

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 960	Press
6264	~~Mis.~~
Dated 4 6 19 1863	

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
4th June 1863.*

Read the following papers:—

No. 62. Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 13th May 1863.

Read the following letter from R. W. NORFOR, Esq., Superintendent of Stamps, to W. HUDLESTON, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Madras, 1st May 1863, No. 1,027 of Fasli 1272.

SIR,

1. I have the honor to acknowledge receipt of the Board's Order of the 28th April, communicating Order of Government of 25th April 1863, No. 716, calling for a further report upon the Stamp forgery cases.

2. I should on the termination of these cases have submitted a report, but knowing that the Advocate General was furnishing one to Government, I did not do so. I find upon enquiry that the report of the Advocate General went in to Government on the 24th April.

3. The witnesses for the prosecution are charged with perjury, the matter is before the Town Magistrate, Mr. T. G. Clarke, and has been so for some days.

4. There are two remarks that arise out of the Stamp cases, one of which is that for the defence of Soojan Mull; not one principal Soucar appeared or was called as a witness to character, though there were several in Court. The other remark is that among the exhibits in one of the cases not tried, that of Augur Chund, there are three sheets of forged Judicial paper, very well executed, of the present dies, and calculated to deceive the public, these forged stamps are on Goa paper, clean and smooth, and altogether of much better appearance than any other forgery produced.

5. These papers were said to have been procured by Jaganada Ponday for Ramasawmy Iyer from Augur Chund. The inference here is that if Augur Chund did not forge the papers in question, Jaganada Ponday did, and that he and his witnesses are, in fact, the forgers. It may be considered desirable that, if not already being done, a very strict watch should be kept upon all the parties concerned both accused and witnesses. The present issue of water-marked Stamps, will stop the forgery of Judicial Stamps, but Promissory Note paper is still open to fraudulent attempts.

6. I have lately sent to Colonel Wilson a Promissory Note with the head of a stamped paper pasted on it, and have charged the parties to the note with having used a stamp that had been previously used, the proof is difficult, and the case may not go to the Magistrate, but I have this day laid charges against the parties in the margin* of having drawn, endorsed, and been parties to, an unstamped Promissory Note. The date of the Note is 1st October 1860, the day on which Act 36 of 1860 came into operation.

7. Augur Chund is the old offender in the Sydapet cases and one of the accused in the late forgery cases. Winter made the Note in favor of Augur Chund, he endorsed it to Eduljee Dinsaw, who applied to me to stamp it, I hope this case will be tried on Monday next.

8. I submit herewith for the Board's approval an English copy of a Hand Bill that I propose circulating. I have Tamil and Telugu copies, but I wish to circulate a Hindoostanee copy also. I beg to know if I am at liberty to apply to the Translator to Government for it, I am desirous of obtaining large type bills for posting, but there is I fear no type in the Native characters sufficiently large.

1. Ordered to be submitted to Government, with reference to their Proceedings, 25th April 1863, No. 716, Revenue Department. The Board request that if no objection exists, the report of the Advocate General may be communicated to them.

2. Mr. Norfor will communicate with the Police as to any arrangements that he may consider necessary in connection with the late forgery cases.

3. The Board observe that the facilities for fraudulent attempts in connection with Promissory Note paper or Bill Stamps is no greater than what exists in connection with all stamps on blank private material. They do not see sufficient reason at present for proposing the withdrawal of this concession to the public convenience, but the Superintendent will keep them informed of any facts which may come to his knowledge, and induce the belief that the convenience is abused, or that additional checks are needed.

4. They understand that the Police charge alluded to in the Superintendent's letter was rejected with reference to Act 40 of 1860, which suspended till 1st January 1861 the operation of Act 36 of 1860, so far as regarded Bills of Exchange, &c., which fact was accidentally overlooked in preferring the charge.

Forgery

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
4th June 1863.*

5. The Board approve the Notice which Mr. Norfor proposes to issue. They will request of Government that the Government Translator may be authorized to furnish the Superintendent with a Hindoostanee version.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) W. HUDLESTON, *Secretary.*

No. 63. Proceedings of the Madras Government in the Judicial Department.

Referring a letter from the Advocate General, remarking as to the facility with which impressions can be taken from genuine well executed Stamps upon common country sealing wax so as to make moulds or dies from which forged impressions can be obtained.

(Here enter 22nd May 1863, No. 790.)

No. 64. ORDER THEREON, 4th June 1863, No. 960.

1. The Board of Revenue will be furnished with a copy of paragraphs 4 to 11 of the Advocate General's report on the Stamp cases referred to in the correspondence above recorded.

2. The Board will communicate with Colonel Orr and report what additional precautions can be adopted to prevent the forgery of Stamps. The preparation of stamps on plain paper will entirely cease as soon as a sufficient supply of water-marked paper is on hand, and all plain paper in the hands of Vendors will then be re-called. In Bengal a bi-coloured stamp is used, but the time required to dry each stamp, and the impossibility of stamping more than one paper at a time, are practically serious objections to this expedient. The Government would, however, wish to have Colonel Orr's opinion based on the experience he has now had, and the undoubted fact that stamps can be and are forged. It occurs to the Government that a bi-colored stamp might at all events be used when private material is stamped, as in such cases the security which the water-mark affords is lost.

3. The Government would also wish to have Colonel Orr's opinion on the advisability of using numbering machines and having the stamps consecutively numbered as Banks' Notes are.

4. The Board are authorized to apply to the Government Translators for translations of the Notice which the Superintendent proposes to issue. The language should be as simple as possible.

(Signed) J. D. SIM,
Secretary to Government.

JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read the following papers:—

From T. SYDNEY SMYTH, Esq., Advocate General; to the Chief Secretary to Government, Fort Saint George, dated 24th April 1863.

I have the honor to submit, for the information of His Excellency the Governor in Council, the following report of the cases which I considered it proper to take up at the late Sessions.

2. I intended to have prosecuted in case No. 4, of the Calendar, in which Robert Boyce Dashwood, of the Vizagapatam Post Office, was committed by Mr. C. T. Longley, Justice of the Peace, for fraudulently appropriating an enclosure in a letter. It appeared, however, prior to the commencement of the Sessions, though too late to prevent the expense of sending Dashwood and several witnesses to Madras, that, 1stly, Mr. Longley was not in the Commission of the Peace, and 2ndly, that Dashwood ought to have been tried by the Sessions Court of the Zillah, not being a European British subject, and therefore not liable, by reason of an offence committed at Vizagapatam, to the criminal jurisdiction of the High Court. The other witnesses therefore were not sent down to Madras, and the Grand Jury therefore threw out the indictment; but, the necessary measures having been taken, Dashwood was arrested again after leaving the Court House, and will be sent back in due course to be tried at Vizagapatam.

3. Case No. 28, being entered as a charge of murder and culpable homicide, I intended to have prosecuted; but found on inquiry that the case did not appear of that serious nature that called for my intervention. The prisoner was a mere boy, apparently 14 or 15, and the chief evidence against him was his own confession, confirmed, favorably for him, in part, by that of his uncle and Dr. vanSomerén, that the child which lost its life—one of two years old—was crying, and that he took it up to pacify it; but getting angry at its continued outcry he threw it down on the ground, impatiently but not thinking to do it any serious harm. The uncle, who was outside, said he heard but one noise, and the Doctor said the injury was inflicted by one single fall. The verdict was culpable homicide.

4. The other cases were Nos. 24 to 27 inclusive, in which four Sowcars and one of their servants were committed for having possession of forged Stamps, and of instruments and materials for the purpose of counterfeiting Government Stamps.

5. It is possible that if, when information was first given about these cases, in November last as I understand, the Police had been directly applied to, something reliable might have been discovered; but it would seem that the first step taken, not till after the lapse of several days, was to send the informer's letter to the Revenue Board, where again several days seem to have elapsed before the next step was taken, viz., to send it, but not until the 4th or 5th of January 1863, to Mr. Norfor the Superintendent of Stamps, who again took some days to make his own enquiries; and the first communication to the Police seems to have been made after the middle of January, before which time there seems much reason to believe that it was pretty generally known, at least in the neighbourhood of the Revenue Board and Stamp Office, that some inquiry about forged stamps was in progress.

6. The evidence of the informers and their friends was however most suspicious from the first, and the Magistrate would have been quite warranted in dismissing the charge. He clearly however took the prudent course in committing the prisoners; for the Sowcars were so lavish in their offer of bribes in all quarters, that any other course might have been open to misconception.

7. The characters and conduct of the informers and witnesses told heavily against them. The principal witness, Jagannada Pondia, professed to be a Sowcar. He turned out to be the prisoner's cook, discharged on suspicion of stealing a jewel. He had also had litigation with one of his late Master's relatives, who had fled from Madras to avoid paying the amount of a decree obtained against him; and Jagannada Pondia had been trying, unsuccessfully, to obtain a settlement through the first prisoner. He and his three witnesses had moreover been co-witnesses in several actions, with which they had no apparent connection whatever, and had more than once been wholly discredited by the Judges of the Supreme Court. Their very extraordinary and suspi-

Forgery

cious story was, that they had repeatedly, one 7 or 8 times, another 15 to 20 times, witnessed the process of forging, by, or in presence of, all five prisoners, through a partly open door, and a wholly open window. They swore too that they had seen this in April, May and June 1862; yet never mentioned it till January 1863—the reason for this long silence being left wholly unexplained.

8. It was proved moreover that Jagannada Pondia had had constant, easy, and recent access to the well where the plaster of Paris moulds were found, and that the room, where the forged stamp paper was found on the 27th January, had been searched on the 26th, and nothing found in it, while an open window afforded an easy opportunity of throwing in a roll of paper, and the room was not shown to belong to any of the prisoners, while there were several other lodgers in the house.

9. The trial began on Monday the 13th, and ended on Wednesday, the Jury after a very short consultation returning a verdict of not guilty. The informers and their witnesses having been before disbelieved by the Supreme Court upon former occasions, and the Chief Justice having pointedly put it to the Jury that they must inevitably come to one of two conclusions, viz., either that the prisoner was guilty of forging, or the witnesses guilty of a most foul conspiracy against him, and the verdict of the Jury therefore practically involving a denunciation of the witnesses as perjured conspirators, I felt that I ought not, upon evidence so discredited both by Jury and Judge, to proceed against the other prisoners; there being no other evidence against any of them.

10. While clearly of opinion that no conviction upon such evidence was to be hoped for, I must add that I have very little doubt that some—at least of the prisoners, and some of the witnesses also—were jointly concerned in forging stamps, and that such forgery has been carried on to a very considerable extent.

11. Mr. Norfor's evidence showed how extremely easy it is to take impressions, from genuine well executed stamps, upon common country sealing wax, by pressure and rubbing, so as to make, on the latter, moulds or dies, from which forged impressions can be obtained much superior to any of the forged Stamps seized by the Police. Attention therefore is urgently required to this matter, with a view to devise additional precautions for the protection of this very important branch of the Public Revenue.

From JOHN ROBERT BOYSON, Esq., Government Solicitor, Madras; to the Honorable A. J. ARBUTHNOT, Chief Secretary to Government, Madras, dated Madras, 30th April 1863, No. 257.

THE QUEEN
against
ROBERT BOYCE DASHWOOD.
For fraudulently appropriating enclosures in a letter

1. I regret to bring to the notice of Government that there has been a temporary miscarriage (or I might perhaps say delay) of justice in the case noted in the margin.

2. The prisoner ought not to have been committed for trial by the High Court at Madras, he not being a *European British subject*; and, therefore, not subject to the jurisdiction of the High Court in respect of the offence which he committed at *Vizagapatam*. He ought to have been committed for trial by the Sessions Court at Chicacole.

3. The doubt as to the prisoner's being a *European British subject*, was first suggested to me on Saturday the 21st ultimo. I forthwith instituted inquiries, and the result of these inquiries satisfied me that the doubt was but too well founded.

4. I accordingly about midday on Sunday the 22nd ultimo, telegraphed to the Magistrate at *Vizagapatam* not to send the witnesses for the prosecution to Madras. I then hoped (as the Sessions were not to commence until the 8th instant) that my telegram would be in time to save the witnesses from the trouble and annoyance of coming to Madras to give evidence before the Grand Jury and the High Court in a case which neither the one nor the other had power to entertain or try, and would also save Government their travelling expenses and their loss of time and service. On the 29th ultimo, I sent a telegram through Messrs. Arbuthnot & Co.,

to Nursing Row one of the witnesses for the prosecution residing at *Vizagapatam*, (and in reply to a communication from Nursing Row) intimating that he need not come to Madras. His so doing would have put him to great inconvenience, and Government to the outlay of his travelling charges.

5. My telegram of the 22nd ultimo to the Magistrate of *Vizagapatam* was unfortunately too late to stay the despatch of the witnesses to Madras; for, as I subsequently learned from the Magistrate of *Vizagapatam*, they had started for Madras some time before my telegram of the 22nd ultimo reached him.

J. G. Honner, Esq.
Adapa Somaya.
T. Kupusami Nayadu.
Palapa Lachmipati.
Nunala Garana.
Eppili Appaya, and Perumalla.

6. The witnesses noted in the margin reached Madras in due course, and were sworn to give evidence before the Grand Jury on the Bill preferred to them in the ordinary course by the Clerk of the Crown; but in consequence of the absence of Nursing Row, who, upon the authority of my countermand did not come to Madras, the Grand Jury found "no Bill."

7. On this return the Lord Chief Justice intimated an intention of estreating Nursing Row's recognizance for his non-attendance, but upon my appearing in Court and through the Advocate General explaining that it was upon my authority that Nursing Row had stayed his departure for Madras, His Lordship allowed the matter to pass.

8. On the motion of Mr. Branson the prisoner's Counsel, the prisoner (on the Grand Jury's returning "no bill") was brought up and ordered to be discharged. I however had provided for this contingency by laying an information before the Commissioner of Police, who upon informations duly sworn before him, had issued his warrant for the prisoner's arrest. Upon this warrant he was taken into custody as soon as he was discharged and is now lodged in the Penitentiary, where he will remain until the Magistrate of *Vizagapatam* can send down a fresh warrant for his custody grounded upon fresh informations duly sworn at *Vizagapatam*.

9. When the Officer arrives from *Vizagapatam* with a lawful warrant, the prisoner will be handed over to his custody to be conveyed back to *Vizagapatam*, where, I trust, he will ultimately meet the punishment which, in my opinion, he most clearly and justly deserves.

10. It is much to be regretted that the witnesses should have been subjected to the trouble and annoyance of a long journey to Madras, and that Government should have been put to considerable expense for their travelling and other expenses, the more especially as, if my information be correct, this might well have been avoided, had the proper Officers at *Vizagapatam* made such enquiries as ought to have occurred to them when (as I am told) the prisoner insisted upon his right to be tried at Chicacole and not to be sent to Madras on the ground that he was not a *European British subject*.

11. I have telegraphed to the Magistrate of *Vizagapatam* "Dashwood is detained in custody upon informations sworn before the Commissioner of Police until you send down an Officer with fresh warrant for his arrest grounded on fresh informations duly sworn at *Vizagapatam*. The witnesses have returned to *Vizagapatam*. Lose no time."

12. The Commissioner of Police has disbursed the necessary advances to the witnesses on account of their expenses.

ORDER THEREON, 22nd May 1863, No. 790.

It appears from the foregoing letters that the Principal Assistant Magistrate of *Vizagapatam* acting in the capacity of Justice of the Peace, committed one Robert Boyce Dashwood for trial before the High Court on a charge of having fraudulently appropriated an enclosure in a letter; Mr. Longley not having qualified as a Justice of the Peace and the prisoner not being a *European British subject*. It is stated that the prisoner represented to Mr. Longley that he was not a *European British subject*, and that he ought therefore to be tried at Chicacole and not at Madras. Mr. Longley will be called upon for explanation on both points.

Forgery

2. The remarks made by the Advocate General in the 11th paragraph of his letter as to the facility with which impressions can be taken from genuine well executed Stamps upon common country sealing wax so as to make moulds or dies from which forged impressions can be obtained will be considered in the Revenue Department.

• Paragraph 5 of the Advocate General's letter. 3. The delay* which appears to have occurred in bringing the alleged forgeries to the notice of the Police, calls for explanation.

(True Extract.)

H. J. Cunningham
Chief Secretary.

To the Acting Magistrate of Vizagapatam.
" Revenue Department.
" Advocate General.
" Government Solicitor.
" Commissioner of Police.

Exd. T. McMootry.

Forgery

Recd Report

1st 960-

Proceedings of the Madras Government.
Under date the 12th June 1863.

Read the following paper

2^d of the Board of Revenue:
Submitting to the Board
with a letter from the Superintendent of
Stamps, forwarding a further (Here enter 15th May 1863)
report on the stamp forgery
cases, and enclosing a hand-
bill which is proposed to be
circulated.

3^d of the Judicial Department
(Here enter 22nd May 1863)
Advocate General, remarking as to
the facility with which impressions
can be taken from genuine up to
counter stamps upon common
country writing wax, so as to make
use of the same for the purpose of
forged impressions can be ob-
tained.

The Board of Revenue
will be furnished with
a copy of paras 11 to 11 of the
Advocate General's report
on the stamp cases referred
to in the correspondence
above quoted.
2^d The Board will
communicate with the
Board and report what ad-
ditional precautions can
be adopted to prevent the
forgery

Copy in a Letter to
21st July 1863

Rev. 1863 Oct 11th
21st of 1863

Proceedings of the
Madras Court in
the 21st of 1863

22nd of 1863
22nd of 1863

22nd of 1863

22nd of 1863

Advocate General's letter
from the Advocate General
recommending as to the facility
with which impressions can
be taken from genuine wax
used in stamps upon common
country writing wax, so as to
make use of the same for the
purpose of forged impressions
can be obtained.

21st 963

21st 963

Copy

forgery of stamps. The
 preparation of stamps on
 plain paper will entirely
 cease as soon as a sufficient
 supply of watermarked paper
 is on hand, and all plain
 paper in the hands of
 vendors will then be recalled.
 In Bengal a bicoloured
 stamp is used, but the time
 required to dry each stamp,
 and the impossibility of
 stamping more than one
 paper at a time are prac-
 tically serious objections to this
 expedient. The Government
 would, however, wish to have
 Colonel Orr's opinion based
 on the experience he has
 had, and the undoubted
 fact that stamps can be
 and are forged. It occurs
 to the Government that a
 bicoloured stamp might at
 all events be used when
 private

private material is stamped
 as in such cases the security
 which the watermark
 affords is lost.
 3 The Government
 would also wish to have
 Colonel Orr's opinion on
 the advisability of using
 numbering machines on
 having the stamps consecu-
 tively numbered as Bank
 notes are.
 4 The Board are
 authorized to apply to the
 Government Translators
 for translations of the notice
 which the Superintendent
 proposes to issue. The lan-
 guage should be as sim-
 ple as possible.

H. C. P. to the P. O. with the P. O. & Mr. C. P. & Mr. C. P.
 5 - a - J. C. P. & Mr. C. P.

Copy in a letter to Mr. J. H. Rogers of 1863

of the revenue 1863 & 1864.

very res.

of the collection of the
of the revenue 1863.

Page No. 227-228
23-4-63
June 2. 1863.

Board of Revenue
Requested to report
what precautions can
be adopted to prevent
the forgery of stamps.

24022064.