M.R.O. 14—13,000—27-9-34.

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue **DEPARTMENT.**

G.O. No. 14	Press ~~Mis~~
26.27	
Dated 5-1-~~18~~1864	

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department, 5th *January* 1864.

No. 26. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 1st December 1863, No. 7,573 :—

Read again letter from the Collector of Nellore, dated 4th November 1863, No. 366, in Board's Proceedings dated 16th November 1863, No. 7,257.

Read also the following letter from the same Officer, dated 25th November 1863, No. 399.

1. I have the honor to acknowledge receipt of the Board's Proceedings of the 16th instant, stating that a copy of the District Moonsiff's decision should have been furnished, and in requesting me to send it now, observing that they see no occasion for proceeding in the manner proposed in the Suit under reference, because they do not understand how I could be made a Supplemental Plaintiff in a Suit already decided; and, in submitting as required, a copy of the Nellore District Moonsiff's decision, I forward further for the information of the Board, translations of both the injunction of the Civil Court issued in the case to the Moonsiff, and of the notice issued to me by the Moonsiff under date the 20th April 1863, in accordance with that injunction including me as a Plaintiff.

2. From these translations, which I enclose, the Board will see that I have been included by an injunction of the Civil to the lower Court.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.

No. 60 of 1863.

Plaintiff — *versus* — *Defendants.*

Bingi Chenchu Papaya, — 1. Patnam Lingareddi, and his son.

Judgment.

1. From the Puttahs produced by Plaintiff himself it is clear his fields are to be irrigated from the tank; therefore the evidence adduced by Plaintiff, to prove the said fields are watered from the pond in dispute for the first crop, is incredible, especially as it is not likely any one would attempt to cultivate Paddy depending on a small pond from which water has to be raised with Picottas by manual labor, and it is not known any where that Circar lands are cultivated by the aid of such ponds.

2. Defendants' witnesses very clearly state the said pond had been opened out by 1st Defendant's ancestors and since repaired by 1st Defendant, and the pasture land on three sides thereof belongs to 1st Defendant and his partners in the village for the use of cattle, &c.; besides which the Curnum and Revenue Inspector, Defendants' 4th and 5th witnesses, declare the Assistant Collector has rejected Plaintiff's application for the surrounding pasture or dry land, in consequence of the villagers having represented the said pond and land were required for their cattle; which appearing more likely and correct, the Plaintiff's claim is dismissed with all costs to Plaintiff.

1st *April* 1863.

(Signed) J. SMITH. *District Moonsiff.*

(True Copy)

(Signed) N. SINGARA CHARLU,
Government Pleader.

(True Copy.)

(Signed) J. W. B. DYKES, *Collector.*

ENCLOSURE No. 2.

L. S.

Injunction of the Civil Court in the Zillah of Nellore, Original Suit before the District Moonsiff of Nellore, No. 60 of 1863.

Appeal Suit No. 63 of 1863.

Appellant (the Plaintiff.)

Bingi Chenchu Papay, inhabitant of Nellore.

Pleader.

O. Seshachellapaty.

Respondents (the Defendants.)

1. Patnam Linga Reddy
2. Do. Ramalinga Reddy, undivided son of 1st inhabitant of Korutur.

Pleader.

C. Kristnaya.

1. The Plaintiff sued the Defendants (of whom the 2nd Defendant is the undivided son of the 1st Defendant) for the recovery of Rupees 150 after deducting Rupees 30-14-2, being the

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department, 5th January 1864.

No. 27.

ORDER THEREON, 5th January 1864, No. 14.

Ordered to be recorded.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) C. G. MASTER,

Deputy Secretary to Government

To the Board of Revenue.

Exd. S. T. Augustin.

Revr. 1863. Department.

Pro: of Govt for Aug: 64.

No. 2982.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE,

Dated 1st December 1863.

Despatched 7 Dec

Received 7 Dec

No. 7,573.

Authorizing the Collector to proceed, as proposed, and to file the Draft plaint in Suit No. 60 of 1863.

W. B. Phillips Esq. Asst. Collr.

No 26 & 27.

Sept. 24. Aug 63 & 24

Sept 1864