

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 581

Press

~~Mis.~~

276. 271

Dated

25. 3. 1882

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
25th March 1863.*

No. 270. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 12th December 1862, No. 8,094 :—

Read the following letter from B. CUNLIFFE, Esq., Collector of Madras, to W. HUDLESTON, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Saidapet, 26th November 1862, No. 414.

Sir,

1. The Deputy Collector Inam Commission has brought to my notice that Kanis 74-6-0 on which three Bungalows are built, and which are besides partly planted with wet and dry crops, situated in Valaseravakum in the Saidapet Taluq, and belonging to the late Nawaub Hissam-ul-Mulk Bahadur, are not entered in any of the standard accounts, and consequently not in a position for him to dispose of. This village formed one of the proprietary Estates till Fasli 1261, but it reverted to Government for default in the following Fasli, and was held

Total measurement Kanis.....	74	6	8
Deduct Porumboko.....	6	14	4
	67	8	4

Of which the particulars are,			
Panjah Kanis.....	21	2	12
Nunjah.....	46	5	8
	67	8	4

under Circar management. On recent measurement of the villages, the garden was found to be classified as particularized in the margin. The Tashildar was instructed to investigate the matter, and that Officer inquired of Meer Sadat Ally Sahib, the accredited agent of Thorab Ally Khan Bahadur Sipadar Jung, Abdulla Khan Bahadur, who is now reported to reside at Bagdad, and to be the son of Hall Hissam-ul-Mulk Bahadur, the son of the Nawaub Hissam-ul-Mulk Bahadur.

2. Thorab Ally Khan Bahadur, in his capacity of Attorney, is also in the enjoyment of another garden in the same village, which pays an assessment to Government. He produced attested copies of the letters marginally quoted, which passed between the Board and the Office of the late Collector of Chingleput, and these are found to correspond with my records.

3. From these the Board will observe that the quit-rent for nineteen years from the year 1816 to 1834 due on this property, Rupees 2,495-8-6, was ordered to be written off, and that for the future, the Collector was directed to act under the orders contained in Mr. Acting Secretary Wheatley's letter of the 31st January 1832, and "to suspend any future demand on the same account until further orders." It seems expedient that definite orders should now be passed as to the treatment of this land, whether as Inam or Malgootary.

1. Ordered to be submitted for the decision of Government with reference to the correspondence cited by the Collector.

2. In Mr. Secretary Wheatley's letter of 31st January 1832, it was directed that the demand on account of arrears from 1816 should be relinquished, and that future demands on the same account should be suspended until further orders.

3. The land has thus been held free of tax for forty-six years at least.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) W. HUDLESTON, Secretary.

No. 271. ORDER THEREON, 25th March 1863, No. 581.

1. In the foregoing Proceedings the Board of Revenue request the Government to decide what course shall be pursued in regard to 74 and odd Kanis of land in Valaseravakum, a village in the Saidapet Taluq of the Madras District, which have been for the last forty-six years free of tax.

31 January 1832. No. 99 in reply relinquished then outstanding and authorized

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department.
25th March 1863.

2. From the correspondence to which the Board refer it would appear that in 1832 the Collector of Chingleput requested* their instructions on certain arrears of quit-rent amounting to Pagodas 600, Fanams 19, Cash 32 (for sixteen years from 1816 to 1831 at Pagodas 37, Fanams 23, Cash 57 per annum) due from a garden belonging to the late Nawab Hissam-ul-Mulk Bahadur situated at Valaseravakam. The matter was reported for the orders of Government, the Board remarking† that they supposed the Government might be disposed to grant an indulgence to an immediate descendant of the Nawab Wallajah. The Government in reply‡ relinquished the amount then outstanding and authorized the Collector to suspend any future demand on the same account until further orders.
3. This was reported§ to the Honorable Court and elicited merely the observation|| that the Proceedings of the Government in the matter called for no particular remark.
4. Notwithstanding the Government Order of 31st January 1832, the balances were not written off in the accounts, for in 1834¶ the Collector brought to the notice of the Board of Revenue that quit-rent for nineteen years, or from 1816 to 1834, amounting to Rupees 2,495-8-6 at Rupees 131-5-6 per annum, was still due on Kanis 75, Grounds 7, Square feet 898 of land in the abovementioned village, belonging to Nawab Hossain-ul-Mulk Yadmut Dowlah Mahomed Abdoolah Khan Bahadur Moosahfar Jung. This was the same land, the Collector stated, as that referred to in the Government order of 1832, and he concluded that it was the intention of Government to remit the balances altogether.
5. The Board thereupon asked** Government whether the balances should be written off and the demand of Rupees 131-5-6 per annum omitted from the annual Jummah. In reply the Government authorized†† the remission of the balances, adding that "for the future, the Collector will act under the orders contained in Mr. Wheatley's letter under date the 31st January 1832."
6. A report of this was made to the Honorable Court in General Letter 11th December 1835, No. 20, Revenue Department, paragraph 11.
7. Under the circumstances of the case, as the Government have knowingly waived their claim for quit-rent during so long a period, and as the Court of Directors acquiesced in this course and the land seems to have been devoted as sites for Bungalows, the Government will not in future demand any quit-rent.

(Signed) C. G. MASTER,
Deputy Secretary to Government.

Memorandum

In these Proceedings the Board of Revenue request the Government to decide what course shall be pursued in regard to 74 and 500 Kanis of land in Valaseravakam, a village in the Saidapettah Taluk of the Madras District, which have been for the last 40 years free of tax.

From the correspondence to which the Board refer it would appear that in 1832 the Collector of Chingleput requested their instructions on certain arrears of quit-rent amounting to 600-19-32 (for 16 years from 1816 to 1831 at 37-23-57 per annum) due from a garden belonging to the late Nawab Hissam-ul-Mulk Bahadur situated at Valaseravakam. The matter was reported for the orders of Government. The Board remarking that they supposed the Government might be disposed to grant an indulgence to an immediate descendant of the Nawab Wallajah. The Government in reply relinquished the amount then outstanding and authorized

the collector to suspend any further demand on the same account until further orders.

Encl. Letter. 22 Nov/33- No. 19102 para. 36.
This was reported to the Honble Court and elicited merely the observation that the proceedings of the Govt in the matter called for no particular ~~work~~ *work*.

Encl. Letter. 12 Aug/35 No. 8330 para. 10.
Notwithstanding the Govt order of 31 January 1832, the balances were not written off in the account, for in 1834 the Collector brought to the notice of the Board of Revenue that quitrent for nineteen years, or from 1816 to 1834, amounting to Rs 2495-8-6 at Rs. 131-5-6 per annum, was still due on *Caution* 75-9-898 of land in the above mentioned village, belonging to Nabab Hossain-ul-Mulk Qasim-ul-Dowlah, Mahomed, 46-*Shoolah Khan Bahadur Chosmah Jan Jung*. This was the same land, the collector stated, as that referred to in the Govt order of 1832, ~~and~~ and he concluded that it was the intention of Govt to remove the balances altogether.

22 Dec/34 No. 576 The Board thereupon asked Government whether the balance should be written off and the demand of 131-5-6 per annum omitted from the annual *Journal*. In reply the Govt authorized the remission of the balance, ~~and directed~~ ^{adding} that "for the future, the Collector will act under the orders contained in Mr Wheatley's letter under date the 31st January 1832."

A report of this was made to the Honble Court in General Letter 11 December 1835. No. 20 R.D. para 11-

Nearly 30 years have elapsed now since this last order was passed, and though there may have been reasons, at the time, for leaving it in the power of Govt to revive the quitrent if they chose, the long continued enjoyment by the family without payment v^d seem to give them a claim to permanent exemption -
For orders - *CPD*

W. 581.

Proceedings of the Marwar Government
under date the 28th March 1862 -

Read the following
Proceedings of the Board
of Revenue

Submitting for
the decision of Govt a letter
from the Collector of Marwar,
requesting definite orders
to be passed as to the treat-
ment of certain lands be-
longing to the late Nabob
Shisam-ul-Mulk Baha-
door, whether as Ladim
or Malgozary.

(Here enter 12th
December 1862
No. 5094/-

1. In the foregoing pro-
ceedings the Board of
Revenue request the Govt
to decide what course
shall be pursued in re-
gard to 74 and odd ha-
ris of land, in Palasem-
satum, a village in the
said Peth Taluk of the
Marwar District, which
have been for the last
46 years free of tax.

From

Revenue Govt

The Govt merely instructed
the Secy of Dist Rent and
therefore can be informed it
whenever they please - but after
46 years exemption, the re-
-imposition would hardly be
be regarded as a shabby
proceeding - Order signed

W. 581

No Govt has knowledge of the matter
and that having to pay a portion of the
cost of the action a question in the court
as to how much the Govt is to pay
should not be considered in future

W. 581

Very well.

W. 581

2. From the correspondence to which the Board refer it would appear that in 1832 the collector of the district requested their instructions on certain arrears of quit-rent ^{Rs. 100-19-32} amounting to 800-19-32 ^{Rs. 37-28-57} (for 16 years from 1816 to 1831 at 37-28-57 per annum) due from a garden belonging to the late Nawab Kissan-ul-Mulk Bahadur situated at Valashkarpankum. The matter was reported for the orders of Government, the Board remarking that they supposed the Govt might be disposed to grant an indulgence to an immediate descendant of the Nawab Wallajah. The Govt in reply acquiesced the amount then outstanding and authorised the collector to suspend any future demands on

* 5th January 1832-

* 9th January 1832
No. 17

* 31st January 1832
No. 99

* Genl Order 22nd Nov 1832 No. 19
No. 8, para 36-

* From England 12th Augt.
1835 No. 8 para 10-

* 11th Decr 1834 No. 229-

on the same account until further orders. 3. This was reported to the Honorable Court and elicited merely the observation that the proceedings of the Govt in the matter called for no particular remark. 4. Notwithstanding the Govt order of 31st January 1832, the balances were not written off in the accounts, for in 1834 the collector brought to the notice of the Board of Revenue that quit-rent for nineteen years, or from 1816 to 1834, amounting to Rs. 2495-8-6 at Rs. 131-5-6 per annum, was still due on ^{S. 47th} Cawneer 75-7-898 of land in the above mentioned village, belonging to Rahat Mulla-ul-Mulk Yadiun-tar Sowlah Mahomed Abdoolah Khan Bahadar Mousahfar Jung. This was

was the same land,
the collector stated, as
that referred to in the
Court order of 1832, and
he concluded that it was
the intention of Court to
remit the balances alto-
gether.

5. The Board thereupon
asked Court whether the
balances should be
written off and the de-
mand of Rs 131-5-6 per
annum omitted from
the annual jumrah. In
reply the Court authorized
the remission of the ba-
lances, adding that "for
the future, the collector will
act under the orders con-
tained in Mr. Wheeler's
letter in date the 31st
January 1832."

6. A report of this was
made to the Honorable
Court in General Letter
11th December 1835 - No 20
Revenue Department fa-
red 11-

Under

* 22nd Decr 1834 No 576.

* 6th January 1835
No 26-

7. Under the circum-
stances of the case, as
the Court have knowing-
ly waived their claim
for quit-rent during so
long a period, and as
the Board of Directors ac-
quiesced in this course
and the land seems to
have been devoted as
sites for Bungalows, the
Government will not
in future demand any
quit-rent.

cc (u)

16 Copies to the Secy of Revenue Dept

JOS

Revenue 1863 Departt.
Pro: of Govt. for March
1863.

Pro: of the Madras Govt.
D^y 25th March/63.

Pro of the Board of Revenue
26th Dec/63 or 2061

Quit rent on 74 and
odd Havis of land situated
in Valasiravakur of the
Madras Dist^t and belonging
to the late Nawab Khesam.
The Mulk Bahadur exempt
revenue.

No 270 & 271