

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 2089

Press

~~Mis.~~

277-278

Dated

21 " 19-1863

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government Revenue Department,
21st November 1863.*

No. 277. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 13th November 1863, No. 7,224 :—

Read the following letter from G. BANBURY, Esq., Acting Collector of Madras, to W. HUDLESTON, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Saidapet, 26th October 1863, No. 443.

SIR,

1. I have the honor to bring to the Board's notice that certain Devastanum Inám lands, situated in the village of Madambakam, were attached in Fusly 1260, owing to the then Trustee having allowed the temple to fall into decay, and the proceeds of the Inám were carried to the credit of the Government. In Fusly 1264, the Pagoda having been restored by one Subraya Chetty at a considerable outlay, and the service in the temple having been resumed, the Inám lands were released from attachment during that year.

2. Subraya Chetty now requests that the proceeds of the Inám lands, during the time they were under attachment, may be paid to him. The amount realized from this source during the four Fuslies they were under our management, is Rupees 341-14-11.

3. I am satisfied from the result of the inquiries held by the Tahsildar, that Subraya Chetty has laid out a large sum of money in the repairs, &c., of the Pagoda.

4. Taking into consideration, that the applicant has really undergone considerable expenditure in restoring the temple, and that it was not the object of the attachment to create revenue thereby, I would suggest that Government sanction might be obtained for disbursing to Subraya Chetty the sum of Rupees 341-14-11, which occurred during the time of attachment.

1. Submitted for the orders of Government.

2. The District Budget contains a provision for refunds of Land Revenue to which the charge can be debited if sanctioned.

ORDER THEREON, 21st November 1863, No. 2,099.

No. 278. The Governor in Council observes that the Pagoda referred to by the Acting Collector of Madras was allowed to go to ruin in 1260, when the Ináms appertaining to it were attached and the proceeds thereof carried to the credit of Government. Four years after the temple was rebuilt, and service therein resumed when the Ináms were released. The present claim relates to the four years when the Ináms were under attachment, or in fact to a period when there was no temple in existence. The Government consider it to be inadmissible and decline to allow it, since to grant it would form an inconvenient precedent.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) J. D. SIM,
Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.

Exd. S. T. Augustin.

No. 7,224.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 13th November 1863.

Read the following letter from G. BANBURY, Esq., Acting Collector of Madras, to W. HUDLESTON, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Saidapet, 26th October 1863, No. 443:—

1. I have the honor to bring to the Board's notice that certain Devasthanam Inam lands, situated in the village of Madambakam, were attached in Fasli 1260, owing to the then trustee having allowed the temple to fall into decay, and the proceeds of the Inam were carried to the credit of the Government. In Fasli 1261, the Pagoda having been restored by one Subraya Chetty at a considerable outlay, and the service in the temple having been resumed, the Inam lands were released from attachment during that year.


2. Subraya Chetty now requests that the proceeds of the Inam lands, during the time they were under attachment, may be paid to him. The amount realized from this source during the four Faslis they were under our management, is Rupees 341-14-11.

3. I am satisfied from the result of the inquiries held by the Tahsildar, that Subraya Chetty has laid out a large sum of money in the repairs, &c., of the Pagoda.

4. Taking into consideration, that the applicant has really undergone considerable expenditure in restoring the temple, and that it was not the object of the attachment to create revenue thereby, I would suggest that Government sanction might be obtained for disbursing to Subraya Chetty the sum of Rupees 341-14-11, which occurred during the time of attachment.

1. Submitted for the orders of Government.
2. The District Budget contains a provision for refunds of Land Revenue to which the charge can be debited if sanctioned.

(A true Copy and Extract.)



Secretary.

To J. D. Sim, Esq., Secretary to Government, R. D.
Copy to G. Banbury, Esq., Acting Collector of Madras.

Exd. J. Wright.

Revenue Department
S. 2099
Proceedings of the Madras Government
Under date the 21st November 1863.

Read the following Pro: of
the Board of Revenue.

Submitting letter from the Collectors
of Madras recommending to refund
to Subraya Chetty, the present trustee of
certain Devasthanam lands situated in the
village of Madambakam R. 341-11-11
being the proceeds of the Inam carried to
the credit of Govt. when it was once at-
tached by them.

(Here enter 13th Nov^r 1863 No. 7224)

The Governor in
Council observed that
the Pagoda referred to
by the acting Collector
of Madras was allow-
ed to go to ruin in 1720
when the Inams apper-
taining to it were at-
tached and the pro-
ceeds thereof carried
to the credit of Govt.
Four years after the
Temple was rebuilt,
and service therein
resumed when the
Inams were released.

The present claim

Rev: 1863. Department
Office of Govt. for a claim

No. 2777.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE.

Cir.

Dated 13th November 1863.

S. 2099-2

Rev. 1863.

et. O. No. 2099. 21 Nov

No. 7,224.

Submitting letter from the Collector of
Madras recommending to refund to Subraya
Chetty, the present trustee of certain Devas-
thanam lands situated in the village of Madam-
bakam, Rupees 341-14-11, being the proceeds of
the Inam carried to the credit of Government
when it was once attached by them.

- I agree that the claim is inadmissible & to grant
it would form an inconvenient precedent.

For Order.

The Pagoda was
all well & so to remain &
the Inam was not
your year after, a house
built the Pagoda & claim
the allowance for the house
when in fact there was
no Pagoda. The claim
seems true in principle.
In fact it might be held
that the Inam was not
altogether lost.

L. R. J.

18 Nov 1863 1863

10/277

relates to the foreign
when the same was
under attachment
or in fact to a person
when there was no
sample in existence
The Govt consider
it to be inadvis-
ible and therefore
decline to allow it
since to grant it
would form an in-
convenient prece-
dent.—

14 Copies to the B. of Recd. Dept. 23 Nov

27 June 1863 20/11/15
of 1000 1000

17 2099.
Pro: of the illustration
of 2000 1000/60

Left
Beulah
Lover

Recommendation of
the Collector of Madras to
return to the Secretary
the present trustee of
certain Devotionum in
lands situated in the
village of Madamkallam
No. 241. 14-11 being the
proceeds of the same
carried to the credit of
Government, declined -

10/1/1878