M.R.O. 14—13,000—27-9-24.

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 260 Press
~~Mis.~~
79-80

Dated 6—2—19 1863.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department, 6th February 1863.

No. 79. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 26th November 1862, No. 7,770:—

Read Proceedings of the Madras Government, dated 15th November 1862, No. 2,415, Revenue Department, communicating the Opinion of the Government Pleader on the subject of a Barber's Merassi in the District of North Arcot.

(In Cons. 17th November 1862, No. 7,338.)

1. The Board resolve to bring to the notice of Government that from the papers included in the Board's Proceedings of 28th February 1862 to which Government refer, it appears that the Courts themselves consider their jurisdiction to be barred in cases of this class. (See paragraph 7 of the Acting Sub-Collector's decision, dated 3rd April 1861.)

2. The Board would also request the attention of Government to paragraph 4 of the Circular Order, Sudder Udalut, dated 30th August 1851, No. 122, which declares Regulation VI of 1831 applicable to all hereditary village offices.

(A true Extract.)

(Signed.) W. HUDLESTON, *Secretary.*

No. 80. ORDER THEREON, 6th February 1863, No. 260.

1. Ordered that the foregoing Proceedings of the Board of Revenue be communicated to the Government Pleader for his information.

2. Under the circumstances of the case as set forth in the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 28th February 1862, the Governor in Council does not see any reason to interfere with the decision of the Board, as it is clearly shown that the Defendant's enjoyment of the emoluments attached to the Merassi office in dispute extends over a period of upwards of thirty years.

(Signed.) J. D. SIM,
Secretary to Government.

Lo 3293

Covenant No

One Ramadas claimed the Barber Mirasi of Tundalam in the Sriperumbadoor Taluk which the Sub Collr of Madras awarded to him, on the ground of his being the direct descendant of the original Mirasdar Audi entered in the Rymash accounts.— The Dept. Reddi who has performed the duties of the office for upwards of 80 years and enjoyed

the Endowment appear.
laiming thereto, appeal.
ed against the Sub
Collectors decision
to the Board of Revr.
The Collector of Madras
& Cuddy supported
the his claim to be
continued in office
and the Board granted
his prayer, being of
opinion that the hire
of office has been
enjoyed by the Appt
from a period ante-
rior to the passing
of Regulation VI of 1831
~~under which~~ and that
under these circum-
stances the Collector
was not bound to

interfere until a death
vacancy should occur.
The Appt Ramadoss
appealed to Govt.
against the Board's
Decision: but the
Govt entertaining doubts
as to the propriety
of Revenue officers
adjudicating on
claims to the Endow-
ments of the Barbers
office under Regn
VI of 1831 called upon
the Govt Reader to
submit his opinion
on the general question
whether the above
Endowments fall

within the scope of the said Regulation.

The first Clause refers to the reference in the negative.

The Board of Revenue draw the attention of Govt to Paras 4 of the B.B. S.L. Dated the 30th August 1857 Rol 22 which declares Regn VI of 1831 applicable to all hereditary Jagheer cases.—

No. 260.

Ordered that the foregoing Proceedings of the Board of Revenue be communicated to the Govt Clause for his information.—

Under the circumstances of the case as set forth in the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 28th February 1862, the Govern

in

in Council does not see any

reason to interfere with the

decision of the Board, and it

is clearly shewn that the de-

= fendants enjoyment of

the emoluments attached

to the Treasurer's office in

dispute extends over

a period of upwards

of 30 years.—

14 Copies Letter & Report Enclosed
3— To the Govt Secretary

James St. George
6th July
1868.

Proc No. 211-212
17-10-62

— 171-172
15-11-62

No. 79-80