M.R.O. 14—13,000-1-27-9-24.

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue **DEPARTMENT.**

G.O. No. 1031 Press ~~Mis.~~

492-193

Dated 15 6 ~~19~~ 1864

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Proceedings of the Madras Government; Revenue Department, 15th June 1864.

No. 192. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 3rd June 1864, No. 3,394.

Read the following letter from the Collector of North Arcot, dated Palmanair, 19th May 1864, No. 112 :—

1. In Perumallapulli, a hamlet of Poodipatla in Chendragiri Talook, a strip of Nunjah land, measuring Cawney 0, A. 9 and C. 12 and assessed at Rupees 6-5-6, was taken up in Fusly 1265, after the crops had been harvested, on account of the road from Chittoor to Karcumbady.

2. This strip is a piece of a Bhatavarti Inám held by the Mohunt of Tripathi free of Jódi. In his Urzi dated 4th January last, No. 1, the Tahsildar of Chendragiri Talook reports that no Title Deed has yet been granted by the Inám Commissioner, and that both the tenant and proprietary rights in the soil are vested in the Mohunt, who would thus alone be entitled to compensation.

3. In this same village, Konatigari Venkattareddi and four others, who jointly hold a Puttah for Nunjah land, No. 483, measuring Cawney, 0, A. 7 and C. 12, and bearing an assessment equal to that of the land taken up for the Karcumbadi road, have formally agreed to relinquish this land in favour of the Mohunt to complement the Inám above adverted to ; and I have therefore the honor to solicit sanction to grant from Fusly 1274 the said extent of Cawney 0, A. 7, and C. 12, as Inám in lieu of the strip taken from the Mohunt.

4. Under the terms of the agreement between the Mohunt and Ryots concerned, the latter will still keep the land, paying the assessment to the former. The Ryots are not therefore entitled to any compensation.

5. There has been great delay in the settlement of this case. The late Mohunt appears to have laid his claim soon after the appropriation ; but the Tahsildar's report, which was received in September 1857, was lost sight of in this Office until October 1862, when the Mohunt made a fresh application on the subject. Mr. Fraser then called upon the Tahsildar to ascertain whether the Ryots, who agreed to relinquish the land in favour of the Mohunt in 1857, were still willing to abide by their former Razinamah, and to furnish him with the necessary statistics for the settlement of the claim, with reference to the recent instructions of the Board. The Tahsildar's final report having been since received, I beg to recommend that the following compensation may be paid to the Mohunt who is not answerable for the delay which has occurred in the disposal of this claim.

Fusly	Net profit.			Number of years for which interest is due.			
1266...	21	0	5	7			
1267...	do.			6			
1268...	do.			5			
1269...	do			4			
1270...	do.			3			
1271...	do.			2			
1272...	do.			1			
1273...	do.			0			
Total number of years for which interest is due...					28	0	0
Net profit for eight years at Rupees 21-0-5 per annum					168	3	4
Interest on the annual net profit for twenty-eight years					29	7	0
				Total...	197	10	4

6. On consideration of the nature of the soil, and the return of similarly circumstanced lands in the neighbourhood, the arbitrators computed that the net profits on the land in question for one year would be Rupees 21-0-5, making the aggregate of loss during a period of eight years or from Fuslies 1266 to 1273, amount to Rupees 197-10-4, inclusive of interest at five per cent. The details are given in the margin, and I solicit sanction to disburse to the Mohunt the said sum of Rupees 197-10-4.

Ordered to be submitted to Government with the Board's recommendation that the proposed Inám grant to the Tripathy Mohunt of Cawney 0-7-12 of Nunjah occupied land, with the consent of the Ryots, in substitution for similar land taken up for public road be sanctioned, together with the payment of Rupees 197-10-4, in full of all demands on account of profits of past years.

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
15th June 1864.

No. 193. ORDER THEREON, 15th June 1864, No. 1,031.

Miscellaneous.

1. Sanctioned.
2. The Board will make further enquiry into the cause of delay in this case and suitably punish the responsible parties.

(True Extract)

(Signed) J. D. SIM,
Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.
„ the Accountant General.
„ the Civil Paymaster.

Exd. S. T. Augustin.

No. 3,394.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 3rd June 1864.

Read the following letter from the Collector of North Arcot, dated Palmanair, 19th May 1864. No. 112:—

1. In Perumallapulli, a hamlet of Poodipatla in Chendragiri Taluk, a strip of Nunjah land, measuring Kani 0, A. 9 and C. 12 and assessed at Rupees 6-5-6, was taken up in Fasli 1265, after the crops had been harvested, on account of the road from Chittoor to Karcumbady.

2. This strip is a piece of a Bhatavarti Inam held by the Mohunt of Tripathi free of Jodi. In his Urzi dated 4th January last, No. 1, the Tahsildar of Chendragiri Taluk reports that no Title Deed has yet been granted by the Inam Commissioner, and that both the tenant and proprietary rights in the soil are vested in the Mohunt, who would thus alone be entitled to compensation.

3. In this same village, Konatigari Venkattareddi and four others, who jointly hold a Puttah for Nunjah land, No. 483, measuring Kani, 0, A. 9 and C. 12, and bearing an assessment equal to that of the land taken up for the Karcumbadi road, have formally agreed to relinquish this land in favor of the Mohunt to complement the Inam above adverted to; and I have therefore the honor to solicit sanction to grant from Fasli 1274 the said extent of Kani 0, A. 7, and C. 12, as Inam in lieu of the strip taken from the Mohunt.

4. Under the terms of the agreement between the Mohunt and Ryots concerned, the latter will still keep the land, paying the assessment to the former. The Ryots are not therefore entitled to any compensation.

5. There has been great delay in the settlement of this case. The late Mohunt appears to have laid his claim soon after the appropriation; but the Tahsildar's report, which was received in September 1857, was lost sight of in this office until October 1862, when the Mohunt made a fresh application on the subject. Mr. Fraser then called upon the Tahsildar to ascertain whether the Ryots, who agreed to relinquish the land in favor of the Mohunt in 1857, were still willing to abide by their former Razinamah, and to furnish him with the necessary statistics for the settlement of the claim, with reference to the recent instructions of the Board. The Tahsildar's final report having been since received, I beg to recommend that the following compensation may be paid to the Mohunt who is not answerable for the delay which has occurred in the disposal of this claim.

Fasli. Net profit.	*Number of years for which interest is due.*
1266...21 0 5 ...	... 7
1267... do. ...	... 6
1268... do. ...	... 5
1269... do. ...	... 4
1270... do. ...	... 3
1271... do. ...	... 2
1272... do. ...	... 1
1273... do. ..	... 0

Total number of years for which interest is due...	28	0	0
Net profit for eight years at Rupees 21-0-5 per annum	168	3	4
Interest on the annual net profit for twenty-eight years	29	7	9
Total...	197	10	4

6. On consideration of the nature of the soil, and the return of similarly circumstanced lands in the neighbourhood, the arbitrators computed that the net profits on the land in question for one year would be Rupees 21-0-5, making the aggregate of loss during a period of eight years or from Faslis 1266 to 1273, amount to Rupees 197-10-4, inclusive of interest at five per cent. The details are given in the margin, and I solicit sanction to disburse to the Mohunt the said sum of Rupees 197-10-4.

Ordered to be submitted to Government with the Board's recommendation that the proposed Inam grant to the Tripathy Mohunt of Kani 0-7-12 of Nunjah occupied land, with the consent of the Ryots, in substitution for similar land taken up for public road be sanctioned, together with the payment of Rupees 197-10-4, in full of all demands on account of profits of past years.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

Secretary.

To J. D. Sim, Esq., Secretary to Government, R. D.
Copy to J. D. Robinson, Esq., Collector of North Arcot.

Exd. J. Wright.

Rev-
Pro: of Govt for Lines.

1864. Department.

No. 1502.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE,

Pro. Bd. of Revs. 5 August 64
C No 2085
Dated 3rd June 1864.
Dep 2 Sec
Rec 2 Ju —

No. 3,394.

Submitting with the Board's recommendation letter from the Collector of North Arcot soliciting sanction for payment of compensation for certain land to the Mohunt of Tripatty.

No 1031. 15 June
With an Order

Fr. 1031

Sanctioned.

The Board will make further enquiry into the causes of delay in this case and suitably punish the responsible parties.

Fort St. George
15th June 1864

In Copies & Letter Bd of Rev. Copies 16 June
2 Secrets Genl
2 Civil Paymaster

No 192 & 193.

C to Lines 4th Revs