M.R.O. 14—13,000—27-9-24

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue **DEPARTMENT.**

G.O. No. 1461 Press ~~Mis.~~

205-206

Dated 13 - 8 - 1864

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department, 13th August 1864.

No. 205. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 9th July 1864.

Read the following Official Memorandum from the Civil Paymaster, dated Fort Saint George, 19th July 1864, No. 16:—

Board's Proceedings, dated 18th instant, states that Mr. Chase, as he is reported to have been simply in charge of the current duties of the Collector, and not having been appointed by Government to act, is not entitled to Deputation Allowance, under the Orders of the Supreme Government, No. 5,922, dated 1st December 1863.

2. With regard to the extra Tentage Allowance, Mr. Chase having been at the Hoozoor where he had the Collector's Cutcherry at his disposal wherein he could have placed his own Establishment if he had not left it at Nursapoor, does not seem entitled to this allowance, *vide* Civil Auditor's Manual, page 8, Chapter VIII, paragraph 42.

(Signed) R. W. LODWICK, *Civil Paymaster.*

1. Ordered to be communicated to Mr. Chase.

2. The Board, however, consider that under the circumstances Mr. Chase is fairly entitled to draw the Extra Tentage.

3. Mr. Chase had to maintain at Nursapoor the usual accommodation for his Office Establishment at his private cost, and is of course obliged constantly so to maintain it, and the public business required that the greater part of his Establishment should remain at Nursapoor, and they accordingly occupied Mr. Chase's private accommodation.

4. The Board resolve to submit the whole claim for the sanction of Government, as Mr. Chase was doing the duties of Collector and assumed the charge in ordinary course.

No. 206. ORDER THEREON, 13th August 1864, No. 1,461.

Miscellaneous.

1. Mr. Chase, Sub-Collector of the Godavery District, received charge of the District from Mr. Newill on the 19th April, and delivered over charge to Mr. Fraser on the 28th May. He claims Deputation Allowance and extra Tent Allowance for the whole of the period he was in charge of the District.

2. The Civil Paymaster refuses the former on the strength of the rule laid down by the Government of India in their Financial Secretary's letter to the Government of Punjab, dated 1st December 1863, No. 5,922, to the effect that "when an officer is ordered to officiate in an "appointment, he is expected to undertake and discharge to the best of his ability all the duties "that would be performed by a permanent incumbent; but when he is put in charge of current "duties only, he is expected to do nothing beyond the most ordinary routine business. In the "first case, he is properly remunerated with acting allowances; in the other, the State is really "not called upon to grant him any extra allowance, on the score of any additional labour of any "importance." A copy of this letter was furnished to the several Governments and Civil Paymasters without the usual words "for information and guidance," and it is evident that they were intentionally omitted, for in paragraph 5 of the same letter it is stated that "when a Civil "Paymaster finds that the practice of his Presidency with respect to deputation or other "allowances is at variance with the rules prescribed by the Government of India, he should "follow that practice pending orders from this Government, which he should solicit as early as "practicable." The Civil Paymaster does not appear to have followed this course; but however this may be the ruling is inapplicable. Mr. Chase was not in charge of current duties only, but exercised all the functions, performed all the duties, and was subject to all the responsibilities of the office. Most certainly he was *not* "expected to do nothing beyond the most ordinary routine business," and would have incurred the displeasure of Government, had he limited himself to such work. He will therefore draw acting allowances after the expiration of the first month.

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department.
13th August 1864.

which is the period fixed by Government for the gratuitous performance of superior duties under such circumstances.

3. The second claim for extra Tent Allowance is made on the ground that there is no Cutcherry at Narsapoor for the Sub-Collector's Office, and that it is therefore drawn throughout the year. The Civil Paymaster refuses it under paragraph 42, Chapter VIII of the Civil Auditor's Manual, which says that "such officers (Sub-Collectors not provided with public accommodation for their Cutcherries) when in charge of the District, are not entitled to extra Tent Allowance, whilst residing at the Hoozoor Station and having the Collector's Cutcherry for the accommodation of their Establishment." The rule is clear, and if Mr. Chase had been able to take his office with him to the Head Quarter Station, the claim would have been wholly untenable. As however the public service required that he should leave the whole of his Establishment, with the exception of two Writers, at his own station, the Government sanction the allowance as a special case.

4. It is observed that during the whole period Mr. Chase was both Collector and Sub-Collector of the District; hence the refusal of both Acting Allowance and Extra Tentage would have the effect of reducing his salary at the same time that his duties were increa

(True Extract.)

(Signed) J. D. SIM

Secretary to Government

To the Board of Revenue.
,, the Civil Paymaster.
,. the Accountant General.

Exd. S. T. Augustin.

No. 4,018.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 29th June 1864.

Read the following letter from the Acting Sub-Collector of the Godavery District, dated Nursapore, 1st June 1864, No. 63:—

	Rs.	A.	P.
April total extra tent allowance.....	157	8	0
Amount received.....	99	12	0
	57	12	0
Difference.....	162	12	0
May total extra tentage.....	21	0	0
Amount due according to Rules.....			
	141	12	0
Difference.....			
Total loss....	199	8	0

1. I have the honor to bring to your notice, that my having had charge of this District from the 19th April to 28th May has entailed on me the loss of Rupees 5-4-0 a day.

2. Had I remained at Nursapore, I should have been entitled to extra tent allowance for the whole time under Chapter VIII, paragraph 41, Civil Auditor's Manual, but being at head quarters, there is no rule laid down by which I am entitled to extra tentage, unless the latter part of Section 42 applies, viz., "having the Collector's Cutcherry for the accommodation of their establishment," I did not have the Collector's Cutcherry for the accommodation of my establishment.

My expenses as regards my establishment were the same as if I had been myself at Nursapore, for I have had to keep the same houses for the records and the establishment, which owing to the great alterations which have to be made in the accounts during this year's Jummabundy, I left at Nursapore taking only two writers with me to Cocanada.

3. My request therefore is that I may be permitted to draw full extra tent allowance during the whole of the time I had charge of the District, in order that I may at all events draw the same pay I should have been entitled to had I remained at Nursapore.

4. I have the honor further to request that I may be also permitted to draw deputation allowance during the whole time I had charge of the District.

I need hardly explain that the work was very heavy owing to the Collector's Jummabundy not having been completed, to the payment of sums due to Inamdars, and the adjournment of the Principal Sudder Ameen's Court from the beginning of May, which obliged me to try many cases, which under ordinary circumstances would have been committed to the Principal Sudder Ameen's Court.

I may add that the having to take charge of the District before the Jummabundy of the Sub-division had been completed, has necessitated a delay, so that now in the month of June, I have still remaining nearly sixty villages unsettled, which work, had I remained at my own station, would have been in all probability quite finished in the month of April.

5. I beg to observe that I do not think that the withholding of deputation allowance for a month under Chapter II, paragraph 88 of the Civil Auditor's Manual, applies to my case, for my office establishment and station are not the same as that of the Collector.

6. I enclose four abstracts, two for extra tentage, and two for deputation allowance, which I shall thank you, in the event of my request being granted, to have forwarded on to the Civil Paymaster, for audit.

Resolved that the above letter be forwarded to the Civil Paymaster for report. The Board are not prepared to recommend a departure from the established practice in similar cases, in Mr. Chase's favor.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) R. A. DALYELL,
Sub-Secretary.

To R. W. Lodwick, Esq., Civil Paymaster, with four Bills.

Copy to T. A. N. Chase, Esq., Acting Sub-Collector of the Godavery District.

Exd. J. Wright.

No. 4,496.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 18th July 1864.

Read the following Official Memorandum from the Civil Paymaster, dated Fort Saint George, 4th July 1864, No. 12:—

1. Revenue Board's Proceedings, No. 4,018, dated 29th ultimo.

2. The undersigned has the honor to state that Mr. Chase does not seem entitled either to the extra Tentage or Deputation for the whole period during which he has been acting. This office appears to have no knowlege of Mr. Chase having been appointed to act for his principal, but even allowing him to have a claim to acting allowance under authority he could not receive the Deputation until he had acted one clear month.

The Board observe that Mr. Chase, as the next senior Officer in the District, took charge of it when Mr. Newill proceeded to take up his appointment at Travancore, and that he is, in the Board's opinion, entitled to Deputation allowance for the period in excess of one month during which he was in charge. The Board also consider that his extra Tent allowance should be continued to him during the whole period, as the expense of keeping up the Sub-Collector's office at Nursapoor was incurred by him, no Officer having been placed in charge of Sub-division. The Civil Paymaster will be requested to state whether he sees any objection to these allowances being passed to Mr. Chase under the circumstances stated.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) R. A. DALYELL,
Sub-Secretary.

To R. W. Lodwick, Esq., Civil Paymaster, with four enclosures.

Copy to T. A. N. Chase, Esq., Acting Sub-Collector of the Godavery District.

Rcd. J. Wright.

Enclosure to Board's Proceedings, dated 29th July 1864.

Rev. 1984/64.

1864. Department.

No.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE,

Dated 29th June 1864.

No. 4,018.

Forwarding to the Civil Paymaster for report letter from the Acting Sub-Collector of the Godavery District requesting to be permitted to draw full extra tent and deputation allowances during the whole time he had charge of the District, and stating that the Board are not prepared to recommend a departure from the established practice.

Enter in the Pro of the Bd of Rev for the [illegible] 29 July 1864

1864. Department.

No. Rev 1984/64

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE.

Dated 18th July 1864.

Despatched

Received

No. 4,496.

Observing that Mr. Chase is, in the Board's opinion, entitled to Deputation allowance for the period in excess of one month during which he was in charge of the Godavery District, and to Tent allowance for the whole period.

Revenue De[partment]

No. 1461

Proceedings of the Madras Govern[ment] [d]ated the 13th Aug 1864

Read the follo[wi]ng Proceedings of the Bo[ard] of Revenue

Submitting for the sanction of Govt the claim of Mr Chase for deputation and extra tentage allowance during the time he was in charge of the Godavery Dt.

(Here enter 29th July 1864)

Mr Chase, Sub [Col]lector of the Godavery [d]istrict received charge [of] the district from Mr Newill on the 19th April [a]nd delivered over charge to Mr Fraser on the 28th May. He claims deputa[t]ion allowance and extra tent allowance for [the] whole of the period [he] was in charge of the district.

2. The Civil Paymaster [re]fuses the former on the [s]trength of the rule laid down by the Govt of India in their Financial Lett[er]

letter to the Govt of Bengal
No 5,922 dated 1st December
1863, to the effect
that, when an officer is
ordered to officiate in an
appointment, he is expect-
ed to undertake and
discharge to the best of
his ability all the duties
that would be performed
by a permanent incumbent;
but when he is put in
charge of current duties only,
he is expected to do nothing
beyond the most ordinary
routine business. In the
first case, he is proper-
ly remunerated with addi-
tional allowances; in the other,
the State is really not re-
quired upon to grant him
extra allowance on the
score of any additional
labor of any importance.
A copy of this letter was
furnished to the several
Governments and Civil
Paymasters without the
usual

usual for
formation and guidance,
and it is evident that
they were intentionally
omitted for in para 5
the same letter it is
stated that "when
a Civil Paymaster finds
that the practice of his
residency with respect
to deputation or other
allowances is at vari-
ance with the rules
prescribed by the Govt
of India, he should
follow that practice
pending orders from
the Govt, which he
would solicit, as soon
as practicable." The
Civil Paymaster, does
not appear to have fol-
lowed this course but
however this may be
the ruling is inapplica-
ble. Mr Chase was
not in charge of current
duties

due by but exercised all the functions performed all the duties and was subject to all the responsibilities of the office. Most certainly he was not "expected to do nothing beyond the most ordinary routine business" and would have incurred the displeasure of Govt, had he limited himself to such work. He will therefore draw acting allowances after the expiration of the first month which is the period fixed by Govt for the gratuitous performance of superior duties under such circumstances.

3. The second claim for extra tent allowance is made on the ground that there is no fatcherry at Ramapoor for the

collector [illegible] pay
it is therefore drawn throughout the year. The Civil Paymaster refuses it under para 42 Chapter III of the Civil Auditors manual which says that such officers (Sub Collectors not provided with public accommodation for their Kutcheries / ~~how much~~, when in charge of the district, are not entitled to extra tent allowance, whilst residing at the Head Quarter station and having the Collectors Kutcherry for the accommodation of their establishment" The collector, and if Mr Chase had been able to take office with him to the Head Quarter Station claims ~~to draw extra allowance~~ would have been wholly untenable,

A

4

Answer the service required when he should leave the whole of his establishment - with the exception of two writers - at his own station, the Govt sanction the allowance as a special case -

4- It is observed - that during the whole period Mr Chase was both Collector and Sub-Collector of the district; hence and the refusal of both acting allowance and Extra Sundays would have the effect of reducing his salary the same time that duties were increased -

Copies Letter &c of Reference to four Bills Dept 15th
3 — Civil Paymasters
3 — Asst Gaolers

Pro 6 Jan 64 Nos 30-31

Revenue 1864 Dep.t
Pro: of Govt for Aug.t

No 41.61.

Pro: of the Madras Govt
Dy 12th Aug.t 61.

Passing orders on the
Pro: of B.d of Rev.e relative
to Mr Chase's claims to
Deputation and extra Tent
allowances.

No 205 & 206

Copies sent to Madras