M.R.O. 14—13,000—27-9-24.

# MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

---

## Government of Madras.

Revenue **DEPARTMENT.**

G.O. No. 336 Press ~~Mis.~~

230. 231

Dated 14—2—1863

***Not to be folded or roughly handled***

## *Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department, 14th February* 1863.

No. 230. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 21st January 1863, No. 389 :—

Read the following letter from J. SILVER, Esq., Collector of Tinnevelly, to W. HUDLESTON, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated 27th December 1862, No. 546.

SIR,

1. In returning Board Petition No. 2,961 of 1862, I have the honor to submit the following report.

2. The Petitioner Thiruvengadappa Thather, who is the Trustee of the Stri Vencatachalapathyswamy Pagoda at Kistnapuram in the Tinnevelly Talook, requests that the Porpichay allowance in deposit in the Hoozoor Treasury, may be disbursed to him for the purpose of executing certain repairs to the Pagoda.

3. The village contributions that were made under the denomination of Porpichay were collected by Government and held in deposit in the Hoozoor Treasury. The sum in deposit for this Pagoda including the collection made in Fasli 1267 for the previous year, amounts to Rupees 461-5-3, after deducting the expenditure from time to time, by Order of Government on Native education and the Cotton roads, and the Trustee now applies for it.

4. When a similar request was made by the Trustee of another Pagoda at Manur in the Talook of Tinnevelly, the Board in their Proceedings of the 7th April 1859, No. 1,239, recommended to Government that the amount in deposit be disbursed to him, and the Government in Extract from Minutes of Consultation under date the 27th idem, sanctioned the same.

5. But subsequently when the Trustees of certain other Pagodas at Cushba Tenkasy and at Vizayanarayanam in the Nanguneri Talook, made similar requests, the Board in their Proceedings of the 29th June 1860, No. 3,022, and in their Endorsement dated the 13th September on Board Petition No. 40 of 1860, refused to admit the claims, for reasons assigned, that the Porpichay collections were made for a general fund from which the necessary disbursements were allowed to all Pagodas in common.

6. Under these circumstances, it is left to the Board to decide, whether the present claim is admissible or not. It must be here observed, that a reference to the accounts shows that no disbursements were made to the Pagoda under notice.

7. The Porpichay revenue for this Pagoda has been carried to the credit of Government since Fasli 1267, arable waste lands having been granted to the Pagoda in question in that year in lieu of it.

ENCLOSURE No.—Petition.

Ordered to be submitted for the decision of Government. The Board consider that Petitioner has no fair claim to the funds in deposit. It seems to them that when the item termed "Porpichay" ceased to be collected, the fund should have been closed, and the amount at credit expended in some work of general utility, or credited to the Local Fund.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

(Signed.) R. A. DALYELL, *Sub-Secretary.*

No. 231. ORDER THEREON, 14th February 1863, No. 336.

The Board are requested to ascertain and report the amount of "Porpichay" and "Khetwary" funds in deposit when the Order of Government dated 26th March 1860, No. 430, came into operation, and the subsequent disbursements and receipts (if any) exclusive of course of appropriations to Public purposes under Extract Minutes Consultation 14th July 1846, No. 733.

(Signed) J. D. SIM,

*Secretary to Government.*

No. 389.

**Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 21st January 1863.**

Read the following letter from J. SILVER, Esq., Collector of Tinnevelly, to W. HUDLESTON, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated 27th December 1862, No. 546:—

1. In returning Board Petition No. 2,961 of 1862, I have the honor to submit the following report.

2. The Petitioner Thiruvengadappa Thather, who is the Trustee of the Stri Vencatachalapathyswamy Pagoda at Kistnapuram in the Tinnevelly Taluk, requests that the Porpichay allowance in deposit in the Huzur Treasury, may be disbursed to him for the purpose of executing certain repairs to the Pagoda.

3. The village contributions that were made under the denomination of Porpichay were collected by Government and held in deposit in the Huzur Treasury. The sum in deposit for this Pagoda including the collection made in Fasli 1267 for the previous year, amounts to Rupees 461-5-3, after deducting the expenditure from time to time, by Order of Government on native education and the Cotton roads, and the Trustee now applies for it.

4. When a similar request was made by the Trustee of another Pagoda at Manur in the Taluk of Tinnevelly, the Board in their Proceedings of the 7th April 1859, No. 1,239, recommended to Government that the amount in deposit be disbursed to him, and the Government in Extract from Minutes of Consultation under date the 27th idem, sanctioned the same.

5. But subsequently when the Trustees of certain other Pagodas at Cusbah Tenkasy and at Vizayanarayanam in the Nanguneri Taluk, made similar requests, the Board in their Proceedings of the 29th June 1860, No. 3,022, and in their Endorsement dated the 13th September on Board Petition No. 40 of 1860, refused to admit the claims, for reasons assigned, that the Porpichay collections were made for a general fund from which the necessary disbursements were allowed to all Pagodas in common.

6. Under these circumstances, it is left to the Board to decide, whether the present claim is admissible or not. It must be here observed, that a reference to the accounts shows that no disbursements were made to the Pagoda under notice.

7. The Porpichay revenue for this Pagoda has been carried to the credit of Government since Fasli 1267, arable waste lands having been granted to the Pagoda in question in that year in lieu of it.

---

Enclosure No. 1.—Petition.

---

Ordered to be submitted for the decision of Government. The Board consider that Petitioner has no fair claim to the funds in deposit. It seems to them that when the item termed "Porpichay" ceased to be collected, the fund should have been closed, and the amount at credit expended in some work of general utility, or credited to the Local Fund.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

W. Balgell

**Sub-Secretary.**

To J. D. Sim, Esq., Secretary to Government, R. D.

Copy to J. Silver, Esq., Collector of Tinnevelly.

**Exd. J. Wright.**

12/7/024

No. 336.

27th April/59 No. 47-48.
20th March/62 — 127-128.

Rev = 1863. Department.
Pro: of Govt for Feby

No. 226.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE,

Dated 21st January 1863.
Despatched 21
Received 26
C.L.O. Mar

No. 389.
Pro. Bd of Rev. 18th April 63
6 No 909 to Govt

Submitting with the Board's opinion a report from the Collector of Tinnevelly on Petition No 2961 of 1862 from the Trustee of a Pagoda at Kistnapuram requesting that the Porpichay allowance in deposit in the Huzur Treasury may be disbursed to him for repairing the Pagoda.

No 336. 14 July
With an Order

14 Copies Tabled 30 Revenue Consltn

The Bd are requested to ascertain & report the amount of "Porpichay" & "Khetwary" funds in deposit when the order of Govt of 26 March 1860 No 430 came into operation & the subsequent disbursements & receipts (if any) - & also the sense of appropriation to public purposes under Govt order of 14 July 1846 - No 733.

L.D.S.

From Govt
14th July 1863.

No 230 & 231.

14th July

26 July/63 Govt