M.R.O. 14—13,000—27-9-24.

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 1041 Press Mis.

212-213

Dated 16. 6. 1864

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department, 16th June 1864.

No. 212. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 25th April 1864, No. 2,481:—

Return of Dismissals of Public Servants in the receipt of monthly salaries exceeding 10 Rupees, for the second half of the year 1863.—Vide Extract from the Minutes of Consultation, No. 421, dated 5th May 1854.

Districts.	For the third quarter ending 30th Sept. 1863.	For the fourth quarter ending 31st Dec. 1863.	Total for the half year.
1. Ganjam.	.....	2	2
Vizagapatam.	1	.....	1
Godavery.	.....	.....	.....
Kistna.	.....	.....	.....
5. Nellore.	2	.....	2
Cuddapah.	2	1	3
Bellary.	.....	.....	.....
Kurnool.	.....	.....	.....
Madras.	.....	.....	.....
10. North Arcot.	.....	.....	.....
South Arcot.	.....	1	1
Tanjore.	1	.....	1
Trichinopoly.	.....	.....	.....
Madura.	.....	1	1
15. Tinnevelly.	.....	4	4
Salem.	.....	.....	.....
Coimbatore.	.....	.....	.....
South Canara.	.....	.....	.....
Malabar.	.....	1	1
20. Collector of Sea Customs. ...	2	1	3
Total...	8	11	19

N. B.—The returns containing the cases entered in this Statement are herewith forwarded; and in cases where a further report may have been called for, it is appended to the original Return for the information of Government as required by the Government Order No. 2,317, dated 17th December 1863.

No. 213. ORDER THEREON, 16th June 1864, No. 1,041.

Miscellaneous.

1. The foregoing Return calls for no particular remark.

2. The Government are of opinion that it is no longer necessary that they should be furnished with these reports of dismissals, and they will be discontinued accordingly. The Board will receive for their own purposes the information which they have hitherto obtained in accordance with Extract Minutes of Consultation 5th May 1854, No. 421.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) J. D. SIM,
Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue,

Exd. S, T. Augustin.

The Joint Magistrate has held a verbal
enquiry into the nature of the complaint preferred
by Narayanaswami Mudaliar, Deputy Collector
of Salt against Mahommed Hussein Superintend
of Birgimpalli Salt Cotaun.

The Complaint if accepted would be under
Section 177 of the Indian Penal Code but the
Joint Magistrate is of opinion for the reasons
to be stated hereafter, that the Circumstances
of the case do not warrant a Criminal
investigation and that the case might be
more properly disposed of Departmentally
or under the provisions of the Revenue Regulation
which would be applicable, as Salt forms
a branch of the Revenue Department but
that in this case it does not come under
his primary jurisdiction.

synopsis of the charge is as follows-

According to the Superintendents' weekly

report of Salt Scrapings, there appeared

for the week from 9th to 16th June last, 10,800

Maunds of Salt entered as scraped- and

for the next week from the 17th to 24th 6000 Maunds-

while it was alledged by the Superintendent

Gimastah that in the 1st week before

mentioned, 24,000 Maunds had been scraped,

while in the succeeding week there had

been no scrapings at all. hence, there

had been a false report on the part

of the Superintendent and a concealment

of Salt with a view as it is supposed

to future embezzlement as much as

16,800 Maunds of Scrapings had been

shown only, instead of 24,000 Maunds.-

On a subsequent revision of accounts,

however,

however, this supposition is unsupported

as by deducting owing to wastage and

smuggling 4,425 Maunds from the Gimastahs

account of 24,000 Maunds, there remains

a total of 19,575 Maunds to be accounted

for- and by adding to Superintendents

total of 16,800 Maunds: an Estimate of

1,080 Maunds reported by him as scrapings

in excess of Sittums, which is to be

increased by 895 Maunds according to the

Deputy Collectors' report, to 2,775 Maunds.

the total 19,575 corresponds with that of

the Gumastahs does away with the interested

motive as far as those reports are

concerned. The next point to be considered

is the truth of those reports; these the

Superintendent himself states to be

perfectly correct and that what was

actually

actually scraped during the week entered
in his weekly report & no more or no less.
and that the daily chitta book kept
by his kirkandah supports his statement.
On the contrary it is contended that the
chitta is a forgery as regards those two
weeks details. The superintendent in reply
observes that he is responsible for the
totals of scrapings alone and that his
weekly report agrees with the weekly
total of the chitta, altho in two instances
in the chitta, he admits himself that
the details are incorrect. because the
scrapings did not commence till the
11th while it is entered for the 9th & 10th, but
this he says is the fault of the writer
of the chitta who is alone responsible
for it. The writer admits the entry
on

on the 9th to be a mistake & that it should
have been the 10th and states that there was
no scrapings from the 17th and accounts
for the entry of 6,000 hamids on the 19th as
having been compulsated on him by the
superintendent whereas they ought to have
been included in the foregoing week. he
therefore corroborates the statement of the
Grimastah that the scrapings were made
in one week only and not in two. And in
the mistake of the first dates he blames
himself, whilst for the latter he blames
the superintendent & states he acted under
his orders. he further asserts that the
entries themselves are perfectly correct
though the dates may be wrong, & in this
manner again supports the defendant
(superintendent). there is therefore a balance
of evidence regarding the quantity

of scrapings collected - being that of a Gumastah
& a Sirisindah against a Sirisindah
& Superintendent - And for the wrong entries
the Sirisindah who wrote the chitta is
mainly responsible with the Superintendent
also who has neglected this portion of his
duties in his want of supervision of it -
there has also been an alteration in this
chitta - half a sheet having been torn
off and another affixed in its room
this has been alleged to have been done
to enable the details to be freshly entered
but the Sirisindah says it was through
ink having been spilt over it - It might
have been from either cause - The Deputy
Collector would impress on the Joint Magistrate
that the Sirisindah & Superintendent
are accomplices & that each played into
the hands of the other that it was
their

their intention originally to embezzle
salt, but that on finding they were
discovered they brought to account what
they had intended to take for themselves
and altered the chitta to suit the weekly
returns, which accounts for its mutilated
condition - though the Joint Magistrate
is of opinion that this may have been
their original intention, it is only at most
a supposition of an interested motive,
which has been defeated by the subsequent
conduct of the delinquents in carrying
the quantity to account - As regards the fact
whether the Superintendent was in actual
possession of the information before he
submitted his report, that 19,575 had
been scraped instead of 16,800 - there
is nothing to support it, beyond the
statement of a Sirisindah and the
Gumastah

Gunnadah, whose evidence is tainted in
the opinion of the Court Magistrate by spite
against the Superintendent - inasmuch
as without his knowledge or consent, he
reported the alleged concealment on the
back of the Superintendents report, when
it was given him for signature & despatch
by the Superintendent - Whereas his proper
course was to have brought the mistake
to the notice of his superior & reported
him subsequently if he had acknowledged
the error & refused to correct it but
to forward it with a report without
seeking any explanation of it, was a mistaken
sneaky behaviours in the second instance
he says he sent it without his signature
but it does not appear from the statement
of the Superintendent or the Swindale,
who wrote the Chitta that the former
knew

knew any thing about this. Such being the case
and the Swindale never having reported
it either, this much is open to suspicion
& it is reputed by the Defendant - There
can be no doubt that the Superintendent
has been culpably negligent in the discharge
of his duties in the proper supervision
of his office accounts and that through
this negligence he became destitute of the
knowledge which by proper deligence
he might have acquired, and that therefore
he is unfit for the service - but I cannot
fix the responsibility upon him with
reference to the Chitta which he is not
required to sign even, so as to find
him guilty of neglect amounting
to a criminality, and under these
circumstances I have advised his

case

case being taken up departmentally.-

Leetamma Lopez,
a
21 August 1863.

(Signed) E. F. Elliott.
Asst Magistrate

(True Copy)

H W Dyer Rev
Collector

Cmdg 27th.

Collector's decision.

There is no doubt in this case that the report made by the Superintendent was false. He asserts, he made it on the information given by Perutachigimaram Vaendena Raun & he did so, his fault is none the less for he was living not half a mile from the pans and cannot be excused after so important a portion of his duties as the quantity manufactured in the mee K.-

The false information was given to prevent if possible the pans being closed before an answer was received to an application for leave to manufacture more salt than was originally. The Collector is not of opinion that the true amount manufactured was concealed with a view to making away with

For a letter from the Collector of Malabar & the Secretary to the Board of Revenue dated 10 March 1864. No. 58

Rec'd 11 April 1864.

Letter Collr Ms 1864

Copy of the Finish Magistrate's Annual Returns in the Salt Pans & Golahs for the year 1863 Statement No. 5 & 6 of the 10 Statements in connexion with a case in which the Superintendent of Tuticorin is charged with having sold in false returns 1200 Candies manufactured in pans not entered in his Book—

with any portion of it. And the Collector therefore instead of furnishing under Regulation LX of 1822 proceeds to suspend the Superintendent Mahommed Hussein & Sirukachigmoram Vaxudeva Rauw severally for 3 months from the date on which they ceased to perform their duties & to transfer them to other divisions if necessary on Cower pay.-

2 October 1865

Signed [illegible]

Collector

(True Copy)

[illegible]

Collector

Comptg [illegible]

Vizagapatam :—Printed at the Collectorate Press.

Return of Public Servants Suspended or dismissed from Employment in the Office of the Collector of Sea Customs at Madras for the Quarter Ending 30th September 1863

Name	Office and Date of Appointment to it	Monthly Pay	Whether Suspended or dismissed + Date	Offence	Whole period of Employment in the Public Service	Remarks & Explanation of arrangement for duty on case of Suspension.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Mr. B D'Silva	Clerk Import Yard Depart	35 " "	Dismissed 25 August 63	Convicted of theft by the Police	Y - M - D 18 - 10 - 12	"
P Runjiah Naidoo	D. Beach Depart	15 " "	10 August 63	Neglect of duty	5 - 3 - "	"

Sea Custom House
Madras 3d October 1863

W Ford

J Blair
Actg Collector Sea Customs

In a letter from the Collector of Nellore to the Secretary to the Board of Revenue dated 10th March 1864 No. 58

Rev = 1188/64

Ltr 462 6 1864

Copy of the Collector's decision in a case in which the Superintendent & Nursindah of Patiala decision were charged with having sent in false returns of the Salt manufactured in June last —

Orig[l] to Govt
25- April/64

1863 Department
No. 4400
From
The Asst Collector Sea Customs
Madras
Rev = 1188/64
To
The Secy. Board of Revenue
RECORDED.
Dated 3 October 1863
Rec[d] 6
Sections 10 — 2
Return of Public
Servants suspended or
dismissed from
Employment in the
Office of the Collector
& Sub Customs at
Madras for the Quarter
Ending 30th September
1863.

Rev. 4701

1863.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

From Rev = 1188/64

The Collector of Vizagapatam.

To

The Secretary to the Board of Revenue,

Fort Saint George.

Dated 13th October 1863

Received 21 Oct

In C. 24 Oct

Return of Public servants suspended or dismissed from employment in the District of Vizagapatam during the quarter ending 30th September 1863

RECORDED.

Orig[l] to Govt
25- April/64

Vizagapatam :—Printed at the Collectorate Press.

RETURN of Public Servants, suspended or dismissed from employment in the District of Vizagapatam during the quarter ending 30th September 1863.

Name.	Office and date of appointment to it	Monthly pay. Rs.	A.	P.	Whether suspended or dismissed, and date.	Offence.	Whole period of employment in the Public Service.	Remarks and explanation of arrangement for duty in Cases of Suspension.
1	2	3			4	5	6	7
M. Appadu	Sub Magistrate of Ouradam 1st February 1863	100	"	"	Dismissed 18th August 1863	For Irregularities committed whilst employed as Criminal Officer Vebraniswamy Junior Assistant Agent's Office at Jeypur, whether he was summoned to the Civil Court where he died	11 - 6 - 7	

Vizagapatam Governor's Agent's Office 13th October 1863

Certified J. D. Parker Head Clerk

Approved

D Munro

Agent to the Governor.

Return of Public servants suspended or dismissed from employment in the District of Tanjore during the quarter ending 30th September 1863

No.	Name	Office & date of appointment to it	Monthly Pay	Whether suspended or dismissed & date	Offence	Whole period of employment in the public service	Remarks & explanation if any, should be given in this column
1	2		3	4	5	6	7
			Rs. a. p.			years m. d.	
		By the Collector.					
4	Wm John Pyze	Sea Custom Supdt. of Negapatam — 30th August 1860	100 " "	suspended for 2 months from 9th Septr. 1863	For gross neglect of duty in allowing certain drawback goods to be imported free of duty	29 8 "	The office has been temporarily filled up
		By the Sub Collector					
		None					
		By the Head Assistant Collector					
5	Guanatuam Dillai	Assistant Supdt. of Sea Customs of Tonjore — 1st March 1861	15 " "	Dismissed 21st July 1863	Repeated disregard to Huzur orders & especially for allowing an excess number of passengers to embark in violation to Act XXV of 1859 although he had been fined & severely reprimanded on a previous occasion for a similar offence	31 " 14	The office has been filled up
		By the Deputy Collectors					
		None					

Tanjore
16th October 1863

W. Mayne Reid
Collector

Orig.l to Govt.
25 - April 64.

Wpd. No. 4723
1863 Department
From - Rev = 1188/64.

The Collector
of Tuspan
To
The Secretary
to the Board of
RECORDED. Revenue
Dated 16 October
Rcd 23 October 1863
In Corrs 24 Do
Return of Public
servants Suspended
or Dismissed from
Employment in the
District of Tuspan
during the quarter ended
30 Sept 1863 Over

RETURN of Public Servants suspended or dismissed from employment in the District of Nellore during the Quarter ending 30th September 1863.

NAMES.	Office and date of Appointment to it.	Monthly Pay.	Whether suspended or dismissed.	Offence.	Whole period of employment in the Public Service.	Remarks and Explanation of arrangement for duty in cases of suspension.
1	2	3	4	5	Y. M. D.	7
Vyapalli Krishnadasu	Tahsildar of Gudur Taluq. 1st July 1860.	Rs 200	Suspended from 6th July 1863 pending the decision of the High Court & with reference to their order since received has been retained again.	For having committed gross irregularity and misconduct in the prosecution of justice and screening of an offender from legal punishment in a Preliminary enquiry held by him.	28-4-13	The Taluq was taken in charge of the Taluq Shirastadar A. Adamki Venkatanarasimhaya pending the disposal of the charges against the Tahsildar as reported in the Collector's letter to the Board of the 21st September 1863, No. 303 recorded in Board's Proceedings dated 8 October 1863, No. 6418.
Akharagu Venkata-Ramanaya	5th Gumastha of Gudur Taluq 29 May 1863.	12	Dismissed from 15th July 1863.	For having committed certain wilful Extract of the Diary sent to the Joint Magistrate the issue of a warrant to the [illegible] of the Gudur Taluq Subordinate Magistrate, the said [illegible] being reason to believe that he altered, or was concerned in the alteration of the date of arrest in the Preliminary Register.	3-10-2	
Panaraga Ramakrishna-raya	8th Gumastha of Kandukur Taluq 29th May 1863.	12	Dismissed from 7th September 1863.	For having given false evidence in the Magistrate's Court before the Sessions Court of Nellore.	18-10-7	This imprisonment was confirmed in Calendar Nov 100 1/101 of 1863 on the file of the Sessions Court of Nellore to 7 years transportation having been convicted of the crime of perjury.
Sal Subbaya	Mirasidar of the Dugarazupatnam Sal Division 2nd May 1862	14	Suspended for two months from 14th August 1863.	For reporting falsely to be sick & applying for leave when he was not sick.	12-4-13	Sal Kalapalli Pattaya was appointed to act from the 23rd August 1863.

Mahommed Hussein	Salt Superintendent of Pakala Division 1st August 1863.	30	Suspended for three months from the 18th August 1863.—	For making a false report to the Deputy Collector in charge of the Salt Department that 10,800 maunds of Salt had been scraped in the week ending 16th June last, and 6,000 maunds in the week ending 24th idem, whereas and in truth about 1,957 maunds of Salt had been scraped in the former period, and no Salt scraped in the latter.	18-8-1	The work of the Division was entrusted to the Superintendent of the adjoining Division of Padavedu
Tiruttachi Annahmu Varadina Raiu	Peninsula of the Pakala Salt Division 16th March 1863.	14	Suspended for three months from the 1st inst. 1868.—	For preparing for the Superintendent the above false account and for having Cut off a leaf out of the Account Book No. 5.	17-5-27	The work of the office was entrusted to the Karnamin of the adjoining Taluk of Tummalapenta

Pelore
Collector's Office
1st December 1868

W. H. Dr. 1st Dec. 1868
Collector

To,
W. Huddleston Esquire,
Secretary to the Board of Revenue,
Madras.

Compared

Return of Public Servants Suspended or dismissed from Employment in the Office of the Collector of Sea Customs at Madras for the Quarter Ending 31st December 1863.

Names	Office and Date of appointment to it	Monthly Pay	Whether Suspended or dismissed and Date	Offence	Whole period of Employment in the Public Service	Remarks and explanation of arrangement for duty in case of Suspension
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
		Rs. A. P.			Year. M. D.	
P. Vurdiah	Clerk Export Yard Department	20 "	Dismissed 1st December 1863.	Offering a bribe to Mr. Atkinson, the Deputy Collector	19 — 2 — "	✓
P. Vencatachellum Chetty	— D° —	25 "	Suspended from 16th to 30th November 1863.	Neglect of duty	17 — 2 — "	✓

Sea Custom House
Madras 5th January
1864.

W. Blair
Collector Sea Customs

1863. Ag. R. 5731
Department.
Rev = 1188/64
From The Collector of Sea Customs.
To The Secy. to the Bd. of Revenue,
Madras.
Dated 1st
Despatched 2d } December/63.
Received 5th

Sea Cons.

Return of Public Servants
Suspended or dismissed
during the 3d quarter of 1863.

Over

Orig. to Govt.
25th April/64

No. 257

15

Mys. No. 46
1864 Deptt.

From Rev. 1188/64

The Acting Collector
Sea Customs
Madras

To

The Secretary
Board of Revenue

Dated 5th
Rec'd 6th } January 1864

RECORDED.

Return of Public
Servants suspended or
dismissed from Employment
in the Office of the Collector
of Sea Customs at Madras
for the Quarter Ending
31st December 1863.

Orig. to Govt.
25th April/64.

No. 259.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 15th January 1864.

Read the following paper from the Collector of Nellore, dated 1st December 1863:—

ABSTRACT.—Return of Public Servants suspended or dismissed during the 3rd quarter of 1863.

The Board request the Collector to submit for their information further particulars regarding the last two cases entered in this return.

(A true Extract.)

(Signed) R. A. DALYELL,
Sub-Secretary.

To J. W. B. Dykes, Esq., Collector of Nellore.

Exd. J. Wright.

Judl. No. 300
Rev. 11888
1863. Department.

From

The Collector of
Malabar.

To

The Secretary to the Board
of Revenue.
Fort Saint George.

Dated 14 January 1864
Received. 18 — " —

RECORDED.

No.

Return of Public Servants suspended or dismissed from employment in the District of Malabar during the quarter ending 31st December 1863.

Quarterly Return
25th April 64.

1864. Department.

No.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE,

Dated 15th January 1864.

No. 259.

Requesting further particulars regarding the last two cases entered in the Return of Public Servants suspended &c., during the 3rd quarter of 1863.

1864 DEPARTMENT.

Rev-1188/64.

FROM

THE COLLECTOR OF

MADURA.

TO

THE SECRETARY TO THE

BOARD OF REVENUE

FORT SAINT GEORGE

Madras

Dated 18th Jany 1864

Received 21st Jany

Return of Public Servants Suspended or Dismissed from Employment in the District of Madura during the Quarter ending 31st December 1863.

Over

Orig'l to Govt

25th April/64

Return of Public Servants suspended or dismissed from employment in the District of Madura during the quarter ending 31st December 1863

Name.	Office and date of appointment to it	Monthly pay	Whether suspended or dismissed & date.	Offence.	Whole period of employment in the Public Service.	Remarks & explanation of arrangement for duty in cases of suspension.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
		Rs. As P.				
1 Naigalingam in the Pillay's office at Ramnad	Gumasta 1861 Feb 4 165	12 - -	Dismissed 1863 August 21st	By the Collector Mr. Lewis For repeated disobedience of the order of his Superior notwithstanding he was once fined -	Year - M - Days 7 - 1 - 13	

Madura Collectors Office
18th January 1864

Seconded

Ag Collector

Return of Public Servants suspended from Employment in the District of South Arcot during the 4th Quarter ending 31st December 1863

Name	Office and date of appointment to it.	Whether suspended pending Report or dismissed	Date of suspension	Date of restoration to duty	Grade and kinds of employment on the restoration	Remarks and Explanation of arrangement for duty in case of suspension
1	2	3	4			7
Collector's Establishment						
None						
Sub Collector's Establishment						
1 Ramachendra Shanbhaug	Sub Deputy Collector in charge of Summargoor in the Chingleput Talug	Removed from his appointment	Contemplated neglect of duty and fraud on the Government in 1863.		Head Assistant 14th March 1856.	
Head Assistant Collector's Establishment						
None						
Deputy Collector's Establishment						
None.						

South Arcot
Asst Secretary
Cuddalore
22 January 1864

Charles Steele
Collector

To The Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Madras

Received 25th January 1864

125 - April 164

To Collector of
South Arcot
&
The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Madras

Dated 22nd January 64
Rec'd 25th
Rec'd 1168/64

Return of Public
Servants suspended
or dismissed from
Employment in
the District of South
Arcot during the 4th
Quarter ending
30th December 1863

Over

Return of Public Servants suspended or dismissed from employment in the District of Cuddapah during the Quarter ending 31st December 1863.

Name.	Office, and date of appointment to it.	Monthly Pay.	Whether suspended or dismissed, and date.	Office.	Whole period of employment in the Public Service.	Remarks and explanation of arrangement for duty in cases of suspension.
		Rs. as				
	By the Acting Collector					
	None					
	By the Asst Collector					
1. Krishna Rau	Peishcar in the Gadiri Taluq	12 -	Dismissed on the 17th October 1863.	This Peishcar was deputed to examine the Cultivation of a Village & he submitted a false report to the Sub Collector stating that certain wells which are in good order and under which Cultivation had been carried on were-sulting under the Sub Collector, therefore-on this missed him from his Office.	By Fr. D. 22-8-26	Cantum Srinivasa Rau has been appointed
	By the Head Assistant & Deputy Collector					
	None					

Cuddapah Collectr's Office 2d February 1864.

J. Johnstone
Ag Collector.

Ed Contract Clerk

30

Ms. No. 591 1864

Revenue Department

From the Collector of Cuddapah

To the Secretary to the Board of Revenue Fort Saint George

Dated 2d February 1864

Rec'd 5 " " 1864

RECORDED.

Rev. 1188/64

Return of Public Servants dismissed or dismissed from employment in the District of Cuddapah during the Quarter ending 31st December 1863.

Orig.l to Govt.
25th April/64.

Return of Public servants suspended or dismissed from employment in the District of Ganjam during the Quarter ending 31st December 1863.

Name.	Office and date of appointment.	Monthly Pay. Rs-	A	P.	Whether suspended or dismisesd	Offence.	Whole period of employment, in the Public service. Yrs.	M.	D.	Remarks and explanations of arrangements for duty in cases of suspension.
S. Lakshmanan	Sumasthah of Sub Engr at Nekka	15	-	-	Dismissed.	Charged with Embezzlement and finally with illegal connection failed to be obtained before the Sumasthah was in possession of the Records having satisfied the Magistrate, that if their Sumasthah could not be considered trustworthy and his removal unnecessary he was accordingly removed.	7	-	-	
S. Sreekantiah	Clerk of Sea Customs Superintendt at Ganjam Port	15	"	"	Do.	Charged with illegally detaining Sea Customs Boat and Authority was not sufficient evidence to secure a Magisterial Conviction still there was sufficient cause shewn for removal from a situation in which there was opportunity for speculation accordingly the Collector removed him from employment.	4	5	13	
Do.	Sumasthah of District Mamoolies Inspector on Russell Cawdah	10	"	"		Never joined to take up his appointment consequently struck off the Pay List.	4	5	13	

Exd. Ganjam 5th February 1864. E. E. per.

To The Secretary to the Board of Revenue Fort Saint George.

Deputy Collector in charge of Treasury.

Head Accountant.

No. 924.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 11th February 1864.

Read the following paper from the Acting Collector of Madura, dated 18th January 1864:—

ABSTRACT.—Return of Public Servants suspended or dismissed from employment in the District of Madura during the quarter ending 31st December 1863.

The Board have already called upon the Collector for brief report on this case (see Order on Petition No. 337 of 1864).

(A true Extract.)

(Signed) R. A. DALYELL,
Sub-Secretary.

To Æ. R. McDonell, Esq., Acting Collector of Madura.

Exd. J. Wright.

Abstract

Return of Public servants suspended or dismissed from employment in the District of Ganjam during the Quarter ending 31st December 1863.

Rec'd 11th Feby 64

Dated 18th Jany 64.

Rec'd 18th Feby 64.

RECORDED.

Original to Ganjam
25th Feby 1864.

1864. Department.

No.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE,

Dated 11*th February* 1864.

No. 924.

Observing thnt the Collector has been already called upon for a brief report on the case entered in the Return of Public Servants suspended &, during the quarter ending 31st December 1863.

Return of Public Servants suspended or dismissed from Employment in the District of Tinnevelly during the Quarter ending 31st December 1863.

Name	Office and date of appointment to it.	Monthly Pay.	Whether suspended or dismissed and date.	Offence	Whole period of employment in the Public Service	Remarks and explanation of arrangement for duty in cases of suspension
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Rangasamy Chetty	Acting 3d Class Revenue Inspector of the Tenkarrai Taluq 9th February 1863.	Rs 14	Dismissed on the 5th November 1863.	For having appropriated to his own use Rs 142 being Income tax Collections &c and for having cut and sold Avenue trees &c instead of lopping the branches of the same as he was directed	Yrs. M. D. 2. 1. 14	Ramasamy Iyer a Mohoottar Tarnpdar of the late Shermadevy Taluq was appointed to succeed him.
2 Subah Row	Frontier Chowkidar of Perungudy 14th November 1861.	14	Dismissed on the 17th November 1863.	For frequent irregularities in conducting his duties in the Chowky.	16 - 2 - "	Sabapathy Pillai Sumastah of the Perungudy Chowky was appointed to succeed him.
	Sub Collector's Office.					
3 Sewaram Iyer	Acting 1st Class Revenue Inspector of the Tenkasy Taluq. 1st August 1863.	20	Dismissed on the 16th October 1863.	For having undervalued and sold a withered tree at a low price.	7 - 10 - 23	Muthirulappa Pillai Sumastah of the Tenkasy Taluq was appointed to succeed him.
4 Diraveyam Chetty	Head Shroff of the Ambasamudram Taluq 11th August 1862	15	Dismissed on the 11th December 1863.	For having misappropriated Rs 7-6-1 being the sale proceeds of certain impounded Cattle paid to him.	11 - 7 - 23.	Govinda Chetty Deputy Shroff of the Tangueri Taluq was appointed to succeed him.

Tinnevelly Collector's Circuit Cutcherry
Trichendoor 23d February 1864.

Comp.d J. P.

J. Pilcher
Collector

No. 1,909.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 1st April 1864.

Read the following letter from the Acting Collector of Madura, dated 3rd March 1864, No. 56 :—

1. I beg to report on Board Petition No. 337 of 1864 as follows.

2. Petitioner was a second Gumastah on 12 Rupees per mensem in the office of Sub-Magistrate at Ramnad. A former Sub-Magistrate reported him to Mr. Levinge, as not obeying his orders. Mr. Levinge fined him a Rupee, and warned him that a repetition of such conduct will subject him to dismissal.

3. The present Sub-Magistrate under date the 3rd August last, wrote an Arzi to Mr. Levinge, that Petitioner was always irregular in his attendance at the office, and never attended to his duties. He was inefficient and inattentive to the orders given him, adducing certain instances, and at the same time recommended his transfer to another division, and that he should be degraded.

4. Mr. Levinge referring to his former order and the above report, declared him unfit to hold the office and dismissed him from the service on the 21st idem.

5. In conclusion I beg to report that this is the case referred to in the Board's Proceedings, No. 924, dated 11th February 1864.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.—Petition.

Under the circumstances reported the following Endorsement will be passed on the Petition :—

"Petitioner having been reported as being irregular and careless in the performance of his "duties by both his present and late official superiors, the Board decline to interfere on his "behalf."

(A true Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) R. A. DALYELL,
Sub-Secretary.

To Æ. R. McDonell, Esq., Acting Collector of Madura.

MS. copy of Endorsement to Petitioner,

Exd. J. Wright.

RECORDED.

No. 1,933.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 2nd April 1864.

Read the following paper from the Collector of South Arcot, dated 22nd January 1864:—

ABSTRACT.—Return of Public Servants suspended or dimissed from employment in the District of South Arcot during the 4th quarter ending 31st December 1863.

The Board observe that some details of the neglect of duty and the abuse of authority on account of which the delinquent was dismissed ought to have been given in this return.

(A true Extract.)

(Signed) R. A. DALYELL,
Sub-Secretary.

To C. W. Reade, Esq., Collector of South Arcot.

Rd. J. Wright.

Encl. to Bd's Proc. dated 25th April 1864. No. 2481.

1864. Department.

No.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE,

Dated 1st April 1864.

No. 1,909.

Passing endorsement on Petition No 337 of 1864.

1864. Department.

No.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE,

Dated 2nd April 1864.

No. 1,933.

Commenting on the Return of Public Servants suspended &c, during the 4th quarter ending 31st December 1863.

No. 2,525.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 27th April 1864.

Read the following letter from the Collector of Nellore, dated Madirazu Guduru, 10th March 1864, No. 58:—

1. I have the honor to submit the further particulars regarding the last two cases entered in the Return of Suspensions and Dismissals forwarded from this office for the third quarter of 1863, as required in the Board's Proceedings, dated 15th January 1864, No. 259.

2. Mahomed Hussain, Salt Superintendent of the Pakala Division and Tirukachigundrum, Vasudeva Row, Nivisinda of the same Division, were charged with having sent in false returns of the salt manufactured in June last, and an inquiry being made by the Deputy Collector in charge of the Salt Department, it was found that the Return for the week ending 16th June 1863 showed 10,800 Maunds of salt as scraped, while in truth 19,575 Maunds had been scraped, and again the Return for the succeeding week showed 6,000 Maunds as scraped, while this week no salt had been scraped at all. To support the above false Returns the Account Book No. 5, showing the daily scrapings of each individual, had been altered, and a leaf in it torn out for the purpose and a fresh leaf inserted.

3. The Deputy Collector, thereon considering that the abovesaid false returns had been sent in with a criminal intent, complained to the Joint Magistrate, within whose jurisdiction the offence was committed, against both the Superintendent and Nivisinda. The Joint Magistrate on preliminary inquiry into the complaint, considered that the circumstances of the case did not warrant a criminal investigation, and suggested that the case might be more properly disposed of departmentally, or under the provisions of the Revenue Regulation No. IX of 1822.

4. And the case having been then brought before me, I held inquiry in the matter personally when I visited Pakala at the beginning of October last; and finding that although the Returns submitted were unquestionably false, there was no criminal intention or concealment of the salt actually scraped with a view to making away with any portion of it, as was supposed by the Deputy Collector, instead of punishing under Regulation IX of 1822, I suspended the Superintendent Mahomed Hussain and Nivisinda Tirukachigundrum Vasudeva Row severally for three months from the date on which they ceased to perform their duties, and directed their transfer to other divisions, if necessary, on lower pay.

5. I enclose for the information of the Board, copy of the Joint Magistrate's remarks, as well as of my own decision in the case.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.

ABSTRACT.—Copy of the Joint Magistrate's remarks referred to in the last paragraph of the Collector's letter, No. 58 of the 10th instant, in connexion with a case in which the Superintendent and Nivisinda of Pakala have been charged with having sent in false returns of the Salt manufactured in June last.

ENCLOSURE No. 2.

ABSTRACT.—Copy of the Collector's decision in a case in which the Superintendent and Nivisindah of Pakala Division were charged with having sent in false returns of the Salt manufactured in June last.

Recorded.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) R. A. DALYELL,
Sub-Secretary.

To J. W. B. Dykes, Esq., Collector of Nellore.

Ed. J. Wright.

1864. Department.

No.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE,

Dated 27th April 1864.

No. 2,525.

Recording letter from the Collector of Nellore submitting the further particulars regarding the last two cases entered in the Return of Suspensions and Dismissals from office for the third quarter of 1863.

X3232

Revenue dept. [illegible]

P.P. 1044

Proceedings of the Meeting of Commissioners held the 16th June 1864.-

Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue

Submitting Return of Dismissals of Officers of Customs for the quarter ending 30th June 1864.

(Above entered 25th April 1864 No 2485)

The foregoing Return being duly filled up and returned, the Commissioners direct that the same be laid before the Board at their next Meeting

I see no objection to the foregoing Report with regard to the number of Officers of Customs dismissed during the quarter ending 30th June 1864.

The Secretary will furnish the Board with the reports required in the Minute of the 15th January 1864.

Orig. to Govt. 25th April 1864

Departt. No 1462 1864
Rev: 1188/64.

From, The Collector of Sligo.

To, The Secretary to the Board of Revenue Dublin.

Dated 10th March 1864
Descp 12 Rs
Recd 14

Recon.
No 58
(Enclosure Two)

Submitting the further particulars regarding the last two cases entered in the Return of Suspensions & Dismissals from this Office for the third quarter of 1863 as required in Board's Proceedings dated 15th January 1864.

No 259.

RECORDED.

No 2525

Dismissed

Reg'd

P.S. Enclosure

25th April 64

salaries under Rs 50.-
future returns will be
modified accordingly. This order is not
intended to preclude
the Board from receiving for their own
purposes the information which they have
hitherto obtained in
accordance with L.
M.C. 5th May 1854, No 421.

Recd

I Do

M. Copies Letter B. of Rev. Dept. 17 June

Revenue 8th Dept.
Pro: of Govt. for June.

No 1041.

Pro: of the Madras Govt.
Dy 16th June 1864.

No 212 & 213

The Returns of
Dismissals of Public
servants for the second
half of the year 1863,
ordered to be discontinued.

No Enclosure 12/14