

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 1970

Press
~~Mis.~~

11-12

Dated

2-11-19-1863

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
2nd November 1863.*

No. 11. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 16th October 1863,
No. 6,636:—

Read the following letter from J. W. B. DYKES, Esq., Collector of Nellore, to W. HUDLE-
STON, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated 7th October 1863, No. 316.

SIR,

1. A Maniem of 11½ Cawnies of wet land, in the village of Nagulavellaturu, in the Atmakuru Talook, in the name of one Revuru Achamma, which paid a quit-rent of 8 Annas, having been left unclaimed after her demise in Fusly 1243 (A.D. 1833-34), the sum realized from that period up to Fusly 1254 (A.D. 1844-45), viz., Rupees 46-5-0, from the proceeds of the Maniem after deducting the Government demand and the Ryot's Medipalu was kept in deposit and was subsequently, in September 1856, included as unclaimed under the head of Extra Revenue; while a further sum of Rupees 17-6-0 similarly realized from 1844-45 up to Fusly 1271 (A.D. 1861-62), has been allowed to remain with the Ryots who cultivated the Maniem in question.

2. In 1840 Sittirazu Narasanna, and in 1841 Dulakali Subbaya and Garudachelum put forward their respective claims for the Maniem in question, the former as the nephew of the said Achamma, and the latter two as her grand-sons by her daughter; but Mr. Lushington, the then Head Assistant Collector of this District, in his Order dated 13th July 1846, stated that the matter would be disposed of by the Inám Commission, which at no distant period from that time would come into operation, and directed that any sums realized from the Maniem should be kept in deposit pending such period.

3. On the organization of the Inám Commission, on inquiry, a Title Deed in the name of Subbaya, who claimed the Maniem as the grand-son of the deceased Achamma, has been issued, an additional 8 Annas being imposed on the former quit-rent; and the Tahsildar of Atmakuru now reports that the said Subbaya and Garudachelum claim the sum at first retained in "deposit," and subsequently credited in 1856 as unclaimed in the Government accounts under the head of Extra Revenue, as well as the sum since remaining with the Ryots.

4. And, I have the honor to request, therefore, the Board's sanction for the refund of the sum of Rupees 46-5-0 in question.

Submitted for the sanction of Government, the amount having been credited more than two years back.

No. 12. ORDER THEREON, 2nd November 1863, No. 1,970.

Payment sanctioned from 1840 the date of the claim.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) J. D. SIM,

Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.

" Acting Civil Paymaster.

" Acting Accountant General.

Exd. S. T. Augustin.

No. 6,636.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 16th October 1863.

Read the following letter from J. W. B. DYKES, Esq., Collector of Nellore, to W. HUDLESTON, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated 7th October 1863, No. 316:—

1. A Maniem of 11½ Kanis of wet land, in the village of Nagulavellaturu, in the Atmakuru Taluk, in the name of one Reyuru Achamma, which paid a quit-rent of 8 Annas, having been left unclaimed after her demise in Fasli 1243 (A. D. 1833-34), the sum realized from that period up to Fasli 1254 (A. D. 1844-45), viz., Rupees 46-5-0, from the proceeds of the Maniem after deducting the Government demand and the Ryot's Medipalu was kept in deposit and was subsequently, in September 1856, included as unclaimed under the head of Extra Revenue; while a further sum of Rupees 17-6-0 similarly realized from 1844-45 up to Fasli 1271 (A. D. 1861-62), has been allowed to remain with the Ryots who cultivated the Maniem in question.


2. In 1840 Sittirazu Narasanna, and in 1841 Dulakali Subbaya and Garudachelum put forward their respective claims for the Maniem in question, the former as the nephew of the said Achamma, and the latter two as her grand-sons by her daughter; but Mr. Lushington, the then Head Assistant, Collector of this District, in his order dated 13th July 1846, stated that the matter would be disposed of by the Inam Commission, which at no distant period from that time would come into operation, and directed that any sums realized from the Maniem should be kept in deposit pending such period.

3. On the organization of the Inam Commission, on inquiry, a Title Deed in the name of Subbaya, who claimed the Maniem as the grand-son of the deceased Achamma, has been issued, an additional 8 Annas being imposed on the former quit-rent; and the Tahsildar of Atmakuru now reports that the said Subbaya and Garudachelum claim the sum at first retained in "deposit," and subsequently credited in 1856 as unclaimed in the Government accounts under the head of Extra Revenue, as well as the sum since remaining with the Ryots.

4. And, I have the honor to request, therefore, the Board's sanction for the refund of the sum of Rupees 46-5-0 in question.

Submitted for the sanction of Government, the amount having being credited more than two years back.

(A true Copy and Extract.)



Secretary,

To J. D. Sim, Esq., Secretary to Government, R. D.
Copy to J. W. B. Dykes, Esq., Collector of Nellore.

Exd. J. Wright.

1990-1991
Department of Revenue

the state of the revenue

1863. Department.

1863. Department.

No. 2526.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE.

1863. Department.

1863. Department.

1863. Department.

1863. Department.

Dated 16th October 1863.

1863. Department.

No. 6,636.

Submitting for sanction letter from the Collector of Nellore requesting permission to refund to certain parties Rupees 46-5.

1863. Department.

1863. Department.

1863. Department.