reported to England
by 9th May No 200 of 1866 Mis-

No 370.

22 Augt 66 Rec'd

Rec'd in 2865 of 1864.
Pro: of Govt for Guest.
From No 2016.
Paidi Marri Buchchi
Venkatramayya residing
in Narasimhapuram
Village of Baharajilla
Parganna of Nedatta
vole Zemin attached to
Godavery District.
Dated 20 June 1864
Rd 3 August 1864
With 3 enclosures
& Co No 1544 25 August
Prays that the petition
herein enclosed in Triplicate
may be despatched to the
Secretary of State for
India if the Madras
Govt see no reasons to

3363 of 1863

To

His Excellency the Governor in Council
Fort St. George.

Sir &c &c &c

The humble Petition of
Paidimurri Buchchi-
Venkatá Rámiayya the Agra-
haram dár of Narasim-
hapuram attached to the
Baharajilly Perguna
in the Nidadavól Zemin-
dary of the Godavery District

Humbly Sheweth

That your Petitioner presented a
Petition to the Board of Revenue on the 19th Febru-
ary 1863 praying for the restoration of the
Agraharam of Narasimhapuram (having
a fixed Cist of 317 Rs annually) deducted
in the Permanent cowle of the Zemindar
which was in the enjoyment of your Petitioner
Ancestors since Fasli 1212 and which has
been unlawfully usurped by Rajah
Narayya Appa Row Bahadur.

2. The Board after taking the Petition
into

suits favorable consideration, referred it to the Collector of the District who sent it on to the Tasildar of the Taluq for enquiry, the Tasildar after taking Kyphiets from your Petitioner and the Karnams of the Village on the 13th June 1863 returned to the Collector the said Kyphiets and the required Accounts with his opinion on the Subject.

3. Your Petitioner stated in the Kyphiet referred to above that he will pay 5035 Rupees to Government in order to cover the outstanding balance on the agraharam since Fasli 1253. The Collector it appears failed to state this circumstance in his report to the Board of Revenue who on the 13th July 1863 endorsed that your Petitioner has no right to the agraharam, thinking that the Estate is still in the possession of Government whereas it is now in fact in the possession of Rajah Narayya Appa Rao Bahadur

4. In Fasli 1253 that is 18 years prior the Government put on Auction the whole of the Nidadavole Zemindary for the balance of 5 Lacs of Rupees due by Rajah Rajah Narayya Appa Rao Bahadur and in Fasli 1254 Govt remitted the balance and restored the Zemindary to the Zemindar. As the balance due on account of Narasimhapuram is included in the sum remitted by Govt Your Petr humbly presumes that neither the Govt nor the Zemindar has any right to demand this balance from your Petitioner.

5. That on the occasion of the Perguinnas of Bahurgully and Nidadavole being again put up to auction by Walter Elliot Esqr the then Commissioner for the Northern Circars for the balance due upto Fasli 1260 the period that the Estate was under Government Mr Ballard the then Special Assistant Collector reported in Fasli 1265 that your Petitioners Agraharam of Narasingapuram should be also under Government as well for the balance remitted above in F. 1253. The Government will perceive that this report is quite illegal.

6. In F. 1268 the Govt realized a profit of 4,00,000 Rs from these 2 perganas during the period of 8 years it was under their management besides the profit of 1,50,000 Rs Annually independent of Cist Yrs. When Rajah

Narayya Appa Row Bahadur for his Estate.

Your Petitioner requested the Zemindar to grant him his Agrahara on his paying up any balance that may be reasonably due besides the Annual Cist of Rs. 317 (out of the proceeds obtained from the Agraharam since Fasli 1254) to which only the Zemindar has a right. The Zemindar declined to grant your Petitioner the Agrahara.

7. Your Petitioner has not given any Kararinamah making over the Parasimha puram Agrahara to the Zemindar. The said agrahara was not even sold to him in Public Auction according to Regulations. The balance was not caused upon the Agrahara during the period it was in the enjoyment of your Petitioner but during the time it was under attachment and under the Zemindar since Fasli 1239. Since that Fasli the management of the Agrahara was conducted by the same system as the Zemindari Estate was conducted by Government. Your Petitioner therefore humbly requests to have justice done to him in having the Agrahara

V/

-ram transferred from the hands of the Zemindar.

8. Your Petitioner has no ill-will of usurping one's right, but simply claims his right. He has herein enclosed 4 Enclosures viz the copy of the Petition presented to the Board of Revenue dated the 19th February, the Kyfiet signed by your Petitioner and the Karnams of the village before the Tasildar on the 13th June and the copy of the English Order given by the Board of Revenue.

9. Besides the above, Your Petitioner humbly requests your Excellency will be pleased to send for the required Accounts concerning the Agrahara and the reports from the Tasildar to the Collr. and from the Collr. to the Board of Revd. and take these into your Excellency's noble consideration whether the Zemindar has any right to usurp your Petitioners property and pass such order in the matter as shall seem just and equitable to your Excellency.

10. Government have already remitted the accumulated balance upto Fasli 1253 that is 18 years prior, but should they think proper that the remission upon the Agraharam should be demanded from

from your Petitioner, he is ready to pay off
S.O.S. to Govt as they have a right to the
the money obtained upon the Agraharam
from F. 1254 to 1872 is now in the possession
of the Zemindar; if there is any balance
to be given to Rajah Narayya Appa Row
Bahadur in the civil of 317 Rs annually
(to which he has a right), your Petr will pay
that even. If Rajah Narayya Appa Rows
Bahadur has any profit besides this, your
Petitioner humbly requests that the profit thus
obtained may be given to your Petitioner thro'
the Govt who are impartial to the poor &
needy as your Petitioner, and also requests that
an order may be issued to the Collector of the
Godavery Dist to collect the Communicant rent
direct from your Petitioner and to favor him
with the return of his Agraharam from the
hands of Rajah Narayya Appa Row Bahadur

For which act of charity and
kindness Your Petitioner as in duty bound
shall Ever Pray

1st December 1863
Triplicane

(signed) Butchi Venkata Ramiah

In No 3368 of 1863

13

one Copy of it, thro the Honble

one Copy of the above

1st December 1863

Triplicane

(Signed) Bulchi Venkata Ramia

one Copy of it, Vide the Award

one Copy of it, thro' the Board

4/6

No. 3363 of 1863.

The Govern-
ment see no cause
for interference.—
Fort St. George 22d. Jany. 1864
By Order

C. G. Master
Dy: Secy: to Govt.

unlawfully retained by Narain Appa Row Zemindar of Nadadevole, sent for the report of the collector who failed to state in it several main points & granted the enclosed Endorsement.

Prays that under the circumstances herein stated the Agraharam may be caused to be restored to him & the Amount Tirwa be ordered to be collected from him directly.

No 3363 of 1863.

From P. B. Venkata Ramaya, the Agraharamdar of Narasimhapuram attached to the Bakarzully Purgana in Nadadevole Zemindary Godavery District.

Dated 13 Decr 1863.
Recd 15 " "

With 4 Enclosrs.

States that the Board of Revenue to whom he presented a petition praying for the restoration of his Agraharam village of Narasimhapuram which is now

Received 15th December 1863
Triplicane

(Signed) Butchi Venkata Ramiah.

M. D.

No. 1544.

Proceedings of the Madras Government
Under date the 25th August 1864.

Read the following letter
from Pedi Marri Butchi
Venkatramanya, residing in
Narasimhapuram Village
of Bakarzulli Pargana of
Nadadevole Zemin attached
to Godavery District.

Prays that the petitioner herein enclosed in Triplicate may be despatched to the Secretary of State for India, if the Madras Government see no reason to interfere in the matter of his former petition No 3363 of 1863.

(Here enter 9th June 1864)

Before forwarding to its destination the Memorial received with the foregoing letter, the Government resolve to transmit one Copy of it, thro' the Board

of Revenue, to the Collector
of the Godavery District for
a complete report on the
memorialists claim.

G. W.

11 Copies to the Bd of Revenue with Copy of Memorial
and its enclosures 4. Despd 25 augt

Reported to England
per 9th May No 20 of 1865 Mis

Revenue 1864 Dept.
Rs: of Govt forfeit.

No. 1544.

Pro: of the Madras Govt.
Dt 25th Aug 1864

Pro. of the Board of
Revenue 21 November 1865
& No 3386

Forwarding to the
Collector of Godavery
District for report
the Memorial from
P. M. B. Pencatarawaya.

No. 370 to 372