M.R.O. 14—13,000—27-9-24

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue **DEPARTMENT.**

G.O. No. 1070 Press ~~Mis.~~

274.275

Dated 21-6-1864.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department, 21st June 1864.

No. 274. Read the following Proceedings of the Madras Government in the Judicial Department:—

Communicating report from the Inspector General of Madras Police, with reference to his remark on the irregular manner of conducting Treasury work in the Office of the Sub-Magistrate of Trippatur. } (Here enter 3rd June 1864, No. 818.)

No. 275. Miscellaneous.

ORDER THEREON, 21st June 1864, No. 1,070.

Referred to the Collector of Madura, through the Board of Revenue, for full explanation.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) J. D. SIM,
Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue, with Proceedings Judicial Department, No. 818.

Exd. S. T. Augustin.

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Judicial Department, 3rd June 1864.

Read again Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 27th November 1863, No. 7524, and recorded in the Proceedings in the Judicial Department, No. 1926, dated 10th December 1863.

Read also the following papers :—

No. 36. Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 13th January 1864, No. 195.

Read letter from the Collector of Madura, dated 19th December 1863, No. 305 :—

With reference to the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, No. 7524, dated the 27th November 1863, I have the honor to report further that Hame Sing, the sentry during whose tour of guard the robbery of the cash chest was discovered, has since asserted that the strip of cloth was all right when he took charge, and that the Deputy Gumastah came to the Kacheri early in the morning, and after walking up and down in it for some time went away, and that when he went away, the sentry observed the strip of cloth was cut, and that he then thought the Deputy Gumastah had robbed the Treasury.

2. The Deputy Gumastah admits having gone to the Kacheri in the morning, but denies having gone in, and there is no proof that he did go inside. Hame Sing, though examined several times, never previously made any such statement as that now made; he had always before said the cloth was hanging down when he took charge. He alone of all the Constables can be now charged under Section 462 of the Indian Penal Code. The result of the trial will be made known in due time.

3. The Deputy Gumastah, I have dismissed; for looking to the way the bag was cut, and the lock was taken off, I have a strong suspicion that a more expert party was concerned than a common Constable only, and the general feeling is that the Deputy Gumastah was concerned in the robbery; there is a general outcry against him that he is a regular thief; and it is remarkable that every house in Trippatur frequented by him has been robbed, and right or wrong the feeling is that he has been concerned in all. Considering the character of the man, I would not keep him in the service.

Submitted for the information of Government in continuation of the Board's Proceedings, quoted by the Collector.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) W. HUDLESTON,
Secretary.

To J. D. Sim, Esq., Secretary to Government, R. D.

No. 37. Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 18th February 1864, No. 1081.

Read letter from the Acting Collector of Madura, dated 27th January 1864, No. 14 :—

Having reference to paragraph 2 of Mr. Levinge's letter, dated 19th ultimo, No. 305, embodied in the Board's Proceedings of the 13th instant, No. 195, I beg to enclose copy of the Session Judge's decision* in the case in which Hame Sing, Police Constable, who was sentry over the cash chest at Trippatur, was charged with theft, &c. It appears that the prisonor was at once discharged.

* Dated January 6th, 1864.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.

Sentence in Calendar Case No. III of 1864.

The Court finds that the prisoner, Hame Sing, is not guilty of the offences specified in the charge, namely, that he has committed the offence of "house-breaking," or "the offence of abetting certain persons to commit house-breaking," and has thereby committed offences punishable under Sections 454 and 109 and 454 of the Indian Penal Code; and the Court directs that the said prisoner, Hame Sing, be discharged.

6th January. 1864.

(Signed) R. COTTON,
Session Judge.

(True Copy.)

(Signed) R. COTTON,
Session Judge.

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Judicial Department,
3rd June 1864.

Submitted for the information of Government in continuation of the Board's Proceedings, dated 13th January 1864, No, 195.

(True Copies and Extract.)

(Signed) W. HUDLESTON,
Secretary.

To J. D. Sim, Esq., Secretary to Government, R. D.

Transferred to the Judicial Department.

(Signed) C. G. MASTER,
Dy. Secy. to Government.

24th February 1864.

No. 38. From W. ROBINSON, Esq., Inspector General of Madras Police; to J. D. SIM, Esq., Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, Fort Saint George, dated Madura, 12th March 1864, No. 541.

General Department.

With reference to Government Order noted marginally, I have the honor to report on the subject of the loss of money from the Sub-Magistrate's cash chest at Trippatur of the Madura District in the early part of October last.

* No. 1909, dated 2nd November 1863, Revenue Department.

2. There is no evidence that a robbery occurred as stated on the 15th October. The money was counted on the 30th September, and the theft or embezzlement may have taken place any day between that and the 15th October, when the deficiency was discovered.

3. The Collector has in his second letter noted marginally indicated the person who, beyond all moral doubt was the culprit, viz., Venkatapathy Ayen, late Deputy Clerk of the Sub-Magistrate's office.

Dated 19th December 1863, No. 305.

4. The loss occurred through the irregular manner of conducting Treasury work in that office.

5. There is no suspicion of crime against any Police officer. Constable Hame Sing was committed to Court; but the Judge refused to put him on his trial. The Judge perceived the true bearing of the case.

6. The Inspector is no longer in the force. He was not a useful man; but he was in no way concerned in the crime.

7. I have delayed replying to the order until I could visit Madura.

No. 39. ORDER THEREON, 3rd June 1864, No. 818.

Ordered that the foregoing report of the Inspector General, Madras Police, be communicated to the Revenue Department, with reference to his remark on the irregular manner of conducting Treasury work in the office of the Sub-Magistrate of Trippatur.

(True Extract,)

G. J. Arbuthnot
Chief Secretary.

To the Revenue Department.
„ Inspector General of Madras Police.

Exd. J. Tulloch.

Pro: 2d Nov: 63 Rs 9-10.
" 10 Dec: " " 1926 Inds

Rev. 1864. Vol 15
Pro: of Govt for June
No. 1507

Proceedings of the
Madras Govt. Dist.
the Jud. Department

Dated 3d June
Received 9th 1864

No. 818

Pro Board of Rev: 4
18 Augt 64 Can: 2286.

Communicating report
from the Inspector General of Hospitals &
Police with reference to his remark
on the irregular manner of
conducting Treasury work in
the office of the Sub Magistrate
of Tripatur

No. 1070. 21 June

William Order

No. 274 & 275.

No. 1070.

Referred to the Coll. of
Madura thro' the Bd of
Rev. for full explanation

Fort St. George
21st June 64

Bd of Rev. with the Pro. J. D. No 818. Dated 18th June
S.C. 14- Dr 22 Do