M.R.O. 14—13,000—27-9-24.

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue **DEPARTMENT.**

G.O. No. 969 Press ~~Mis.~~

337.338

Dated 28-10-~~19~~1863

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department, 28th October 1863.

No. 337. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 6th October 1863, No. 6,354.

Read the following papers :—

From the Honorable R. S. ELLIS, C. B., Collector of Madras, to W. HUDLESTON, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Saidapet, 27th June 1863, No. 237.

SIR,

1. I have the honor to enclose for the information of the Board a copy of a letter received from the Deputy Collector of Madras, reporting the death of Yeomiahdar Nur Deen Ali Khan on the 12th April 1862.

2. The Deputy Collector has been informed that the delay that has occurred, in reporting the death of the Yeomiahdar in question for more than a year, is not satisfactorily accounted for in paragraph 11 of the Deputy Collector's letter.

3. The deceased was the grandson of the Jaghiredar of Sumaitangi in North Arcot, which Jaghire lapsed to Government in 1818. On the occasion of this lapse, the Government granted a yearly allowance of 250 Pagodas per annum, or Rupees 72-14-8 per mensem " for the support of the family."—*Vide* Mr. Secretary Hill's letter dated 13th January 1819, and these words are entered in the Pension Certificate issued from the Office of the Collector of Madras, when the payment of this allowance was transferred from the North Arcot District.

4. In the list of Yeomiahs submitted by Mr. Cunliffe as Collector of Madras to the Board of Revenue on 1st July 1855, the terms of the grant are entered "for life." The Deputy Collector suggests that this alteration may have been in consequence of the Collector of North Arcot, Mr. Whittingham, when forwarding the correspondence regarding this Yeomiah, stating that " it would appear from these papers that the pension is for life." The correspondence (to be returned) forwarded by Mr. Whittingham is enclosed in original, for the Board's information.

5. The family of the late Nur Deen Ali consisting of two sons, two wives, a daughter (married), and 16 other members, are dependant on this allowance for their maintenance, and I request that the sanction of Government may be obtained for the continuance of the allowance of Rupees 72-14-8 per mensem, hitherto enjoyed by the family.

6. The sub-division of the Yeomiah among the members of the late Nur Deen Ali as proposed by the Deputy Collector seems reasonable, and has been apportioned among those who enjoyed a share in the pension during the life-time of Nur Deen Ali. On the demise of each of these persons his or her particular share should be considered as having lapsed to the Government.

---

1. Ordered that the foregoing papers be submitted to Government with the Board's recommendation, that the allowance of Rupees 72-14-8 per mensem hitherto enjoyed by the family of the late Jaghiredar of Sumaitanghi through his grandson Nur Din Ali Khan be continued from 12th April 1862, the date of the latter's decease, in shares as life pensions to the surviving members mentioned in paragraph 4 below.

2. The allowance in question was granted under the following circumstances.

3. On the resumption of the Jaghire of Sumaitanghi in North Arcot in 1816, the Jaghiredar's grandson Nur Din Ali Khan whose death is now reported, petitioned the Government for the restoration of the Jaghire ; and the Board, for whose consideration the Petition was referred, reported that, as the Jaghire was held under a life grant, the Petitioner's request could not be complied with ; but that, as it had been customary on the lapse of a Jaghire to Government, to grant a pension for the support of the *surviving members* of the family, they would recommend that an allowance of Star Pagodas 250 per annum, being nearly one-third of the annual value of the Jaghire, be bestowed upon them. The Government accordingly sanctioned the grant " for the support of the family" from date of the resumption of the Jaghire ; and on the Yeomiahdar's subsequent application he was allowed to draw his pension from the Collector of Madras from April 1819.

From Government 23rd December 1818.
From Board 31st December 1818, paragraph 3.

From Government 13th January 1819.

From Government, 10th April 1819.

4. Under the above circumstances the pension appears to be a Mutalikan allowance for the support of the family and not for the life of its late head alone ; and the Board accordingly

recommend that it be continued in the following shares, as recommended by the Collector, for the lives of the several recipients respectively:—

		Per mensem. RS.	A.	P.
1.	Hyder Ali Gour (elder son)...	10	6	8
	Mahomed Bauker Gour (younger son) ...	10	0	0
	Kyroonissa Begum (daughter)...	5	0	0
	Nurja Begum (married widow)...	7	0	0
5.	Cauder Bee (Nikah widow)...	7	0	0
	Mariam Saibah (Cousin)...	5	0	0
	Cautoonnah Begum (Cousin)...	2	0	0
	Ally Hoossain Makery (nephew)	2	0	0
	Abdool Hoossain (half brother)	2	0	0
10.	Rajah Hoossain (half brother)	2	0	0
	Thucky Hoossain (half brother)	2	0	0
	Maroonissa (half sister)...	3	0	0
	Kamroonissa (half sister)...	3	0	0
	Fithab Hoossain (nephew)...	1	0	0
15.	Shumsoo Canoom (brother's Nikkah widow).	2	8	0
	Corban Hoossain (nephew)...	2	8	0
	Jaffer Hoossain (nephew)	2	8	0
	Mahomed Saduck (grandson)	1	0	0
	Mady Begum (grand-daughter)	1	0	0
	Ahmedy Begum (grand-daughter)	1	0	0
21.	Tulan Begum (grand-daughter)	1	0	0
	Total...	72	14	8

5. The Deputy Collector explains, in paragraph 11 of his letter to the Collector, that, the delay (more than a year) in reporting the death of the Yeomiahdar, was caused by his having to refer to past correspondence in order to ascertain the real character of the grant, whether Mutalikan as originally intended, or for life as entered in a List of Yeomiahs submitted by Mr. Cunliffe to the Board in 1855; but the Board agree with the Collector that the delay was excessive.

No. 338. ORDER THEREON, 28th October 1863, No. 1,947.

The Jahgir, on the resumption of which this allowance was given, was granted for life, and consequently the allowance must be regarded as a temporary one, indeed the Collector recommends its continuance only for the lives of the members of the deceased Yeomiahdar's family. The rule in such cases is to diminish the allowance, and the Government do not consider it desirable to depart from this course. Considering, however, that some of the recipients will have a very small allowance, they direct that the reduction be limited to one-fourth. The Board of Revenue will be requested to submit a revised distribution list in accordance with the foregoing remarks.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) C. G. MASTER,
Deputy Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.
,, Accountant General.
,, Civil Paymaster.

Ixd. S. T. Augustin.

No. 6,354.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 6th October 1863.

Read the following papers: —

From the Honorable R. S. ELLIS, C. B., Collector of Madras, to W. HUDLESTON, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Saidapet, 27th June 1863, No. 237:—

1. I have the honor to enclose for the information of the Board a copy of a letter received from the Deputy Collector of Madras, reporting the death of Yeomiahdar Nur Deen Ali Khan on the 12th April 1862.

2. The Deputy Collector has been informed that the delay that has occurred, in reporting the death of the Yeomiahdar in question for more than a year, is not satisfactorily accounted for in paragraph 11 of the Deputy Collector's letter.

3. The deceased was the grandson of the Jaghiredar of Sumaitangi in North Arcot, which Jaghire lapsed to Government in 1818. On the occasion of this lapse, the Government granted a yearly allowance of 250 Pagodas per annum, or Rupees 72-14-8 per mensem "for the support of the family."—*Vide* Mr. Secretary Hill's letter dated 13th January 1819, and these words are entered in the Pension Certificate issued from the Office of the Collector of Madras, when the payment of this allowance was transferred from the North Arcot District.

4. In the list of Yeomiahs submitted by Mr. Cunliffe as Collector of Madras to the Board of Revenue on 1st July 1855, the terms of the grant are entered "for life." The Deputy Collector suggests that this alteration may have been in consequence of the Collector of North Arcot, Mr. Whittingham, when forwarding the correspondence regarding this Yeomiah, stating that "it would appear from these papers that the pension is for life." The correspondence (to be returned) forwarded by Mr. Whittingham is enclosed in original, for the Board's information.

5. The family of the late Nur Deen Ali consisting of two sons, two wives, a daughter (married), and 16 other members, are dependant on this allowance for their maintenance, and I request that the sanction of Government may be obtained for the continuance of the allowance of Rupees 72-14-8 per mensem, hitherto enjoyed by the family.

6. The sub-division of the Yeomiah among the members of the late Nur Deen Ali as proposed by the Deputy Collector seems reasonable, and has been apportioned among those who enjoyed a share in the pension during the life-time of Nur Deen Ali. On the demise of each of these persons his or her particular share should be considered as having lapsed to the Government.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.

From R. RUNGASAWMY IYENGAR, Deputy Collector of Madras, to the Honorable R. S. ELLIS, C. B., Collector of Madras, dated 12th June 1863, No. 36.

1. I have the honor to report the death of the Yeomiahdar, Nur Deen Ali Khan, on the 12th April 1862.

2. The records of this Office show that the deceased was the grandson of the Jaghiredar of Sumaitangi in North Arcot, and in the year 1818, when the Jahgire was resumed at the demise of his grandfather, also named Nur Deen Ali Khan, the original grantee, the Government were pleased to grant an yearly allowance of Pagodas 250, or Rupees 72-14-8 per month, to the said deceased Nur Deen Ali Khan, for the support of himself and the other surviving members of the family, which allowance had been accordingly continued up to March 1862. The correspondence connected with the original grant of the allowance, which was enclosed in letter No. 477 of the 18th August 1857, from the Collector of North Arcot, is herewith forwarded for your perusal.

3. From the above correspondence (*vide* Government Secretary Mr. Hill's letter of the 13th January 1819), it will appear that the allowance was not an individual or Life grant, but for the support of the family of the late Jaghiredar.

4. In conformity to the above Order of Government, the Pension Certificate issued from this Office to the deceased Nur Deen Ali Khan, when transferred from the Collectorate of North Arcot, was worded as follows :—" For the support of the family according to the Orders of Government dated 13th January 1819." But in the list of Yeomiah, &c., Pensions, which Mr. Cunliffe submitted to the Board of Revenue on the 18th October 1855, he has altered the term of the grant, in the words " for life." The cause of his so altering it, I infer, may have been in reference to the opinion expressed by Mr. Whittingham, the then Collector of North Arcot, in the latter part of his letter to the Collector of Madras, dated 18th August 1857.

5. I beg now to proceed with the claims of the existing members. On the death of the said Nur Deen Ali Khan, his eldest son and the present head of the family, Mahomed Hyder Ali Gour, has applied to me for the continuation of the allowance in his sole name, while his other brother Mahomed Bakar Gour, and Kadar Bi, the Nikka wife of the deceased, objected to so doing, and they required the distribution of the allowance to the surviving members direct by the Sarkar.

6. The late Madras Tahsildar, who was directed to inquire into the above claims, has reported to me (Report No. 227 of 1863), that the allowance granted to the deceased Pensioner had been enjoyed by the parties named in the margin ; and he thinks that the arrears of allowance due to the deceased, may be disbursed to the applicant Mahomed Hyder Ali Gour, as the son of the deceased grantee, and as the head of the family, provided he obtains the consent of the other members. The Tahsildar speaks nothing about the continuation of the allowance in his name.

1. Nurja Begam, wife.
2. Kadar Bi, Nikka wife.
3. Mahomed Hyder Ali Gour, son.
4. Do. Bakar, son.
5. Karenissa Begam, daughter married, and 18 other members belonging to the family of the late Nur Deen Ali Khan.

7. Besides this, the deceased enjoyed a separate life grant of stipend of Rupees 25 per mensem, from the Government Agent's Office at Chepauk, which has lapsed to Government at his demise.

8. From the foregoing circumstances, it seems that the above-mentioned Yeomiah, paid from this office was not a life grant but a Mootaliguan

9. In the Rules regarding the Mootaliguan, published (*vide* Circular Order of the Board of Revenue, dated 17th October 1839, and the Notes 1, 2 and 3 appended thereto, in page 273, Volume I), it is laid down that, when the *principal* may be no longer in existence, the Yeomiah should be distributed in due proportion among the Mootaliguans.

10. In the present case, Nur Deen Ali Khan, in whose name the Yeomiah was originally granted, having died, I think that the allowance should be divided among the Mootaliguans, or the surviving members of the family, in due proportion. I therefore beg leave to submit herewith a tabular Statement, showing the names of the several surviving members of the family, and the shares to which they are entitled, together with the original Arzi and its enclosures, received from the Tahsildar. In the event of your approving of my views in this case, I request that you will be pleased to recommend to the Board of Revenue, and obtain their sanction for the continuance of the allowance, and the payment of the arrears, due from the date of Nur Deen Ali Khan's death, to the persons named in the Statement, on the understanding of the several shares lapsing to Government, on the demise of each incumbent.

11. Having had occasion to refer to several correspondence, to verify the difference in terms that has arisen from the altered Mootaliguans into life, as above shown, I beg leave to add that this delay of a few months had been unavoidably caused.

(True Copy.)

(Signed) R. S. ELLIS, Collector.

ENCLOSURE No. 2.

From J. W. RUSSELL, Esq., Deputy Secretary, to the CHIEF SECRETARY to Government, dated Fort St. George, 31st December 1818.

1. I am directed by the President and Members of the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of the letter from the Secretary to Government in the Revenue Department under date the 23rd instant.

2. The death of the late Jaghiredar of Samaitangy and the resumption of the Jahgire was reported to Government in Mr. Hanbury's letter of the 16th December 1816. The Petitioner, who is the grandson of the late Jahghiredar, prays that the Jaghire may be continued to him, but as it appears from a letter from the late Collector in the Northern Division of Arcot (submitted to Government with the letter above referred to) that it was held under a life grant, his request in this respect cannot be complied with.

3. It has hitherto been customary on the lapse of a Jaghire to Government to grant a *pension for the support of* the surviving members of *the family*, and if the Government should resolve to extend this indulgence to the descendants of the deceased Jaghiredar in question, the Board would recommend that an allowance of Star Pagodas 250 per annum, being nearly one-third of the annual value of the Jaghire (viz, Star Pagodas 760), be bestowed upon them to be paid from the date of the resumption of the Jaghire.

4. An account of this Jaghire is given in No. 11 in Mr. Falconar's report on the Jagiriti Mulki or country Jaghires.

From D. HILL, Esq., Secretary to Government, to the PRESIDENT AND MEMBERS of the Board of Revenue, dated Fort St. George, 13th January 1819.

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your Secretary's letter of the 31st ultimo, and to state that agreeably to your recommendation, the Right Honorable the Governor in Council authorizes the Collector of the Northern Division of Arcot to pay to the grandson of the late Jaghiredar of Samatangy a yearly allowance of two hundred and fifty (250) Pagodas for the support of the family to commence from the date when the Jaghire was resumed.

(True Copies.)

(Signed) H. CHAMIER, Deputy Secretary.

(True Copy.)

(Signed) C. WHITTINGHAM, Collector.

From C. WHITTINGHAM, Esq., Collector of North Arcot, to B. CUNLIFFE, Esq., Collector of Madras, dated Chittoor, 18th August 1857, No. 535.

1. In acknowledging your letter dated 28th ultimo, I have the honor to forward for your information copies of the correspondence that has passed between this Office and the Board on the subject of the pension of Nur Deen Alli Khan, Jaghiredar of Samaitangy.

2. It would appear from these papers that the pension is for life.

ENCLOSURE 1 in No. 2.

From H. S. GRAME, Collector, to the PRESIDENT AND MEMBERS of the Board of Revenue dated Tripaty, 2nd October 1816.

1. Nur Deen Alli Khan the Jaghiredar of Samaitangy being dead, I have the honor to report that his Jaghire, consisting of the village of Samaitangy in this division, which he held for his natural life under the grant of the Madras Government of the 9th November 1804, has been resumed.

2. By some oversight the Jaghire has been allowed to continue though the person specified in the grant has been dead sometime. The name of the grandson of the grantee is also Nur Deen Alli Khan, and there are living Mohamed Jaffer Gour, the brother of the deceased who was

the active manager of the Jaghire even during the life-time of the grantee, and Mahomed Bakur Khan Oorup Mahomed Saduck, his son, and Hoossain Alli, Uzaree, &c., nephew to the grantee by his sister's side.

3. The family will probably submit their case to the favorable consideration of the Board and Government.

The annual revenue of the Jaghire is about Rupees 760.

(True Copy.)

(Signed) C. WHITTINGHAM, Collector.

From A. D. CAMPBELL, Esq., Secretary, to the COLLECTOR of the Northern Division of Arcot, dated Fort St. George, 25th January 1819.

With reference to your predecessor's letter of the 2nd October 1816, I am directed by the President and Members of the Board of Revenue to transmit, for your information and guidance, the accompanying copy of a correspondence with Government, on the subject of a yearly allowance of 250 Pagodas granted to the grandson of the late Jaghiredar of Samaitangy for the support of the family to commence from the date when the Jaghire was resumed.

From D. HILL, Esq., Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, to the PRESIDENT AND MEMBERS of the Board of Revenue, dated Fort St. George, 23rd December 1818.

I am directed by the Right Honorable the Governor in Council to refer for your consideration and report the accompanying Petition from Nur Deen Alli Khan praying that the sequestered Jaghire of Samaitangy may be restored to him as the grandson of the deceased Jaghiredar.

To G. STREACHY, Esq., Chief Secretary to the Government, Fort Saint George.

HUMBLY SHEWETH. The humble Petition of Nur Deen Alli Khan Hall, &c., Families.

That your Honor in Councils Petitioners' Village Samaitangy (the Jaghire) settled in the Taluk of Canvereepauck, has been sequestered by Mr. Grame, Collector in the Northern Division of Arcot, in consequence of which they addressed a Petition to the Collector on the subject, who informed them that he had sequestered the Jaghire on account of your Honor in Councils Petitioners' grandfather's death, and directed them to apply to the Board of Revenue on the above circumstances; they accordingly addressed to that Board, which respectable gentlemen returned the same with their endorsement with direction to appeal to Government agreeably to which your honored Councils Petitioners addressed their distressed case with every necessary documents to your Honor in Council on the 16th January 1817, and another address to your Honorable Board on the 3rd November last, who are waiting since that period for your Honor's peremptory commands on the subjects; from the above documents it will be evident that the Jaghire is to be enjoyed for ever by posterities, and the produce of Jaghire is the livelihood of your Honor in Councils Petitioners and more persons.

Therefore they most sanguinely trust your Honor in Council will from motives of humility be induced to order the restoration of the Jaghire to your Honor in Councils Petitioners which will only extricate the calamities they are (since the period it has been sequestered) suffering for the want of livelihood which act of lenity it shall be gratefully acknowledged with an indelible sense of gratitude and your Honor in Councils Petitioners as in duty bound shall ever pray.

TRIPLICANE, 23rd February 1818.

Official Memorandum from the Honorable R. S. ELLIS, C. B., Collector of Madras, to W. HUDLESTON, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Saidapet, 10th September 1863, No. 355.

The Collector of Madras begs to forward amended the Yeomiah Statement which was returned with the Secretary Mr. Hudleston's Official Memorandum, No. 613 of the 17th instant.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.—Yeomiah List.

1. Ordered that the foregoing papers be submitted to Government with the Board's recommendation, that the allowance of Rupees 72-14-8 per mensem hitherto enjoyed by the family of the late Jaghiredar of Sumaitangi through his grandson Nur Din Ali Khan be continued from 12th April 1862, the date of the latter's decease, in shares as life pensions to the surviving members mentioned in paragraph 4 below.

2. The allowance in question was granted under the following circumstances.

3. On the resumption of the Jaghire of Samaitangi in North Arcot in 1816, the Jaghiredar's grandson Nur Din Ali Khan whose death is now reported, petitioned the Government for the restoration of the Jaghire; and the Board, for whose consideration the Petition was referred, reported that, as the Jaghire was held

From Government 23rd December 1818.

From Board 31st December 1818, paragraph 3.

under a life grant, the Petitioner's request could not be complied with; but that, as it had been customary on the lapse of a Jaghire to Government, to grant a pension for the support of the *surviving members* of the family, they would recommend that an allowance of Star Pagodas 250 per annum, being nearly one-third of the annual value of the Jaghire, be bestowed upon them. The Government accordingly sanctioned the grant "for the support of the family" from date of the resumption of the Jaghire; and on the Yeomiahdar's subsequent application he was allowed to draw his pension from the Collector of Madras from April 1819.

From Government, 13th January 1819.

From Government, 10th April 1819.

4. Under the above circumstances the pension appears to be a Mutalikan allowance for the support of the family and not for the life of its late head alone; and the Board accordingly recommend that it be continued in the following shares, as recommended by the Collector, for the lives of the several recipients respectively:—

		Per mensem. RS.	A.	P.
1.	Hyder Ali Gour (elder son)...	10	6	8
	Mahomed Banker Gour (younger son) ...	10	0	0
	Kyroonissa Begam (daughter)...	5	0	0
	Nurja Begam (married widow)	7	0	0
5.	Cauder Bee (Nikah widow)...	7	0	0
	Mariam Subah (Cousin)...	5	0	0
	Cautoonnah Begum (Cousin)...	2	0	0
	Ally Hoossain Makery (nephew)	2	0	0
	Abdool Hoossain (half brother)	2	0	0
10.	Rajah Hoossain (half brother)...	2	0	0
	Thucky Hoossain (half brother)	3	0	0
	Maroonissa (half sister)	3	0	0
	Kamroonissa (half sister)	1	0	0
	Fithab Hoossain (nephew)...	2	8	0
15.	Shumsoo Canoom (brother's Nikkah widow)	2	8	0
	Corban Hoossain (nephew)...	2	8	0
	Jaffer Hoossain (nephew)...	1	0	0
	Mahomed Saduck (grandson)	1	0	0
	Mady Begam (grand-daughter)	1	0	0
	Ahmedy Begam (grand-daughter)	1	0	0
21.	Tulan Begam (grand-daughter)...			
	Total,...	72	14	8

5. The Deputy Collector explains, in paragraph 11 of his letter to the Collector, that, the delay (more than a year) in reporting the death of the Yeomiahdar, was caused by his having to refer to past correspondence in order to ascertain the real character of the grant, whether Mu ah-kan as originally intended, or for life as entered in a List of Yeomiahs submitted by Mr. Cunliffe to the Board in 1855; but the Board agree with the Collector that the delay was excessive.

(True Copies and Extract.)

Secretary.

To J. D. Sim, Esq., Secretary to Government, R. D., with a Statement.

Copy to G. Banbury, Esq., Acting Collector of Madras.
with an enclosure returned.

Exd. J. Wright.

Dated 6th October 1863.

Rec'd 12 do.

Ans'd 21-10-63.

C.A. Arbuthnot 28 Oct.

No. 6,954.

Submitting letter from the Collector of Madras reporting the death of Yeomiahdar Nur Deen Ali Khan, and recommending that the allowance enjoyed by his family be continued to the surviving members of it.

Rec'd 1863. Department.
No. of Letter for Retn.

No. 24064.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE,

Rev. Dept.

A. 1863.

Proceedings of the Madras Government.
Under date the 28th October 1863.
Read the following

Proceedings of the Bd of Revenue submitting letter from the Collector of Madras reporting the death of Yeomiahdar Nur Deen Ali Khan, and recommending that the allowance enjoyed by his family be continued to the surviving members of it.

(Here enter 6th October 1863 No. 6354)

The Salger, on the resumption of which this allowance was given, was granted for life, and consequently the allowance must be regarded as a temporary one: indeed the Collector recommends its continuance only for the lives of the members of the deceased Yeomiahdar's family.

family. The rule in such cases is to diminish the allowance and the Government do not consider it desirable to depart from this course. Considering however that some of the recipients will have a very small allowance, they direct that the reduction be limited to one-fourth. The Board of Revenue will be requested to submit a revised distribution list in accordance with the foregoing remarks.

CGW

14 Copies Letter B. of Rev. Dated 29 Oct. with an Enclo.
3 — Asst. Genl
3 — Civil Paymasters

Revenue 1863 Dep^t
Pro: of Govt for October.

No 1947.

Pro: of the Madras Govt
Dated 25th October 1863.

Trans^d 3 Pro: 3 Nov^r 1863
No 2702. Com^n 23^d Nov^r 1863
No 2107
Further C No 321 of 1868

Recommendation of the
Coll^r of Madras that the
allowance enjoyed by Nur
Deen Ali Khan in his
Distt may be continued to
certain Members of his family
sanctioned to certain extent.

No 337 & 338.