

# MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

*Revenue* DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 1907

Press

~~Mis.~~

*244. 465*

Dated

*21-10-1918*

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,  
21st October 1863.*

No. 244. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 2nd September 1863, No. 5,502:—

Read again Board's Proceedings, dated 13th July 1863, No. 4,253.

Read also the following letter from M. J. WALHOUSE, Esq., Acting Collector of Trichinopoly, to W. HUDLESTON, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Voytalygudaloor, 11th August 1863, No. 153.

SIR,

1. I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of the Board's Proceedings of 13th July last, No. 4,253, calling for full explanation in respect to certain apparent inconsistencies in the amount of the Saderwarid allowances for this District.

2. In reply, I beg to inform you that the permanently sanctioned Hoozoor Ayan Saderwarid is only Rupees 919, and not Rupees 2,004 as the Board took it to be. The sum, 1,085 Rupees, which is represented as the Jamabundy Saderwarid in the statement, and which the Board quoted in the margin of paragraph 2 of the above Proceedings, is the standard estimate formerly sanctioned for the remuneration of the Hangami Gumashtas employed during the Jamabundy settlement, but discontinued since the abolition of the Hangami system. The sum was exhibited in the Statement merely to show the old standard of the Jamabundy Saderwarid allowance. The Board in their Proceedings of 11th February 1860, No. 760, require this information.

3. The actual Hoozoor Ayan and Jamabundy Saderwarid expenditure, incurred in Fusly 1271, is Rupees 1,987-7-7. The amount permanently sanctioned is Rupees 919. The amount expended over and above the permanently sanctioned amount is therefore Rupees 1,068-7-7, and it is for this sum I sought the sanction of the Board, as per instructions conveyed in Board's Proceedings noted marginally.

No. 760, 11th February 1860.  
„ 5,297, 19th December 1859.

4. As for the difference noticed by the Board in paragraph 3 of the above Proceedings, I beg to explain that the items given in the Statement No. 1, forwarded with my predecessor's communication of 20th December 1860, No. 182, are quite different from those of the one which accompanied my letter of 16th May last, No. 86. The amounts of both of these Statements cannot in consequence tally with each other. The former comprizes the permanently sanctioned Saderwarid allowance for the whole District, viz., Hoozoor Ayan and Talook Saderwarid, and the Press Office contingent, while the latter shows only the sanctioned amount of the Hoozoor Ayan Saderwarid and the old, but now discontinued allowance of the Jamabundy Saderwarid.

5. The only sanctioned asset we have in this District against the Hoozoor Ayan and Jamabundy Saderwarid expenditure is, as I have shown above, Rupees 919. The actual expenditure incurred in Fusly 1217 for the Hoozoor Ayan Saderwarid, and for supplying papers to the Curnums during the Jamabundy having exceeded this sum by Rupees 1,068-7-7, I request that sanction may be granted for this sum.

1. Ordered that the above papers be submitted to Government.

2. Under the explanation furnished in the Collector's second letter, the Board request the sanction of Government for the charge of Rupees (1,068-7-7) one thousand and sixty-eight, Annas seven and Pice seven, being the expenditure incurred in Fusly 1271 in excess of the amount of Saderwarid sanctioned in Extract from Minutes of Consultation dated 9th July 1851, No. 682, on account of the Hoozoor Cutcherry. In the General standard Estimate of Saderwarid, already submitted to Government, ample provision has been made for the future.

No. 245. ORDER THEREON, 21st October 1863, No. 1,907.

Sanction is accordingly granted for Rupees (1,068-7-7) one thousand and sixty-eight, Annas seven and Pice seven.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) J. D. SIM,

Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.

„ Acting Civil Paymaster.

„ Acting Accountant General.

Exd. S. T. Augustin.

No. 4,253.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 13th July 1863.

Read the following letter from M. J. WALHOUSE, Esq., Acting Collector of Trichinopoly, to W. HUDLESTON, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated 16th May 1863, No. 86:—

1. I have the honor to forward herewith a Statement showing the Saderward expenditure incurred in Fasli 1271. It will be observed by a reference to it that a sum of Rupees 1,068-7-7 has been expended over the amount permanently sanctioned. This arose from supplying papers to Kurnums.

2. I request that sanction may be obtained for that amount to enable me to adjust the sum in the Advance account.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.

ABSTRACT.—Statement showing the particulars of expenditure on account of Aiyan and Jamabundy Saderward for Fasli 1271, in the Collectorate of Trichinopoly.

1. With the letter above recorded, the Acting Collector forwards a Statement showing the Saderward expenditure incurred in Fasli 1271, and requests that sanction may be obtained for the sum of Rupees 1,068-7-7, said to have been expended over the amount permanently sanctioned.

2. On referring to the enclosure in the Collector's letter, the Board find that out of the sanctioned amount of Rupees 2,004, only Rupees 1,987-7-7 were expended, leaving a balance of Rupees 17; they are therefore unable to understand why sanction for an additional sum of Rupees 1,068-7-7 is required.

3. Moreover the amount of sanctioned Saderward given by the Collector in the Statement now submitted differs materially from the Statements which accompanied his letter of the 20th December 1860, in which a sum of Rupees 2,738 is entered as sanctioned for Saderward. The Acting Collector will refer to these documents, and if he still finds that sanction is necessary he will apply for it with full explanation on the several points noticed.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) W. HUDLESTON,  
Secretary,

To M. J. Walhouse, Esq., Acting Collector of Trichinopoly.

Exd. J. Wright

No. 5,502.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 2nd September 1863.

Read again Board's Proceedings, dated 13th July 1863, No. 4,253.  
Read also the following letter from M. J. WALHOUSE, Esq., Acting Collector of Trichinopoly,  
to W. HUDLESTON, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Voytalygudaloor, 11th  
August 1863, No. 153 :—

1. I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of the Board's Proceedings of 13th July last,  
No. 4,253, calling for full explanation in respect to certain apparent inconsistencies in the amount  
of the Saderward allowances for this District.

2. In reply, I beg to inform you that the permanently sanctioned Hoozoor Ayan Saderward  
is only Rupees 919, and not Rupees 2,004 as the Board took it to be. The sum, 1,085 Rupees,  
which is represented as the Jamabundy Saderward in the Statement, and which the Board quoted  
in the margin of paragraph 2 of the above Proceedings, is the standard estimate formerly  
sanctioned for the remuneration of the Hanganmi Gumastahs employed during the Jamabundy  
settlement, but discontinued since the abolition of the Hanganmi system. The sum was exhibited  
in the Statement merely to show the old standard of the Jamabundy Saderward allowance.  
The Board in their Proceedings of 11th February 1860, No. 760, require this information.

3. The actual Hoozoor Ayan and Jamabundy Saderward expenditure, incurred in Fasli  
1271, is Rupees 1,987-7-7. The amount permanently sanctioned is Rupees 919. The amount  
expended over and above the permanently sanctioned amount is therefore Rupees 1,068-7-7, and  
it is for this sum I sought the sanction of the Board, as per instruc-

• No. 760, 11th February 1860.  
„ 5,297, 19th December 1859.

tions conveyed in Board's Proceedings noted marginally.  
4. As for the difference noticed by the Board in paragraph 3 of the above Proceedings, I  
beg to explain that the items given in the Statement No. 1, forwarded with my predecessor's  
communication of 20th December 1860, No. 182, are quite different from those of the one which  
accompanied my letter of 16th May last, No. 86. The amounts of both of these Statements cannot  
in consequence tally with each other. The former comprizes the permanently sanctioned Sader-  
ward allowance for the whole District, viz., Hoozoor Ayan and Taluk Saderward, and the  
contingent, while the latter shows only the sanctioned amount of the Hoozoor Ayan Saderward and  
the old, but now discontinued allowance of the Jamabundy Saderward.

5. The only sanctioned asset we have in this District against the Hoozoor Ayan and Jama-  
bundy Saderward expenditure is, as I have shown above, Rupees 919. The actual expenditure  
incurred in Fasli 1271 for the Hoozoor Ayan Saderward, and for supplying papers to the Kurnums  
during the Jamabundy having exceeded this sum by Rupees 1,068-7-7, I request that sanction  
may be granted for this sum.

1. Ordered that the above papers be submitted to Government.

2. Under the explanation furnished in the Collector's second letter, the Board request the  
sanction of Government for the charge of Rupees (1,068-7-7) one thousand and sixty-eight, Annas  
seven, and Pice seven, being the expenditure incurred in Fasli 1271 in excess of the amount of  
Saderward sanctioned in Extract from Minutes of Consultation dated 9th July 1861, No. 682, on  
account of the Huzur Kacheri. In the General Standard Estimate of Saderward, already submitted  
to Government, ample provision has been made for the future.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

Secretary.

To J. D. Sim., Esq., Secretary to Government, R. D.

Copy to M. J. Walhouse, Esq., Acting Collector of Trichinopoly.

1863. Department.

No.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE.

Dated 13th July 1863.

No. 4,253.

Making remarks about the Statement of St-  
derward expenditure incurred in Fasli 1271.

20  
14 July 1862. N 311-312

Nov 1863. Department.  
No: of Govt for Deliberation

No 2121  
PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE.

Dated 2nd September 1863.  
Despatched 5-8  
Recd 7-8  
Cal-

No. 5,502.

De Katak

Submitting with remarks letter from the  
Collector of Trichinopoly giving explanation  
in respect to certain apparent inconsistencies  
in the amount of the Saderward allowances.

N 214 225-

210 Oct 1863  
210 Oct 1863  
210 Oct 1863

21-1907.

Sanctions is accordingly

granted for 1864 (1863-7-7) and

thousand and eight

rupees and five annas

✓ 1864

2105 Oct 1863

4 Bona of Rev. - Dec 1863  
3 1/2 Civil Payment  
3 1/2 by Reference