M.R.O. 14—13,000—27-9-24.

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 2321 Press ~~Mis.~~

312. 313

Dated 17—12—~~19~~ 1863

Not to be folded or roughly handled

No

To

His Excellency

Sir Denison K.C.B.

Governor of Madras

The humble Memorial

of Sirungam Rengacharia

Durkasthidar of Annamanga

=lum Jungle in the Taluq

of Perumbalore of Trichino

=poly District.

Most Humbly Sheweth

That the following are objec=

=tions against the representation by the Col=

=lector of this District whereto the objects

may be collectively considered is to deprive

the estate of an increase of the Revenue Rup=

=ees. 2131-12- a assessable on the land.

The assessment established for the

Punga lands of the Village Annamanga=

=lum is Rupees. 4-4-3. per Cawny Even

in the villages adjoyning it this rate has

usually obtained - Founded upon the

all sanctioned by local usage. Memo=

=rialist joined by certain others submitted

a proposal for an extent of 82 Cawnies

in the above jungle, and hoped to succeed

this

this being the maximum rate of revenue.

2. Memorialist would not have been disappointed, had he been aware before it was too late that Saundrumpillai Deputy Collector in charge of Paluga was an officer interested in the matter, who caused the Memorialists to Defendant (Deputy Collectors agent) to apply for the lands and knocked them down to him at a minimum rate of Rupees 1-13-3 As the result of Your memorialists first appeal to Your Excellency, That agent has before the Collector agreed to pay a revenue increased to a certain extent but not that applied for by Your Memorialist.

3. The Collector sent in a letter to the Deputy Director of Revenue Settlement Mr. Lucible, asking him if he would let him know his opinion regarding the assessment of the lands under Competition.

This Gentleman already in the Defendants favor replied to the Collectorate authority that both parties are presumptuous led away from considering the intrinsic value of the lands, and that in his opinion the rate 1-13-3 with which the Defendants were first favoured by the Deputy Collector

Collector is too high to be assessed on.

Hence the Collector allowed the Deputy Collector to gain his object, and the lands were ordered to be given to the Defendants.

4. While the Deputy Director of Revenue Settlement has not as yet classified the Village, as well as the adjoining ones and settled his option of Revenue thereon of the rates maximum to several lands, and minimum to those under Competition, what caused him to pronounce his judgment for the current Fasly against the memorialists and in favor of the Defendants, excites Surprise

Excellency alone, it admits of no evidence, that no Government office can with propriety reject applications for lands, the object of which is to compete for a lawful and moderate maximum rate, and that rejection is one of those rejections from the consequences of which several parties in a position similar to Your Memorialists have suffered without remedy.

That your Memorialist having in as a few words as possible represented to Your Excellency his sufferings, he must respectfully pray that any one portion

out

out of the whole Compelled for, May be ordered
to be given him as it would be against
whilest his Circumstances are favorable,
to disallow his Caude entire, or as meant
to obtain remedy endorse to him as
against what authority memorialist
is to proceed on Civil action.

That Your Memorialist
shall as in Duty bound Ever
pray.

Trichinapoly
5th Nov. 1862

(Signed) Rengaichariar

To

The Right Honourable
Sir Charles Wood
G C B
Secretary of state for India
&c &c &c

The humble memorial of Sheerangam Rungacharriar Dhoshastidar of Annamungolum of the Talug of Parambalore in Tuchinopoly District of Madras

May it please your Lodship

That your lordship Memorialist in enclosing herein an endorsement by the madras Government to a petition of his begs respectfully to state that Gont allosing an annual revenue of Rs-2121-13-0 and that the order now appeal against could not have emanated had Gont given the subject their best consideration and that therefore your memorialist prays that your lordship will be graciously pleased to read

No 568
Forwarded to the Madras Govt
for report & return of papers
Revenue Dept (Signed)
Secretary's Office
22nd December 1862

To the Secretary to Govt
Revenue Department

Sir

I have the honor to forward herewith a petition addressed to the Secretary of State for India by Sheerangam Rungacharriar Dhoshastidar of Annamungolum in the Talug of Parambalore in Tuchinopoly District, praying that the order passed by this Government on the 31st July 1862 confirming the decision of the Board of Revenue in the case of the Collector of Tuchinopoly vs Rungacharriar may be reversed.

2. The petitioner states that the Government have decided the case without hearing him, and that the Collector has been allowed to appeal against the decision of the Board of Revenue, although the time for appeal had expired.

3. The Collector in his report dated 28th June 1862 states that the Board of Revenue in their proceedings of the 5th May 1862 No 1054 have decided that the Collector has no right of appeal against the decision of the Board of Revenue, and that the Government in their proceedings of the 31st July 1862 No 1319 have decided that the Collector has a right of appeal against the decision of the Board of Revenue.

4. The petitioner states that the Government have decided the case without hearing him, and that the Collector has been allowed to appeal against the decision of the Board of Revenue, although the time for appeal had expired.

5. The Collector in his report dated 28th June 1862 states that the Board of Revenue in their proceedings of the 5th May 1862 No 1054 have decided that the Collector has no right of appeal against the decision of the Board of Revenue, and that the Government in their proceedings of the 31st July 1862 No 1319 have decided that the Collector has a right of appeal against the decision of the Board of Revenue.

(Signed) C. P. Haden
Officiating Secy to Govt

Fort St George
Dated 13th Feby 1863

Copy forwarded to the Collector of Tuchinopoly for information and report.

(Signed) C. P. Haden
Offg

Over the memorialist and to do justice in the case

For which act of charity your

memorialis as in duty bound shall ever pray

4th December 1863

[illegible]

Copy in a Letter to England
8/18th December 1863 [illegible]

Rec'd 31 March 63 p 304-305
Copy w/a Letter
Encl 3/18 Dec 63
R 5/4

Rec'd 1863 Dec 24
Pro: of Govt for Dec.
No. 2998.

From
Suranjam Runga
Charrian

Dated 4th Dec 3 Decem
Received Dec 3 1863

Forwards a memorial, in triplicate, to be submitted to the Right Honorable the Secretary of State for India.

No 2321. 17 Dec

Wm Denison

No. 2321.

Received that the Memorial, received with the foregoing Letter be forwarded to the Secretary of State for India, and that an intimation of the same be given to the Memorialist.

Govt House Calcutta 17th December 1863

C 14 Dec 63 R 15 Jan 312 to 313.

Ordered that the Memorial received with the foregoing Letter be forwarded to the Secretary of State for India in Council and that an