M.R.O. 14—13,000—27-9-24

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue **DEPARTMENT.**

G.O. No. 1100 — Press ~~Mis.~~

335. 336

Dated 27—6—~~18~~ 1864

Not to be folded or roughly handled

No. 335. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 20th January 1864, No. 391.

Read the following letter from the Acting Collector of the Godavery District, dated Cocanada, 14th December 1863, No. 406:—

In reply to the Board's Proceedings No. 6,921 of the 29th October last, I have the honor to forward a receipt (with translation) given by a former Collector to Sabniviss Venkayya, Joganna, and Venkanna, in which there is a copy of the Purwannah granted to their ancestors by Rastan Khan Bahadur. After long search, the original cannot be found among the records of this Office. There are no other documents connected with the case.

Dated 18th May 1812.

ENCLOSURES Nos. 1 & 2.

ABSTRACT.—Receipt (with translation) given by a former Collector to Sabniviss Venkayya, &c., containing copy of the Purwannah.

Submitted to Government with reference to their Order dated 26th October 1863, No. 1,927. The Board see no reason to doubt that the grant was made in 1732, as already reported. They adhere to the recommendation contained in their Proceedings dated 13th October 1863, No. 6,568.

No. 336. ORDER THEREON, 27th June 1864, No. 1,100.

Miscellaneous.

1. The foregoing Proceedings, as well as those of the 13th October last referred to in them, relate to an annual money allowance of Rupees 57-14-5, the continuance of which was authorized in G. O. 11th October 1858, No. 1,378, to Jogayya and his two brothers during their lives or until the restoration of the Inám lands in lieu of which the allowance was granted.

2. The recipients of the allowance having subsequently petitioned that either the Inám land might be restored or the allowance be continued in perpetuity, the Government resolved* that the first request could not be complied with, while the continuance of the allowance beyond the lives of petitioners would depend upon whether the original grant of Inám land which the Zemindar afterwards resumed was made prior to 1768. If such proved to be the case, the Board were further to report whether instead of continuing the money payment it would not be advisable to give a new piece of land of equivalent value on Inám tenure in lieu of it.

* 5th September 1863, No. 1,635.

3. From the information which the Board have been able to collect, it appears that the Inám was granted in A. D. 1732 by Rustum Khan Bahadur, Nawab, to an ancestor of the present pensioners, and that it was excluded from the assets on which the Peishcush of the estate was calculated. The original Purwannah is not forthcoming, but a copy has been forwarded which is believed to be correct.

4. The Board therefore recommend that the allowance be made hereditary on the understanding that it is liable to be commuted for a grant of land. The Government sanction this arrangement. The Collector will report as soon as possible through the Board whether a commutation can be carried into effect without difficulty.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) J. D. SIM,
Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.

Exd. S. T. Augustin.

೧೮ ಸಾಲಿನ ಪಟ್ಟಿ

೧	ಸಾಲಿನ ಹೆಸರು
೧	ಸಾಲಿನ ಕಾಲ
೧	ಸಾಲಿನ ಸ್ಥಳ
೧	ಸಾಲಿನ ಮೊತ್ತ
೧	ಸಾಲಿನ ಉದ್ದೇಶ
೧	ಸಾಲಿನ ಷರತ್ತುಗಳು
೧	ಸಾಲಿನ ಪ್ರಕಾರ
೧	ಸಾಲಿನ ಅವಧಿ

ಸಾಲಿನ ಪಟ್ಟಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸಾಲದ ಹೆಸರು, ಸಾಲದ ಕಾಲ, ಸಾಲದ ಸ್ಥಳ, ಸಾಲದ ಮೊತ್ತ, ಸಾಲದ ಉದ್ದೇಶ, ಸಾಲದ ಷರತ್ತುಗಳು, ಸಾಲದ ಪ್ರಕಾರ, ಸಾಲದ ಅವಧಿ ಮುಂತಾದ ವಿವರಗಳನ್ನು ಸೇರಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ. ಈ ಪಟ್ಟಿಯು ಸಾಲದ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಸಂಪೂರ್ಣ ಮಾಹಿತಿಯನ್ನು ಒದಗಿಸುತ್ತದೆ.

ಕೊಡಗು

ಸಿಕ್ಕಿದ ಸ್ಥಳ ಮಾಹಿತಿಯನ್ನು ಅಲ್ಲಿಯವರಿಗೆ

ತಿಳಿಸಿದರೆ ಅವರು ಅದನ್ನು ಪಡೆಯಲು ಬರುವರು

ಅವರು ಬಂದಾಗ ಅವರಿಂದ ಸಂಪೂರ್ಣ

ಮಾಹಿತಿ ಪಡೆದು ಅವರಿಗೆ ಒಪ್ಪಿಸಿ

ಅವರಿಂದ ಸಹಿ ಪಡೆಯಬೇಕು

( ) ಕಳೆದುಕೊಂಡವರು

( ) ಸಂಬಂಧಿಕರು/ಸ್ನೇಹಿತರು

( ) ಮಾಲೀಕರು

( ) ಸಾಕ್ಷಿ ಹೇಳಿದವರು

( ) ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದವರು

ಸಂಪರ್ಕಿಸಿ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿದವರು ಇವರಿಗೆ ಇದನ್ನು

ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದವರು ಇವರಿಗೆ ಇದನ್ನು

[illegible]
[illegible]

౩ [illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
౧ [illegible]
౧ [illegible]
౧ [illegible]

౧ [illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
౪ [illegible]
[illegible]
౧ [illegible]
౧ [illegible]
౧ [illegible]
౧ [illegible]

౪ [illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

[illegible]

ಮಾನ್ಯ ಸಂಪಾದಕರವರಿಗೆ,

ಸಾದರ ನಮಸ್ಕಾರಗಳು.

ನಾನು ಕಳೆದ ಡಿಸೆಂಬರ್ ತಿಂಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಮಂಗಳೂರಿಗೆ ಹೋಗಿದ್ದಾಗ ಅಲ್ಲಿಯ ಕೆಲವು ಸ್ನೇಹಿತರು ಮಂಗಳೂರಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಒಂದು ಸಾಹಿತ್ಯ ಸಮ್ಮೇಳನವನ್ನು ಏರ್ಪಡಿಸಬೇಕೆಂದು ಸೂಚಿಸಿದರು. ಅದಕ್ಕೆ ನಾನು ಸಮ್ಮತಿಸಿದೆ. ಆ ಸಮ್ಮೇಳನವನ್ನು ಮಂಗಳೂರಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಮಾಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಅಲ್ಲಿಯ ಕೆಲವು ಸ್ನೇಹಿತರು ಬಯಸಿದ್ದರಿಂದ ಅದಕ್ಕೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ ಕೆಲಸಗಳನ್ನು ಅಲ್ಲಿಯೇ ಪ್ರಾರಂಭಿಸಿದೆ. ಅಲ್ಲಿಯ ಕೆಲವು ಸ್ನೇಹಿತರು ಸಮ್ಮೇಳನದ ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರಾಗಿ ಶ್ರೀಯುತ ಕೆ. ಶಿವರಾಮ ಕಾರಂತರನ್ನು ಆರ

Rev.ue 1864 Oct.br
No. 632

From Joganna Nagamma
& Venkiah joint Zemindars
of Pammegypalli in
Ramachundrapooram
taluq Godavery Dist.t

Dated 25th January
Rec.d 3rd February 1864
14th Inst.o
Enc. 4

Pray that
the enclosed Dum-
bala Certificates may
be also submitted to
Govt. together with
the

the Dumbala receipts
which the Coll.r has sent
to the Board with his
report on their petition
to Govt. requesting to
continue to them their
Inam in perpetuity

Submitted to
Government with
reference to the Board's
Proceedings dated 20th
January 1864 No. 391.
No. 209/64 By order

W Bulgill
Secretary

Land Revenue Office
Calcutta
5th March 1864

Subscribers

Sutnavivas Joganna his Brothers
The contents of Petition No. 3343 of 1862
No. 3343 of 1862. desire that Title deeds may be
issued to them, or hereditary allowance
with a guarantee of Permanency
of 57. 14. 7. given them on account
of Inam lands granted to them by
the Zemindar of Cota Ramachandrapooram
in Rajahmundry Taluq a similar
to the petitioners making a similar
appeal in No. 2009 of 1856 Govt. passed
No. 2009 of 1856. orders that the allowance 57. 14. 7
Rev. Cons. 12 Dec. 58 do be continued to the Petitioners
No. 1378. for life, & until the resumption of the Inam
lands; & that cases of like nature
arising that cases of like nature who called
for an extension of bounty should be
be reported as they occurred.
No. 3310 of 1862. On the receipt of Petition No. 3343 of 62
the Govt. referred the matter to the
Coll.r for report. By which it appears
Revs. Mad. Govt. that the Inam had been resumed
Rec. dept. 5 Sept 1863. by the late Zemindar before the
Estate reverted to Govt.

Also that as the land of which Congheen included in the Jeroyatee lands &
the boundaries lost, it would be more
convenient to continue the allowance
than to restore the lands.
This he prayed & now he prayed
in perpetuity. Stating that Doc[s]
in support of their claims had been
registered by the Coll[r] in 1812.

4. The Board supported this proposal.
Govt Order dtd 5 Sep. 1863 No 1635
But the Govt in Order Dated 5 Sep. 1863
No 1635. declined to sanction it, referring
to Para 5 Proceedings of Govt 20 June 48
No 656; and requiring that it should be
shewn clearly that the original Inam
had been granted before 1768. and
was not enlarged in the after Permanent
Prov: Govt Rev. D. 26 Oct. 1863. 5. In reply to this the Collector informed
the Board that the said Inam was
granted to Petitioners Ancestors
in 1792. By Rustumkhan Bahadur Nawab
and was excluded from the assets
in which the Peishkush of that Estate was
calculated, ~~and was not included in the Govt~~
Do. Order No. 1927. In the Govt Order hereon 26 Oct-63 No 1927
Govt directed the Board to call for the

/vide 8

Original Perwannas & other Doc[s]
connected with the Inam in question
and to express an opinion on the merits
of them.

Prov: Bd's Rev. 20 Jan 1864 No 391. 6. The Collector upon this being made
after long search to find the original Perwannas
in his Office, sent a receipt with
English translation given by Mr. Blake
Coll[r] dated 18 May 1812. to Sabnavis
Venkayya Joganna & Venkanna
in which there is a copy of the Original
Perwannah granted them by the Nawab.

7. Finally the Bd. adhere to their recommendation
of granting the allowance as
a perpetuity.

8. In the above mentioned Copy of the
Perwanna, it is not distinctly
stated whether the land was given
in perpetuity or not. But it may be
gathered that such was the case,
for it is an addition of 3½ cawnies made to a former
Grant of 1½ cawnies to Narsukamel
Sitaram Jagannath, and Rustum Sitaram
Deshpandaya, ancestors of the Petitioners

1[r]

Rev. No. 208

The history of the case is contained in the papers marginally noted - see also Mr Stuart's accompanying precis - The question for decision is whether the allowance of 57-14-5 now enjoyed by Jagannáyaka should be made hereditary & if so whether it would be desirable to commute it into a grant of land.

G.O. 11 Oct 1858. No 1378.
5 Sept. 1863. No. 1635.
26 Oct. 1863. No. 1927

I think that the junior should be made hereditary commutation for land if that can conveniently be done go

the grant (1½ Cawnies) is represented as having been given 50 years before: moreover, the notification of the additional gift is made to the Mutsaddis, Workmen both present and expected in Rajah Jagannáyaka-palem.

The receipt is dated 1812. Hijri 1227
The Cobalah of 2½ Cawnies extra is dated 1732. Hijri 1145

The Grant therefore was made 80 yrs after the receipt in A.D. 1732

And the Original 1½ Cawnies were given 50 yrs before that i.e. about A.D. 1682. and must therefore have been considered hereditary from 1682 upon the time of the present holders commencement of term.

It may be classed by Dr Stephen as

Revenue department.-

No. 1105.

Proceedings of the Madras Government under date the 27th June 1864.-

Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue

Submitting a letter from the Collector of the Godavery District with a translation of the Kurwanah of certain Inám granted to the family of Subbiviah Venkayya Dec'd.

(Received 20th Jany 1864, No 391).-

1. The foregoing Proceedings, as well as those of the 13th October last referred to in them, relate to an annual money allowance of Rs 57-14-5 the continuance of which was authorized in G.O. 11th October 1858 No 1378 to Jogayya and his two brothers during their lives or until the restoration of the Inám lands in lieu of which the allowance was granted.-

2. The recipients of the

the allowance having subsequently petitioned that either the Inam land might be restored or the allowance be continued in perpetuity the Govt resolved that the first request could not be complied with while the continuance of the allowance beyond the lives of petitioners would depend upon whether the original grant of Inam land which the Zamindar afterwards resumed was made prior to 1768.- If such proved to be the case the Board were further to report whether instead of continuing the money payment it would not be advisable to give a new piece of land of equivalent value on Inam tenure in lieu of it.-

x 6th September 1803
No 1635.-

3. From the information which the Board have been

been able to collect it appears that the Inam was granted in A.D. 173- by Ryalum Khan Bahadur Nabob, to an ancestor of the present pensioners and that it was included from the assets on which the Peishcush of the Estate was calculated.- The original Purwannah is not forthcoming but a copy has been forwarded which is believed to be correct.

4. The Board therefore recommend that the allowance be made hereditary on the understanding that it is liable to be commuted for a grant of land.- The Govt sanction this arrangement.- The Collector will report as soon as possible through the Board whether a commutation can be carried into effect without difficulty.-

11 Copies to the Bd of Rev. with 2 Rs. 6 Dec. 1st 28 Ems

Revenue 1864 Dep.t

Pro: of Govt for June.

No. 1100.

Pro: of the Madras Govt.

Dy 27. June 1864.

Pro. Bd of Rev. Dy 28th July 64

6 No 2013

Pro No. 287-288
26-10-63
~ 72-73
5-8-63

Making hereditary the allowance on account of the Inam lands in Tavangipalli Godavary District to Jagayya and his two brothers.

No 335 & 336.