

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 252

Press
~~Mis.~~

600 61

Dated

5-2-1918

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
5th February 1863.*

No. 60. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 19th January 1863,
No. 306:—

Read the following letter from G. L. MORRIS, Esq., Acting Collector of Tanjore, to W.
HUDLESTON, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Vellum,
26th December 1862, No. 490.

SIR,

1. I have the honor to report on Petition No. 3,463 of 1862, addressed to Board by one
Kistna Sastri, requesting permission to sue for certain Inam land* situated in the
village of Dipambalpuram attached to the Tanjore Talook.

2. The land in dispute forms part of a general grant of 30 Velies made on Survamaniem
tenure by Sulakshana Bayi Saheb, the Queen of Yekoji Maha Raja of Tanjore, to a body of
Brahmins. The year in which the grant was made is not known, as the original grant is said to
have been destroyed by fire; the land is however entered in No. 15 of the Register prepared in
the year 1817.

3. Petitioner is the descendant (by the female line) of one of the original grantees by name
Venkata Dikshitar, and states that the land in question, while in the enjoyment of Mirtujaya
Sastri (Petitioner's grandfather) was mortgaged by him to the Defendant's grandfather; and that
as the Defendant now refuses to make over the land to Petitioner on receiving the mortgage
amount, he wishes to sue him in the Civil Courts.

4. The Defendant admits Petitioner's right to redeem the land in question; but contends
that Petitioner should not claim it singly, but with the concurrence of his two brothers and
paternal uncle, all of whom have a joint interest in the land in question.

5. Under these circumstances, and as the Petitioner is a descendant of the original grantee,
while Defendant is not, I see no objection to the permission solicited being granted.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.—Petition.

1. Ordered to be submitted for the consideration and orders of the Governor in Council.
2. The Board concur with the Acting Collector in considering that Petitioner's request
may be granted.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

(Signed.) R. A. DALYELL, *Sub-Secretary.*

No. 61. ORDER THEREON, 5th February 1863, No. 252.

Under Section 2, Regulation IV of 1831 the Government permit Kistna Sastri to sue in the
Established Courts for 4 Mas and 15 Gulis of Inam land situated in the village of
Dipambalpuram, Tanjore Talook, Tanjore District.

(Signed.) C. G. MASTER,
Deputy Secretary to Government.

No. 306.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 19th January 1863.

Read the following letter from G. L. MORRIS, Esq., Acting Collector of Tanjore, to W. HUDLESTON, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Vellum, 26th December 1862, No. 490 :—

1. I have the honor to report on Petition No. 3,463 of 1862, addressed to Board by one Kistna Sastri, requesting permission to sue for certain Inam land* situated in the village of Dipambalpuram attached to the Tanjore Taluk.

2. The land in dispute forms part of a general grant of 30 Velies made on Survamaniem tenure by Sulakshana Bayi Saheb, the Queen of Yeikoji Maha Raja of Tanjore, to a body of Brahmins. The year in which the grant was made is not known, as the original grant is said to have been destroyed by fire; the land is however entered in No. 15 of the Register prepared in the year 1817.

3. Petitioner is the descendant (by the female line) of one of the original grantees by name Venkata Dikshitar, and states that the land in question, while in the enjoyment of Mirtujaya Sastri (Petitioner's grandfather) was mortgaged by him to the Defendant's grandfather; and that as the Defendant now refuses to make over the land to Petitioner on receiving the mortgage amount, he wishes to sue him in the Civil Courts.

4. The Defendant admits Petitioner's right to redeem the land in question; but contends that Petitioner should not claim it singly, but with the concurrence of his two brothers and paternal uncle, all of whom have a joint interest in the land in question.


5. Under these circumstances, and as the Petitioner is a descendant of the original grantee, while Defendant is not, I see no objection to the permission solicited being granted.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.—Petition.

1. Ordered to be submitted for the consideration and orders of the Governor in Council.

2. The Board concur with the Acting Collector in considering that Petitioner's request may be granted.

(A true Copy and Extract.)



Sub-Secretary.

To J. D. Sim, Esq., Secretary to Government, R. D.

Copy to G. L. Morris, Esq., Acting Collector of Tanjore.

Ed. J. Wright,

1111 - 1863. Department
of Justice January.

No. 202.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE.

Dated 19th January 1863.
Despatched 21st do
Received 22nd do
E. J. O. R. R. R.

No. 306.

Despatch

Submitting with the Board's opinion a report
from the Acting Collector of Tanjore on Petition
No 3463 of 1862 requesting permission to sue for
certain land.

At 253. 5th July
With an order

At 252-

Under sect. 2. Reg. IV of 1831
The Government herewith
directs that to one in the
established Court for
4 years and 15 Gulis of
diamond land situated in
the village of Sikanthalur
Tanjore District, Tanjore
District.

Copy

From Mr. George
Secretary 1863

11. 1863 to the 13 of 1863
with 1 B. 1863

No. 60 & 61.

11. 1863 to the 13 of 1863