

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 1852

Press
~~Mis.~~

115-117

Dated

9-10-1863

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
9th October 1863.*

Read the following papers:—

No. 115. Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 2nd September 1863, No. 5,478.
Read again letter from the Acting Collector of Vizagapatam, dated 6th July 1863, No. 447,
recorded in Board's Proceedings, 23rd July 1863, No. 4,580.
Read also the following letter from C. J. LONGLEY, Esq., Principal Assistant Collector and
Agent, to D. F. CARMICHAEL, Esq., Collector of Vizagapatam, dated 10th August
1863, No. 245.

SIR,

1. Adverting to the Proceedings of the Board, No. 4,580 of the 23rd ultimo, I beg to
forward a plan of my house at Narsipatam, and to state for the Board's information, that two
thousand and one hundred and sixty Rupees is the sum I ask for my house.

2. This sum includes an acre of compound, but not the
godowns or stables or the large garden.* These are detached
from the house, and will answer for the godowns and garden
of a smaller bungalow I purpose building for the Sub-Collector. There is no other house in
Narsipatam available for his residence.

3. I should be obliged to the Board (if they purchase) to allow me to occupy my house
until I can provide the bungalow abovementioned. I have less hesitation in making this request,
as two years ago, when Narsipatam was first made the Sub-Collector's head quarters, I went
to some expense in providing a separate katcha building, where I hold office.

4. The Engineer's estimate (640 Rupees) for tiling the roof is, I think, excessive. The
thatched roof, now on the house, is quite new; it would be a great pity to remove it until the
house really requires re-roofing some four years hence, when a tiled roof might be put on for
500 Rupees.

No. 600.

Submitted to the Board of Revenue, with reference to their Proceedings No. 4,580 of 23rd
July ultimo.

Vizagapatam,
13th August 1863.

(Signed) D. F. CARMICHAEL, Collector.

The Board resolve to submit the above papers for the consideration of Government. It
will be observed that Mr. Longley's house is built of sun dried brick in clay and thatched, and
the Board concur with Captain Chambers in opinion that a building of such materials is very ill
suited for a public Office.

No. 116. Memorandum from the Secretary to the Government, Public Works Department,
to the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, Fort Saint George, dated
Chepauk, 26th September 1863, No. 168.

With reference to Memorandum from the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department,
No. 195, dated 8th September 1863, the undersigned has the honor to forward the plan of the
new Cutcherry proposed to be built for the Principal Assistant Agent at Narsipatam in the
Vizagapatam District.

(Signed) CHARLES A. ORR, Colonel, R. E.,
Secretary to Government, P. W. D.

No. 117. ORDER THEREON, 9th October 1863, No. 1,852.

The Government are not disposed to purchase Mr. Longley's house, and prefer having a well-
built Cutcherry for the Principal Assistant. The plan for such a building received with the
second of the foregoing papers is approved.

(True Extract.)

Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.
„ Public Works Department.
Exd. S. T. Augustin:

Cost to buy.	
Mr. Longley's Bungalow at Government valuation ...	2,160
Cost of tiled roof. ...	640
Total...	2,800

ENCLOSURE No. 2.

From Captain O. W. S. CHAMBERS, R. E., District Engineer, Vizagapatam, to C. T. LONGLEY, Esq., Principal Assistant Collector of Vizagapatam, dated Waltair, 1st July 1860, No. 225.

- 1 Plan.
- 1 Estimate in duplicate.
- 1 Report in do.
- 1 Valuation in do.
- 1 Estimate in do.
- 1 Ground plan.
- 1 Pro. No. 2,540 dated 30-4-63.

1. In returning all the papers noted in the margin, which accompanied your letter of 29th ult. no. No 191, on the subject of Government purchasing your house at Nursipatam for a Kacheri in lieu of building a pukka building for that purpose, I beg to make the following observations, as you have asked me for my report on the suitability or otherwise of your house for a Kacheri, and for my opinion regarding the advisability of Government purchasing it for that object.

2. Your house is admirably well suited for a Kacheri from the arrangement of its rooms from its great area, from its broad and long verandahs, from its proximity to the town, and from its possessing the extensive compound in which it is situated.

3. It is in fact almost unnecessarily roomy for the purpose to which you propose applying it.

4. If the compound be included in the price, Rupees 2,160, at which the house has been valued by Mr. Supervisor Bevan, this sum must be considerably below what the premises originally cost.

5. The one objection to the house is that it is not pukka; this can be remedied as to the roof, by tiling it, for which I observed Mr. Bevan has framed an Estimate amounting to Rupees 640, thus raising the price of the house to Rupees 2,800; but the walls being built of sun-dried bricks in clay, the house must always remain partly cutcha, and this, in my opinion, is a great disadvantage in a Government building, especially in one in which same amount of records will, I presume, be kept.

6. Mr. Supervisor Bevan estimates the cost of an entirely new building at Rupees 2,370, without the compound in which it will be located; the building would be little more than half the size of your house, their areas being to each other as 4 to 7.

7. It remains for you to say whether this accommodation would be ample; to me it appears sufficient.

8. The new building would be pukka throughout, with stone in chunam foundations, and brick in chunam walls.

9. You estimate the time which it would take building at 18 months, and show that if Government buy your house now, they would have no need to disburse to you your tentage allowance for 18 months to come, thus saving Rupees 2,541 under this head, or actually getting possession of a tiled house for Rupees 259 only.

Cost of Mr. Longley's house...	Rs. 2,160
Tiling it.....	640
	2,800
Deduct 18 months' tentage saved	2,541
Difference..	259

10. If this were the case, it would be so self-evidently the interest of Government to purchase the house as it stands, that my opinion as to the advisability of their so doing would be very unnecessary, but I do not think that it would take 18 months to build a new house at Nursipatam.

11. You must allow that if the Supervisor saw that every month's delay cost Government (30 x 5½) Rupees 157½,

he could manage, by putting on extra superintendence, and by even paying fancy prices for materials and articles he found difficult to obtain, to finish the work in 8 or 10 months at a cost somewhat exceeding his estimate.

12. Instead therefore Government paying Rupees 2,370 Estimated cost of Kacheri.
 " 2,541 Your tentage for 18 months whilst it was building.
 " 4,911
 They would pay Rupees 2,600, enhanced cost of Kacheri.
 " 1,270, nine months' tentage.
 Rupees 3,870

13. This would be more by Rupees 1,070 than what they would pay for your house when tiled.

14. Taking the *pros* and *cons* into consideration, I am inclined to think that it would be for the advantage of Government, instead of building an entirely new structure, to purchase your house and tile it, letting you use a portion of it for a Kacheri whilst the roofing was going on, which the great area of the building would well allow; and should I be asked for my opinion by the Board of Revenue, I shall have no hesitation in repeating to them what I have said to you.

The Board request the Acting Collector to forward a plan of Mr. Longley's house, and to obtain from him an exact statement of the terms on which he proposes to sell it. These are not expressed in the papers before the Board. He will also report whether there is another house at Nursipatam for the residence of the Sub-Collector.
 (True Copies and Extract.)

(Signed) W. HUDLESTON,
 Secretary.

To D. F. Carmichael, Esq., Acting Collector of Vizagapatam.

Exd. J. Wright.

For order.

A new Cutcherry-brick
in chumam on stone foundation
with cost Rs 2370.

Mr Longley asks Rs 2160
for his Bunglows which is of
sun dried brick with a thatched
roof - Tiling the roof will cost
Rs 600 - but is not immediately
necessary. He calculates that
for 16 months it will cost Rs 2560 - but
the Engineer shows that the building
may be built in 8 or 10 months for
Rs 2000 - and of course Mr Longley
will be on circuit for several
months - a few weeks more or less

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE

Dated 23rd July 1863.

Despatched

Received

No. 4,580.

Calling for a plan of Mr. Longley's house,
and a statement of the terms on which he pro-
poses to sell it; and requesting also to know if
there is another house at Nursipatam for the
Sub-Collector's residence.

1863. Department.

No.

Rev = 2120/103

Received one of the 23rd July 1863
Rev. to the Sub-Collector's office
dated 23rd July 1863 at 5:45 p.m.

No. 6,478.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 2nd September 1863.

Read again letter from the Acting Collector of Vizagapatam, dated 6th July 1863, No. 447, recorded in Board's Proceedings 23rd July 1863, No. 4,580.

Read also the following letter C. J. LONGLEY, Esq., Principal Assistant Collector and Agent, to D. F. CARMICHAEL, Esq., Collector of Vizagapatam, dated 10th August 1863.

No. 245:—

1. Adverting to the Proceedings of the Board, No. 4,580 of the 23rd ultimo, I beg to forward a plan of my house at Narsipatam, and to state for the Board's information, that two thousand and one hundred and sixty Rupees is the sum I ask for my house.

* Vide paragraph 4 of Captain Chambers's letter. 2. This sum includes an acre of compound, but not the godowns or stables or the large garden.* These are detached from the house, and will answer for the godowns and garden of a smaller bungalow I purpose building for the Sub-Collector. There is no other house in Narsipatam available for his residence.

3. I should be obliged to the Board (if they purchase) to allow me to occupy my house until I can provide the bungalow above-mentioned. I have less hesitation in making this request, as two years ago, when Narsipatam was first made the Sub-Collector's Head Quarters, I went to some expense in providing a separate katcha building, where I hold office.

4. The Engineer's estimate (640 Rupees) for tiling the roof is, I think, excessive. The thatched roof, now on the house, is quite new; it would be a great pity to remove it until the house really requires re-roofing some four years hence, when a tiled roof might be put on for 600 Rupees.

No. 600.

Submitted to the Board of Revenue, with reference to their Proceedings No. 4,580 of 23rd

July ultimo.

VIZAGAPATAM, 13th August 1863.

(Signed) D. F. CARMICHAEL, Collector.

The Board resolve to submit the above papers for the consideration of Government. It will be observed that Mr. Longley's house is built of sun dried brick in clay and thatched, and the Board concur with Captain Chambers in opinion that a building of such materials is very ill suited for a public Office.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

Secretary.

To J. D. SIM, Esq., Secretary to Government, R. D., with a Plan.
Copy to D. F. Carmichael, Esq., Collector of Vizagapatam.

Does not matter
much to put it
I should rather
have a well
built cutcherry
as cheapest in
the end.

J. D. S.

Rev. 1863. Department.
Pro: of Govt for October

No 2120.
PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE

met 2nd September 1863.

Dep 5-2
Rec 7-8
E-1-4 10 Plan Annul P. 6 P. 6

S.O. No 1852. 9 Oct
No. 5,478.

Submitting with remarks letter from the
Collector of Vizagapatam forwarding a plan of
Mr. Longley's house and proposing to buy it
for the principal Assistant Collector's Kutcheri
at Narsipatam.

Revenue Department
No 1852
Proceedings of the Madras Government
Under date the 9th October 1863.
Read the following
papers.

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue
Submitting with remarks letter from
the Collector of Vizagapatam forwarding
a plan of Mr. Longley's house and pro-
posing to buy it for the principal
Assistant Collector's Kutcheri at Narsipatam.

(Here enter 2nd Sept 1863
No 5,478.)

Memo. from the Secretary to the
Govt. Public Works Department.

Forwarding the plan of the
new Kutcheri proposed to be
built for the Principal Assistant
at Narsipatam in the Vizagapatam
District.

(Here enter 2nd Sept 1863 No 168)

The Govt are not
disposed to purchase Mr.
Longley's house, and would
prefer to having a well built
Kutcheri for the Principal
Assistant. The plan for
such a building annexed
with the records of the
foregoing papers is ap-
proved.

14 Copies to
Bd. Rev. with Plan Encl 10
Off. Bd. with Plan

Revenue 1868 & Deficit
as of Govt for Feb.

21st 1852.

Pro: of the Madras Govt.
27th October 1868.

Enclosed of Mr.
Sunder's house for the
Catering of the Princes
Assistant Agent in
Hyderabad, &c.

MS. 15017.