M.R.O. 14—13,000—27-9-24.

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue **DEPARTMENT.**

G.O. No. 1837 Press ~~Mis.~~

87-88

Dated 7-10-~~19~~1863

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department, 7th October 1863.

No. 87. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 29th September 1863, No. 6,157 :—

Read the following letter from P. GRANT, Esq., Collector of Coimbatore, to W. HUDLESTON, Esq, Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated 17th September 1863, No. 167

SIR,

1. I have the honor to forward copy of a letter from the Principal Sadder Ameen of Coimbatore dated 1st May 1863, No. 9, together with translation of a Plaint preferred in that Court by Sambatoo Vetil Naraina Munnady and two others of the Malabar District, against myself as Collector of this District and 116 others, Defendants, for the recovery of a certain tract of land situated in this District, but which they claim as belonging to their Jenmum.

2. The points dwelt on by the Plaintiffs, may be summed up as follows :—

1stly. That certain lands in the villages of Ettimaday and Teroomaliempolliem in Coimbatore Taluk formerly formed a portion of the Malabar District, and were held by the ancestors of the 1st and 2nd Plaintiffs on Jenmum tenure according to the practice observed in the above province.

2ndly. That one Coonjachoo Munnady, who was the head and managing member of the family to which the 1st and 2nd Plaintiffs belong, mortgaged the above lands to Gopana Munnady of Poravipolliem, the father of the 1st Defendant, in July 1830 for 5,250 Fanams, equivalent to Rupees 1,500, and executed a Bond to that effect.

3rdly. That about 20 years ago the lands in question were transferred from Malabar to Coimbatore District, and that the remonstrances of the members of the family of the 1st two Plaintiffs against such transfer were not listened to by the Collectors of the two Districts, as well as by the Board of Revenue and Government.

4thly. That in consequence of this transfer the 1st and 2nd Plaintiffs were prevented from enjoying their Jenmum rights in the lands in question, and that, in the meantime, the Defendants Nos. 2 to 116 got Puttahs for the land in their names, and are now in the enjoyment of the same

5thly. That during the last two years the 1st and 2nd Plaintiffs have been asking the 1st Defendant to make over the lands to them, but without success.

6thly. That the 3rd Plaintiff has purchased from the 1st and 2nd Plaintiffs their right in one-half of the lands alluded to in the plaint.

3. With respect to the first point in the plaint, I beg to submit that the locality alluded to is known by the name of Ambalaparay Puddy or Ambalatoray Puddy. It never formed a portion of the Malabar District as asserted by the Plaintiffs; on the contrary the fact that the land has appertained to the Coimbatore District is traced over a period of 70 years. First. The Walliar river is considered as the natural boundary of the two Districts Malabar and Coimbatore, and the land sued for is situated on its eastern bank. Secondly. In the Survey Map of this District and in Arrowsmith's Atlas Map the locality is laid down within the limits of the Coimbatore District. Thirdly. It is entered in the Ayacut account of Ettimaday and Teroomaliempolliem villages of this District. Under such circumstances the assertion of the Plaintiffs that the tract of lands alluded to, was the Jenmum property of the family of the 1st and 2nd Plaintiffs, cannot be true.

4. Adverting to the second point, it is to be remarked that so far back as 1821 or ten years previous to the time in which the land in question is said to have been mortgaged to the 1st Defendant's father Gopana Munnady, he the said Gopana Munnady presented a motion in the Zillah Court of Coimbatore to the effect that the lands referred to belonged to his Poliput. How then in the face of this fact can it be said that he got them on mortgage from Coonjachoo Munnady.

5. Adverting to the third and fourth points, it is to be observed that the assertion of the Plaintiffs that about twenty years ago the lands in dispute were transferred from Malabar to Coimbatore is groundless. They have formed a part of the Coimbatore District, since the time of Tippoo Sultan. From the date of the assumption of this Province by the British Government in Fasli 1209 up to Fasli 1219, these lands were leased out by the Coimbatore authorities on grass tenure. Their assessment, as well as the tax on the Tamarind trees situated on them, was collected by the Coimbatore authorities, and carried to the credit of Government in the accounts of this Collectorate. During the above period, the lands in question were covered with jungle. In Fasli 1249, the Coimbatore Ryots made a Durkhast to bring a portion of them under cultivation. Gopana Munnady, the Poligar of Poravipolliem in Coimbatore District, and the father of the 1st Defendant, then preferred a claim to them, with some other adjacent tracts, as belonging to his Poliput. Mr. Babington, the then Acting Principal Collector of this District, made them over to the Poligar ; but subsequently Mr. Drury, the permanent Collector, on his return to his Office, having instituted a careful scrutiny into the records, and caused a correct measurement of the localities to be made, found that the tracts claimed by the Poligar did not form part of his Poliput, but belonged to the Government villages of Ettimaday and Teroomaliempolliem, and accordingly resumed them. During the progress of the measurement, one Sambatoo Vetil Coonjachoo Munnady of Malabar preferred a claim to 66 1/16 Bullahs of Punjah and 45 1/16 Kanis of Nunjah land in the locality known as Ambalaparay or Ambalatoray Paddy, on the plea that they formed part of his Jenmum property, but his claim was found untenable, and he was referred to the established Court to seek redress, *vide*, correspondence noted in the margin. The ejection of Gopana Munnady's claim was fully reported to the Board, and approved of by them, *vide* the marginal correspondence. The Coimbatore Ryots, who had applied for the lands, were allowed to cultivate them, and they have ever since held Puttahs for them from the Coimbatore authorities.

1. From the Collector of Malabar to the Collector of Coimbatore, dated 9th August 1843, No. 993, paragraph 3.
1 From Board to the Collector of Malabar, dated 30th September 1844.

1 Vide report to Board of Revenue, 9th October 1840.
1 From do. 5th April 1841, paragraph 5.
1 To do. 5th January 1849, No. 6.
1 From do. 5th February 1849.

6. The fifth point requires no remark.

7. With regard to the sixth point the purchase by the 3rd Plaintiff of half the land sued for from the 1st and 2nd Plaintiffs is simply an expedient to entitle him to sue in his own name ; he is the real mover in the suit, and provides the ways and means.

8. Having thus remarked on each of the points noticed in the plaint, I beg to submit a draft of a Statement to be presented to the Principal Sudder Ameen as enjoined in Section 130 of Act VIII of 1859, and request the Board's approval of the same, and their sanction to defend the suit at the cost of Government.

9. The time fixed for the hearing of the suit, viz , 27th of August, being found insufficient to admit of the preparation of the statement and a reference to Board, the Court on my application has extended the term to 31st of October next.

Ordered to be submitted to Government with the Board's recommendation that the defence of the Suit at the public cost be sanctioned on the usual terms, and that the Collector be authorized to file the proposed reply. The Board have referred to the former correspondence, and find that it supports Mr. Grant's conclusion.

No. 88. ORDER THEREON, 7th October 1863, No. 1,837.

1. Sanction is accordingly granted, under the usual terms, for the defence of the Suit at the Public cost.

2. The attention of the Board of Revenue will be called to the mass of unnecessary printing in their Proceedings above recorded.

(Signed) J. D. SIM,
Secretary to Government.

No. 6,157.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 29th September 1863.

Read the following letter from P. GRANT, Esq., Collector of Coimbatore, to W. HUDLESTON, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated 17th September 1863, No. 167 :—

1. I have the honor to forward copy of a letter from the Principal Sadder Ameen of Coimbatore dated 1st May 1863, No. 9, together with translation of a Plaint preferred in that Court by Sambatoo Vetil Naraina Munnady and two others of the Malabar District, against myself as Collector of this District and 116 others, Defendants, for the recovery of a certain tract of land situated in this District, but which they claim as belonging to their Jenmum.

2. The points dwelt on by the Plaintiffs, may be summed up as follows :—

1stly. That certain lands in the villages of Ettimaday and Teroomaliempolliem in Coimbatore Taluk, formerly formed a portion of the Malabar District, and were held by the ancestors of the 1st and 2nd Plaintiffs on Jenmum tenure according to the practice observed in the above province.

2ndly. That one Coonjachoo Munnady, who was the head and managing member of the family to which the 1st and 2nd Plaintiffs belong, mortgaged the above lands to Gopana Munnady of Poravipolliem, the father of the 1st Defendant, in July 1830 for 5,250 Fanams, equivalent to Rupees 1,500, and executed a Bond to that effect.

3rdly. That about 20 years ago the lands in question were transferred from Malabar to Coimbatore District, and that the remonstrances of the members of the family of the 1st two Plaintiffs against such transfer were not listened to by the Collectors of the two Districts, as well as by the Board of Revenue and Government.

4thly. That in consequence of this transfer the 1st and 2nd Plaintiffs were prevented from enjoying their Jenmum rights in the lands in question, and that, in the meantime, the Defendants Nos. 2 to 116 got Puttahs for the land in their names, and are now in the enjoyment of the same.

5thly. That during the last two years the 1st and 2nd Plaintiffs have been asking the 1st Defendant to make over the lands to them, but without success.

6thly. That the 3rd Plaintiff has purchased from the 1st and 2nd Plaintiffs their right in one-half of the lands alluded to in the plaint.

3. With respect to the first point in the plaint, I beg to submit that the locality alluded to is known by the name of Ambalaparay Puddy or Ambalatoray Puddy. It never formed a portion of the Malabar District as asserted by the Plaintiffs; on the contrary the fact that the land has appertained to the Coimbatore District is traced over a period of 70 years. First. The Walliar river is considered as the natural boundary of the two Districts Malabar and Coimbatore, and the land sued for is situated on its eastern bank. Secondly. In the Survey Map of this District and in Arrowsmith's Atlas Map the locality is laid down within the limits of the Coimbatore District. Thirdly. It is entered in the Ayacut account of Ettimaday and Teroomaliempolliem villages of this District. Under such circumstances the assertion of the Plaintiffs that the tract of lands alluded to, was the Jenmum property of the family of the 1st and 2nd Plaintiffs, cannot be true.

4. Adverting to the second point, it is to be remarked that so far back as 1820 or ten years previous to the time in which the land in question is said to have been mortgaged to the 1st Defendant's father Gopana Munnady, he the said Gopana Munnady presented a motion in the Zillah Court of Coimbatore to the effect that the lands referred to belonged to his Poliput. How then in the face of this fact can it be said that he got them on mortgage from Coonjachoo Munnady.

5. Adverting to the third and fourth points, it is to be observed that the assertion of the Plaintiffs that about twenty years ago the lands in dispute were transferred from Malabar to Coimbatore is groundless. They have formed a part of the Coimbatore District, since the time of Tippoo Sultan. From the date of the assumption of this Province by the British Government in Fasli 1209 up to Fasli 1219, these lands were leased out by the Coimbatore authorities on grass tenure. Their assessment, as well as the tax on the Tamarind trees situated on them, was collected by the Coimbatore authorities, and carried to the credit of Government in the accounts of this Collectorate. During the above period, the lands in question were covered with jungle. In Fasli 1249, the Coimbatore Ryots made a Durkhast to bring a portion of them under cultivation Gopana Munnady, the Poligar of Poravipolliem in Coimbatore District, and the father of the 1st Defendant, then preferred a claim to them, with some other adjacent tracts, as belonging to his Poliput. Mr. Babington, the then Acting Principal Collector of this District, made them over to the Poligar ; but subsequently Mr. Drury, the permanent Collector, on his return to his Office, having instituted a careful scrutiny into the records, and caused a correct measurement of the localities to be made, found that the tracts claimed by the Poligar did not form part of his Poliput, but belonged to the Government villages of Ettimaday and Teroomaliempolliem, and accordingly resumed them. During the progress of the measurement, one Sambatoo Vetil Coonjachoo Munnady of Malabar preferred a claim to 664 $\frac{1}{16}$ Bullahs of Punjah and 45 $\frac{1}{16}$ Kanis of Nunjah land in the locality known as Ambalaparay or Ambalatoray Paddy, on the plea that they formed part of his Jenmum property, but his claim was found untenable, and he was referred to the established Court to seek redress, *vide*, correspondence noted in the margin. The ejection of Gopana Munnady's claim was fully reported to the Board, and approved of by them, *vide* the marginal correspondence. The Coimbatore Ryots, who had applied for the lands, were allowed to cultivate them, and they have ever since held Puttahs for them from the Coimbatore authorities.

1. From the Collector of Malabar to the Collector of Coimbatore, dated 9th August 1843, No. 993, paragraph 3.
1 From Board to the Collector of Malabar, dated 30th September 1844.

1 Vide report to Board of Revenue, 9th October 1840.
1 From do. 5th April 1841, paragraph 5.
1 To do. 5th January 1849, No. 6.
1 From do. 5th February 1849.

6. The fifth point requires no remark.

7. With regard to the sixth point the purchase by the 3rd Plaintiff of half the land sued for from the 1st and 2nd Plaintiffs is simply an expedient to entitle him to sue in his own name ; he is the real mover in the suit, and provides the ways and means.

8. Having thus remarked on each of the points noticed in the plaint, I beg to submit a draft of a Statement to be presented to the Principal Sudder Ameen as enjoined in Section 120 of Act VIII of 1859, and request the Board's approval of the same, and their sanction to defend the suit at the cost of Government.

9. The time fixed for the hearing of the suit, viz., 27th of August, being found insufficient to admit of the preparation of the statement and a reference to Board, the Court on my application has extended the term to 31st of October next.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.

From ABDUL RYMAN, Principal Sudder Ameen, to P. GRANT, Esq, Collector of Coimbatore, dated 1st May 1863.

I have the honor to bring to your notice that a suit has been filed in my Court in No. 6 of 1863, by Sambatoo Vetil Naraina Munnady and others, Plaintiffs, against Gopana Munnady residing at Poravipolliem and others, Defendants, a list of whom is hereunto annexed ; and that the Plaintiffs have also included you in the suit, the particulars of which are hereunder specified. I beg to request you will be pleased to direct a Pleader of the Court to appear in this Court on the 27th day of August next, at ten o'clock in the forenoon, duly instructed, and able to answer all material questions relating to the suit, or who shall be accompanied by some other person able to answer all such questions, to answer the said Plaintiffs and for the settlement of the issues ; and I also beg to bring to your notice that in default of your Pleader's appearance on the day before mentioned, the Suit will be heard and determined in your absence, and you will be pleased to send by your Agent any document on which you intend to rely in support of your defence.

For possession of and Puttah for 477 $\frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{1}{8}$, $\frac{1}{32}$ Bullahs of Malguzary Punjah land, yielding annually Rupees 4,776-9-0, and 4 $\frac{3}{4}$, $\frac{1}{16}$, $\frac{1}{64}$ Kanis of Malguzary Nunjah land, yielding annually Rupees 60-5-7 with tank, well, &c., thereon situated, valued at Rupees 2,420, without the 117th Defendant's objection.

(Signed). ABDUL RYMAN, Principal Sudder Ameen.
(True Copy.)
(Signed) P. GRANT, Collector.

L. S.

ENCLOSURE No. 2.

Original Suit No. 6 *of* 1863.

Plaintiffs.

1. Sambatoo Vetil Naraina Munnady, residing at Oorapully in the Paulghaut Taluk Zillah Calicut, Caste Nair, profession husbandry.
2. Soobba Munnadichar, residing at the said place, and the said caste, and profession.
3. Cuttoyauttoo Govinda Menon, residing at Beypoor Taluk and Zillah Calicut.

Defendants.

1. Capana Munnady, residing at Poravipolliem in the Pullachy Taluk, Zillah Coimbatore.
2. Gaunduppan, residing at Coombuttypady in the Coimbatore Taluk.
3. Teroomonchetty, residing in the above village and Taluk.
4. Mootton, residing in the above village and Taluk.
5. Chinnuppa Gounden, residing in the above village and Taluk.
6. Goongoode Boyen, residing at Manloomauchumputty in Coimbatore Taluk.
7. Maunikum Chetty, residing at Tuttamungalum in the Chittoor Taluk, in Cochin Territory.
8. Comarasawmy Gounden, residing at Coombattypady in the Coimbatore Taluk.
9. Chinnatumby Gounden, residing at Cannyampolliem in the Coimbatore Taluk.
10. Runghee Chetty *alias* Rungasawmy Chetty, residing at Coombattypady in the Coimbatore Taluk.
11. Nautchear Ummaul, residing at do. do.
12. Danarandy Chetty, residing at do. do.
13. Palany Gounden, residing at Teroomaliem polliem in the above Taluk.
14. Senamalay Gounden, residing at Teroomaliempolliem in the Coimbatore Taluk.
15. Ramasawmy Chetty, residing at do. do.
16. Ramasawmy Chetty, residing at do. do.
17. Soobbee Chetty, residing at do. do.
18. Auroomoogum, residing at Nulliapully in the Chittoor Taluk in the Cochin Territory.
19. Linga Gounden, residing at Coombattypady in the Coimbatore Taluk.
20. Seerunga Chetty, residing at Valookalore in the Coimbatore Taluk.
21. Iya Gounden, residing at Tumbagoundanoor in do.
22. Kempuckaul, residing at Coombattypady in do.
23. Ramasawmy Chetty, residing at Nulliapully in the Chittoor Taluk in the Cochin Territory.
24. Cooppon Chetty, residing at Valookalore in the Coimbatore Taluk.
25. Comauly Chetty, residing at do. in do.
26. Meerancootty, residing at do. in do.
27. Palanyappon, residing at Coombattypady in do.

28. Palany Chetty, residing at Cusbah Coimbatore.
29. Chinna Chetty, residing at do.
30. Vellay Chetty, residing at Nulliapully in the Chittoor Taluk in the Province of Cochin.
31. Colundavaloo Chetty, residing at Cusbah Coimbatore.
32. Ramasamien, residing at Nulliapully in the Chittoor Taluk in the Cochin Province.
33. Caumyen, residing at Valookalore in Coimbatore Taluk.
34. Palanyappon, residing at do. in do.
35. Cooppon, residing at do. in do.
36. Lutchoomanaiken, residing at do. in do.
37. Teroomalay, residing at Valookalore in Coimbatore Taluk.
38. Vengata Rama Chetty, residing at Coombattypady in do.
39. Soobbee Chetty, residing at Valookalore in the Coimbatore Taluk.
40. Mooroogun, residing at do. in do.
41. Comarun Chetty, residing at Valemtanalum in the Chittoor Taluk in the Cochin Province.
42. Caryan Chetty, residing at Valookalore in the Coimbatore Taluk.
43. Comarun Chetty, residing at do. in do.
44. Solluppa Gounden, residing at Coombattypady in do.
45. Davarandy Chetty, residing at do. in do.
46. Sella Pillay, residing at Coombutty and in Coimbatore Taluk.
47. Rama Gounden, residing at Cundagoundenchalay in do.
48. Kauder Sahaib, residing at Poodoocherry in the Paulghaut Taluk.
49. Mootton Chetty, residing at Coombattypady in the Coimbatore Taluk.
50. Mootton Chetty, residing at do. in do.
51. Sawmy Mudali, residing at do. in do.
52. Moottoosamy Gounden, residing at Cooppandagoundanoor in do.
53. Annoomunda Gounden, residing at do in do.
54. Palanyandy Pillay, residing at Cusbah Chittoor in the Cochin Province.
55. Sooriam Pillay, residing at Poodoor in Coimbatore Taluk.
56. Teroopady Boyen, residing at Pitchunchettypoodoor in do.
57. Veeruppa Oodian, residing at do. in do.
58. Palanyandy Pillay, residing at do in do.
59. Veeruppa Pillay, residing at Chittoor Taluk in the Cochin Province.
60. Oongaly Ummaul, residing at Pitchunchettypoodoor in the Coimbatore Taluk.
61. Sunkralingum Pillay, residing at Cusbah Coimbatore.
62. Gooroona Boyen, residing at Pitchunchettypoodooor in the Coimbatore Taluk.
63. Royaul, residing at Corymenonpoodoor in the Chittoor Taluk.
64. Royackaul, residing at Pitchun chetty poodoor in the Coimbatore Taluk.
65. Moottoo Gounden, residing at do. in do.
66. Paroomaul, residing at do. in do.
67. Iyah Gounden, residing at Tumbagoundanpolliem in do.
68. Soobbee Chetty, residing at Valookalore in do.
69. Iyahvoo, residing at Putchpolliem in do.
70. Palanysawy Gounden, residing at do in do.
71. Carooppauyee, residing at Pitchunchettypoodoor in do.
72. Iyavoo, residing at do. in do.
73. Carooppon.
74. Veeroomagherry.
75. Roya Gounden, residing at Pitchunchettypoodoor in Coimbatore Taluk.
76. Kempackaul, residing at Coombattypady in do.
77. Pitchoo Oodier, residing at Porpully and Colootoor in do.
78. Linga Gounden, residing at Cusbah Coimbatore.
79. Edoomba Gounden, residing at Cusbah Coimbatore.
80. Nunjay Gounden, brother of 79th Defendant.
81. Coopponun, residing at Cusbah Coimbatore.
82. Saloovaryen, residing at Nullore in the Chittoor Taluk in the Cochin Province.
83. Canyautchon, residing at Pitchunchettypoodoor in Coimbatore Taluk.
84. Singarana Boyen, residing at do. in do.
85. Coottyanna Mudali, residing at Chettypolliem in do.
86. Iyanna Mudali, residing at Annimalay in the Palachy Taluk.
87. Chinna Boyen, residing at Moolootooray Chorumboy in the Chittoor Taluk in the Cochin Province.
88. Marooda Mudali, residing at Pitchunchettypoodoor in Coimbatore Taluk.
89. Timma Boyen, residing at do. in do.
90. Chicka Gounden, residing at Cusbah Coimbatore.
91. Cunduppa Chetty, residing at do.
92. Roodrachetty, residing at Cambattypady in do.
93. Cootty Chetty, residing at do.
94. Iyavoo Chetty, residing at do.
95. DavarandyChetty, residing at do.
96. Lutchoomon, residing at Cusbah Coimbatore.
97. Tundoovandan, residing at Pitchunchettypoodoor in do.
98. Chinna Boyen, residing at Valundavalam in the Chittoor Taluk in the Cochin Province.
99. Ramaboyen, residing at Moolatooraycheramby in the Chittoor Taluk in the Province of Cochin.
100. Royen, residing at Pooliacolum in Coimbatore Taluk.
101. Chinna Boyen, residing at Paulatoray in Coimbatore Taluk.
102. Iyasawmy Pillay, residing at Coimbatore.
103. Goundappa Gounden, residing at Pitchun chetty poodoor in do.
104. Raja Gounden, residing at Colyuchamparay in the Chittoor Taluk in the Cochin Province.
105. Selluppa Gounden, residing at do. in do.
106. Thoddaraya Chetty, residing at do. in do.
107. Vellay Chetty, residing at do. in do.
108. Tavasagayem, residing at do. in do.
109. Palanyandy, residing at Valookalore in Coimbatore Taluk.
110. Swamy Mudali, residing at do in do.
111. Cooppanda Gounden, residing at Pitchunchettypoodoor in the Coimbatore Taluk.
112. Soobbaravoo, residing at Cusbah Coimbatore.
113. Moorooga Mudali, residing at Valookalore in Coimbatore Taluk.
114. Comarasamy Chetty, residing at Valuntanalum in the Chittoor Taluk in the Province of Cochin.
115. Masunnon, residing at Putchapolliem in the Coimbatore Taluk.
116. Runghee Chetty, residing at Coombattypady in do.
117. P. Grant, Esq., Collector of the Zillah of Coimbatore.

COIMBATORE, 1st May 1863. (Signed) ABDUL RAHIMAN SAIB, Principal Sudder Amin.

(True Copy.)

(Signed) P. GRANT, Collecter.

ENCLOSURE No. 3.

Translated copy of a Plaint preferred to the Principal Sudder Amin's Court at Coimbatore by Vencataramiah, a Vakeel of the Court on behalf of Sambatoo Vetil Naraina Munnady, aged 28 years, Seva religion, by caste Naymar, by profession Jenmy cultivator, residing in Cusbah Poorapully of Poorapully Umshum of Paulghaut Taluk in the

Zillah of Calicut; Soobba Munnadichiar, aged 51 years, of the same caste, profession, and place; and Cattiatta Govinda Menon, aged 49 years, of the same caste and profession, and residing at Beypoor Umshom in Calicut Taluk, against Gopana Munnadiar, Zemindar of Poravipolliem in Pullachy Taluk in Coimbatore District, aged 24 years, Seva religion, by profession cultivator.... 1

Coundappon, residing at Commatupaddy Teroomaliempolliem village in Coimbatore, by profession cultivator, Anoopa caste, aged 35 years... 2

Teroomum Chetty, residing at Commatupaddy Teroomalliempolliem village in Coimbatore, by caste Telinga Chetty, by profession cultivator, aged 32 years... 3

Moottun, residing at do. do., profession and caste do. aged 35 years... ... 4

Chinnapa Gounden, residing at do. do., by caste Anoopa, by profession cultivator, aged 28 years... 5

Goongoodi Boyen, residing at Maloomachoomputty of the above Taluk, by caste Telinga Chetty, by profession cultivator, aged 25 years... 6

Manicka Chetty, residing at Puttamungalum, by caste and profession do., aged 25 years... 7

Comarasawmy Gounden, residing at Commatumpady, by caste Poolsoova, by profession do., aged 35 years... 8

Chinnatumbee Gounden, residing at Caniempolliem in do., of the above do. do., aged 25 years... 9

Runghee Chetty *alias* Rungasamy Chetty, residing at Commatumpady in do., by caste Telinga Chetty, by profession do., aged 55 years... 10

Nachar Ummaul, residing at do. by profession do., aged 40 years... ... 11

Davarandi Chetty, residing at do. by do., aged 32 years... 12

Palani Gounden, residing at Teroomalliempolliem in Coimbatore Taluk, by caste Vellalar, by profession cultivator, aged 31 years... 13

Sevamalai Gounden, residing at do., by caste and profession do., aged 16 years... ... 14

Ramasamy Chetty, son of Vadama Chetty, residing at do., by caste Conga Chetty, by profession do., aged 23 years... 15

Ramasamy Chetty, residing at Commatampady, caste and profession do, aged 15 years ... 16

Soobbe Chetty, residing at do., caste and profession do., aged 18 years... ... 17

Vadiar Aroomoogam, residing at Nulliapully in Chittoor Taluk in Cochin Circar, by caste Cholea Vellalar, by profession do., aged 32 years... 18

Lingia Gounden, residing at Commatampady, Okalia caste, profession cultivator, aged 25 years... 19

Seerunga Chetty, residing at Teroomaliempolliem village, caste Telinga Chetty, profession do., aged 30 years... 20

Iya Gounden, residing at Tumbagoundonpolliem village in Coimbatore Taluk, by caste Vellalar, profession do., aged 44 years... 21

Kempuckaul, residing at Commatampady, by caste Okalia, profession do., aged 62 years... 22

Ramasamy Chetty, son of ——, residing at Nulliapully, Telinga Chetty caste, by profession do., aged 22 years... 23

Coopana Chetty, residing at Valakaloor, caste do., profession do., aged 28 years..... 24

Co.nanly Chetty, residing at Valakaloor, caste Telinga Chetty, by profession do., aged 25 years... 25

Meerungooty, residing at do., caste Musselman, profession do., aged 42 years... ... 26

Vadeer Palaniappan, residing at do., by caste Sooliar Vellar, profession do, aged 35 years. 27

Palani Chetty, residing at Cusbah Coimbatore, by caste Telinga Chetty, by profession do., aged 55 years... 28

Chinna Chetty, residing at do., by caste do., by profession do., aged 29 years ... 29

Vella Chetty, residing at Nulliapully, by caste do., by profession do., aged 75 years... 30

Colundavala Chetty, residing at Cusbah Coimbatore, by caste Vania, by profession do., aged 33 years... 31

Ramasamien, son of Padmanabien, residing at Nulliapally, by caste Bramin, by profession do., aged 22 years... 32

Camien, residing at Valakaloor, by caste Telinga Chetty, by profession do., aged 38 years. 33

Palamappen, residing at Valakaloor, by caste Telinga Chetty, by profession cultivator, aged 25 years... 34

Cooppen, residing at do, caste do., profession do., aged 35 years... 35

Lutchma Naiken, residing at do. by caste washerman, by profession do, aged 65 years... 36

Terromalay, residing at do., caste do., profession do., aged 18 years... 37

Vencatarama Chetty, son of Roodra Chetty, residing at Commatapady, by caste Davanegum, by profession do., aged 25 years... 38

Soobbu Chetty, residing at Valakaloor, by caste do., by profession do., aged 28 years... 39

Mooroogam, residing at do., by caste Kicolar, by profession do., aged 45 years... 40

Comara Chetty, residing at Velam Tavalum, Chittoor Taluk, by profession do., by caste Telinga Chetty, aged 30 years... 41

Carian Chetty, residing at Valakaloor, by caste do., by profession do., aged 70 years... 42

Comara Chetty, residing at do., by caste do., by profession do., aged 40 years... 43

Solamu Gounden, residing at Coomatapady, by caste Okaliar, by profession cultivator, aged 34 years... 44

Davarandy Chetty, residing at do., by caste Telinga Chetty, by profession do., aged 32 years. 45

Sella Pillay, residing at do. by caste Okaliar, by profession do., aged 25 years... 46

Rama Gounden, residing at Candagounden Shalay Poodoor village in Coimbatore Taluk, by caste Vellalar, by profession do., aged 35 years... 47

Cader Saib, residing at Poodoochary in Paulghaut Taluk, by profession do., aged 38 years ... 48

Moottana Chetty, residing at Commatapady, by caste Telinga Chetty, by profession do., aged 38 years... 49

Moottun Chetty, residing at Commatapady, caste Telinga Chetty, by profession cultivator, aged 40 years... 50

Samy Mudali, residing at Valakaloor, by caste Kikalar, by profession do., aged 28 years... ... 51

Mootoosamy Gounden, residing at Cooppundagounden in Teroomalliempolliem, by caste Vellalur, by profession do., aged 35 years 52

Anoomanda Gounden, residing at do., by caste do., by profession do., aged 40 years 53

Palaniandy Pillay, residing at Kusbah Chittoor in Chittoor Taluk, caste Pillay, by profession do., aged 50 years... 54

Sooriam Pillay, residing at do., and Sooriampolliem Poodoor, a hamlet of Yettimaday in Coimbatore Taluk, caste do., profession do., aged 50 years... 55

Teroopaly Boyen, residing at Pichanchettypoodoor, a hamlet of Yettumaday in do., by caste Odder, by profession do., aged 50 years 56

Veerappa Odiar, residing at Calatoor, a hamlet of do., by caste Nuttum Odiar, by profession do., aged 45 years... 57

Palaniandy Pillay, father-in-law of Soorium Pillay, residing at do. and Chittoor, by caste do., by profession do., aged 35 years... 58

Veerabuddra Pillay, residing at Chittoor, by caste do. by profession do., aged 40 years... ... 59

Oongaliammal, residing at Pichanchettypoodoor, by caste Odder, by profession cultivator, aged 50 years... 60

Senkaralingum Pillay, residing in the Fort at Coimbatore, by caste Pillay, by profession do., aged 50 years... 61

Gooroova Boyen, residing at Pichanchettypoodoor, by caste Odder, by profession do., aged 45 years... 62

Royen, residing at do. and Goorimenonpoodoor in Chittoor Taluk, by caste Okaliar, by profession do., aged 45 years... 63

Royackal residing at Pichanchettypoodoor, by caste Pooloova, by profession do., aged 40 years... 64
Mootoo Gounden, residing at do., by caste do., by profession do., aged 5 years... 65
Parooman, residing at Pichandypoodoor, by caste Odder, by profession cultivator, aged 30 years 66
Iya Gounden, residing at Timbagoundenpolliem, by caste Vellalar, by profession do., aged 45 years... 67
Soobbu Chetty, residing at Valakaloor, by profession do., aged 30 years... ... 68
Appavoo, residing at Puchapolliem Naclupolliem village in Coimbatore Taluk, by caste Okaliar, by profession do., aged 40 years... 69
Palanysamy Gounden, residing at do., by caste do., by profession do., aged 40 years. 70
Carooppayee, residing at Pichanchettypoodoor, by caste Nuttam, Odiar by profession do., aged 70 years.. 71
Iyavoo, residing at do. by caste Chuckler, by profession do., aged 30 years... ... 72
Carooppen, aged 12 years... 73
Veeroomagherrry, aged 10 years... 74
Roya Gounden, residing at Pichanchettypoodoor, by caste Okaliar, by profession cultivator, aged 45 years... 75
Kempackal, residing at Coomattapady, by caste do., by profession do., aged 80 years... 76
Picha Odian, residing at Poorapully and Calattoor, by caste Nuttum Oodiar, by profession do., aged 50 years... 77
Linga Gounden, residing at Coimbatore, by caste Okaliar, by profession do., aged 50 years. 78
Yeedoomba Gounden, residing at do., by caste do., by profession do., aged 45 years... 79
Nunjappa Gounden, younger brother of do., aged 38 years... 80
Cooppannan, residing at do., by caste do., by profession do., aged 35 years... ... 81
Chelcovarayen, residing at Nalloor in Chittoor Taluk, by caste Velloova, by profession cultivator, aged 40 years... 82
Cauly Achan, residing at Pichanchettypoodoor, by caste Odder, by profession do., aged 45 years... 83
Sengooroo Boyen, residing at do., by caste do., by profession do., aged 35 years. 84
Cootiana Mudali, residing at Chettipolliem in Coimbatore Taluk, by caste Tonda Mundala Vellalar, by profession do., aged 50 years... 85
Iyana Mudali, residing at Anamallies in the above Taluk, by caste do., by profession do., aged 25 years... 86
Chinna Boyen, residing at Muttoo Pillay Mooldtomy Selamby in Chittoor Taluk, by caste Odder, by profession cultivator, aged 50 years... 87
Murooda Mudali, residing at Pichanchettypoodoor, by caste Kicola, by profession do., aged 45 years... 88
Timma Boyen, residing at do., by caste Odder, by profession do., aged 50 years... ... 89
Cheeka Gounden, residing at Coimbatore, by caste Okaliar, by profession do., aged 45 years. 90
Cundappa Chetty, son of Palany Appachetty, residing at do. by do. Vellan Chetty by profession do.. aged 30 years.... 91
Roodra Chetty, residing at Coommatapady, by caste Devangum, by profession do, aged 50 years... 92
Cooti Chetty, residing at Commatapady, by caste Devangum, by profession cultivator, aged 40 years 93
Appavoo Chetty, residing at do., by caste do., by profession do., aged 40 years.... ... 94
Davarandi Chetty, residing at do., by caste Telinga Chetty, by profession do., aged 40 years. 95
Lutchoeman residing at Coimbatore, by caste Okaliar, by profession do., aged 30 years. ... 96
Sundoovadun, residing at Pichanchettypoodoor, by caste Odder, by profession do., aged 32 years. 97
Chenna Boyen residing at Velan Tavalum, by caste Odder, by profession do., aged 30 years.... 98
Rama Boyen, residing at Muttoopillay Moolatomy Selumby, by caste do., by profession do., aged 50 years. 99
Royan, residing at Poolicolum in Coimbatore Taluk, by caste Puller, by profession cultivator, aged 45 years. 100
Chenna Boyen, residing at Palatoray in the above Taluk, by caste Odder, by profession do., aged 40 years.... 101
Negapatam Appasawmy Pillay, residing in the Fort of Coimbatore, by caste Pillay, by profession cultivator, aged 45 years.... 102
Coundappa Gounden, residing at Coolinjamparay in Chittoor Taluk and Pichanchettypoodoor, by caste Vellalar, by profession do., aged 45 years 103
Rausa Gounden, residing at Cooluyamparay, by caste do., by profession do., aged 40 years ... 104
Sellappa Gounden, residing at do. by caste do., by profession do., aged 50 years... ... 105
Dodda Roya Chetty, residing at Cooluyamparay, by caste Davanga, aged 40 years 106
Vellay Chetty, residing at do., by profession do., aged 50 years 107
Dyvasagaam, residing at do., by caste Vaneya, by profession do., aged 35 years... ... 108
Palanyandy, residing at Valakaloor, by caste Sanar, by profession do., aged 35 years... ... 109
Samy Mudali, residing at do., by caste Kicala, by profession do., aged 25 years 110
Cooppunda Gounden, residing at Pichanchettypoodoor, by caste Okaliar, by profession do., aged 35 years 111
Soobba Row, residing at Coimbatore, by caste Bramin, profession do., aged 35 years ... 112
Moorooga Mudali, residing at Palakaloor, by caste Kicala, by profession do., aged 45 years 113
Comarasamy Chetty *alias* Vutta Chetty, residing at Vailam Tavalum, by caste Telinga Chetty, by profession do., aged 35 years 114
Masannan, residing at Puchapolliem, by caste Okaliar, by profession do , aged 40 years ... 115
Rungee Chetty, residing at Coommalapady, by caste Telinga Chetty, by profession do., aged 55 years 116
P. Grant, Esq., Collector of the Zillah of Coimbatore 117

The landed property below specified and situated in the villages of Teroomaliempolliem and Yettimaday, measuring from east to west 5,284 rods (each being equivalent to 6 feet in length), and from north to south 2,925 rods was the Jenmum property of the two first Plaintiffs according to the usage of the Malabar Province. One Coonjachoo Munnadiar, who was the chief and managing member of the family to which the 1st and 2nd Plaintiffs belong, mortgaged the above land to Gopana Munnadiar, the father of the 1st Defendant in the month of Audy of the Collam year 1006, corresponding with the month of July 1830, for 5,250 Poodoo Fanams, equal to 1,500 Rupees and executed a Bond to that effect. Both Coonjachoo Munnadiar and Gopana Munnadiar died, the former about 20 years ago, and the latter about 15 years ago. The 1st Plaintiff is the present principal surviving male member of the family, and the 2nd Plaintiff is the female member, and both are the heirs to the Estate of the late Coonjachoo Munnady. The 1st Defendant is the son and heir of the late Gopanna Munnady. At the time of mortgage the properties were attached to the District of Calicut; about 20 years ago they were transferred by Circar to the Coimbatore District. Against this transfer the members of the family of the first two Plaintiffs, as well as these two Plaintiffs themselves, complained to the then Collectors of the two Districts to the Board of Revenue and the Government, but no proper order was passed by them on the subject. This transfer took place owing to the neglect on the part of the father of the 1st Defendant, and consequently the 1st and 2nd Plaintiffs were prevented from enjoying their right to the lands on the Jenmum principle as observed in the Malabar District. Meantime the Defendants Nos. 2 to 116 got Puttahs issued in their names for the lands in question as particularized below, and are enjoying the same.

During the last two years, the 1st and 2nd Plaintiffs unfortunately asked the 1st Defendant to give up the lands to them, but he has not done so.

The 1st and 2nd Plaintiffs with the consent of the other parties concerned, have sold to the 3rd Plaintiff one-half of their Jenmum lands referred to, and the 3rd Plaintiff is prepared to repay the mortgage money. This suit is therefore brought forward with a view that the Jenmum right of the Plaintiffs to the immovable property in question may be confirmed without the 117th Defendant's objection, and Puttahs issued accordingly; that the right of occupancy of the Defendant, Nos. 2 to 116 to the land in litigation may be cancelled, and that the Plaintiffs may be put in possession of their property and Puttahs issued in their names.

Description of the lands at the Village of Teroomalienpolliem in Coimbato e Taluk situated to the east of Walai river, to the west of Commatampady, to the south of Vadachary Munnudiar's Pady and to the north of the Cochin boundary.

No.	Particulars of the fields.	Extent in Bullahs. Full Bullahs.	Fractions of a Bullah.	Assessment. RS.	A.	P.
1	Punjah land Manul, 2nd Class south Maliangadoo, No. 214, held by the 2nd Defendant on Puttah No. 28... ...	4	5	2	10	3	4
2	Do. do. No. 214 held by do. on do. No. 231, Padygay 2nd class...	1	8	0	5	11	8
3	Do. Maroodamaramcadoo Manul 2nd class, No. 392, held by the 3rd Defendant on Puttiem, No. 34.....	0	1	3	0	4	1
4	Do. do. No. 392, held by do. on No. 4 on do. No. 146	2	0	0	4	11	3
5	Do. Ambala Pagulurcadoo Manul, 2nd class, No. 189, held by the 5th do. on do. No. 35...	3	10	0	8	8	3
6	Portion of do. called Comboo Caren Padoogay, 2nd class...	0	10	0	2	6	1
7	Moniappen Covil Cadoo Manul, 1st class Punjah... ...	0	6	1	1	5	11
8	Maroodamarum Cadoo Padoogay, 2nd class Punjah, No. 195...	0	6	2	1	8	10
9	Vengai Maracadoo Padoogay do. 2nd do. No. 196...	0	9	3	2	4	7
10	Collungadoo Saralay Padoogay, 1st class, No. 199 Punjah.	0	12	3	2	1	7
11	Portion of do. 2nd do. do.	0	8	1	1	8	4
12	Saralay Calloocadoo Manul, 1st class Punjah, No. 184, held the 6th Defendant on Puttah No. 74, but in the actual occupancy of the 7th Defendant	2	7	0	8	10	6
13	Tadasamarum Cadoo Sengarasel Punjah, 2nd class, No. 181, held by the 8th and 9th Defendants on Puttah No. 79...	0	14	0	3	13	7
14	Punjah land 1st class, No. 188, situated north of a well...	0	11	0	2	6	9
15	Portion of do. Manul 2nd class...	1	1	3	2	9	11
16	Olavamaram Cadoo Manul 2nd class Punjah, No. 186, held by the 10th Defendant on do., No. 87	0	2	0	0	4	8
	Total... ...	20	2	2	58	2	4
17	Cariacaly Cadoo Padoogay Punjah 2nd class, No. 182, held by the 13th and 14th Defendants, and late Vadaman Chetty the father of the 15th Defendant on Puttah No. 63. and now in the actual occupancy of the 10th Defendant ...	1	9	0	5	15	5
18	Calcottoo Cadoo Saralay Punjah 2nd class, No. 204, held by the 10th Defendant on do., No. 213...	0	8	3	0	15	5
19	Collangadoo Saralay Punjah 2nd sort, No. 206... ...	0	2	0	0	3	6
20	Cottoocadoo Padoogay 2nd do., No. 211..	0	4	0	0	15	3
21	Yalavamaram Cadoo Manul Punjah 2nd class, No 205, held by do., on do., No. 213, but in the actual occupancy of the 31st Defendant...	5	0	0	11	12	0
22	Vengamaram cadoo Padoogay Punjah 2nd class, No. 181, held by 11th and 12th Defendants on Puttah, No. 36, now in the occupancy of the 10th Defendant... ...	2	10	0	10	0	5
23	Vaittoo cadoo Paddoogay Punjah 2nd class, No. 228, held by 16th Defendant on Puttah No. 137 now in the occupancy of the 10th Defendant...	1	6	0	4	15	6
24	Sungatoray Manul Punjah 2nd class, No. 222, held by 17th Defendant on Puttah No. 10 now in the occupancy of do.	1	1	2	2	9	1
25	Portion of do., do., 2nd do. held by do., on do., No. 232 now in do. of 10th do...	2	10	0	10	0	4

Description of lands in the Village of Teroomaliempolliem, &c. (*Continued*).

No.	Particulars of the fields.	Extent in Bullahs. Full Bullahs.	Fractions of a Bullah.	Assessment. RS.	A.	P.
26	Velanagamaram Cadoo Manul Punjah 2nd class, No. 242, held by 16th Defendant on Puttah No. 228 now do. of the 10th do.	0	2	0	0	7	1
27	Cachicaltoomaram Cadoo Punjah Manul, 1st sort Punjah, No. 243	0	7	0	1	8	8
28	Arunbucadoo Cadoo Punjah Padoogay 2nd sort, No. 210, held by the 18th Defendant on Puttah No. 233 now in the occupancy of the 10th Defendant	1	4	0	4	12	2
	Total... ...	37	2	3	112	5	2
29	Do. do. Padoogay 2nd sort Punjah now in the occupancy of the 36th and 37th Defendants...	1	0	0	3	12	7
30	Do. do. do. 2nd do. now in do. of the 39th Defendant.....	0	4	0	0	15	0
31	Do. do. do. 2nd do. in do. of 51st do... ...	3	14	2	14	5	5
32	Vellay Nagamaramcadoo Padoogay Punjah 1st sort, No. 238, held by the 19th Defendant on Puttah No. 141... ...	0	4	0	0	14	1
33	Salamadacadoo Padoogay Punjah 2nd class, No. 237... ...	0	13	1	3	2	7
34	Arascunaracadoo Punjah Manul 2nd class, No. 387... ...	1	0	0	2	5	7
35	Portion of do. Manul 2nd class...	0	5	0	0	11	9
36	Valamaracadoo Punjah Manul 1st sort, No. 234, held by the above Defendant on Puttiem No. 217...	0	10	3	2	5	11
37	Paricadoo Padigay Punjah Manul 2nd class No. 235. ...	0	6	0	1	6	11
38	Moochamaracadoo Padigay Punjah 2nd class, No. 221, situated north of the road held by the 30th Defendant on Puttah No. 132...	6	11	0	25	11	5
39	Neelycadoo Manul Punjah 2nd Class No. 315.	0	8	0	1	2	10
40	Moochimaramcadoo Punjah Manul 2nd class, No. 221. ...	5	5	2	12	8	11
41	Soongatoravcadoo Padigay Punjah 2nd class No 222, held by the 17th Defendant on Puttah No. 232 now in the occupancy of 20th Defendant...	4	2	0	15	12	0
42	Saroomamanday Cadoo Punjah Manul 2nd sort No. 366, held by the 21st and 31st Defendants on Puttah No. 184 now in the occupancy of do.	5	0	0	11	12	0
43	Do. do., No. 366 held by the 21st Defendant on do. No. 2 11 now in the occupancy of do. ...	5	0	0	11	12	0
44	Do. do. No. 369, occupied by the 25th do. ...	5	0	0	11	12	0
45	Do. 2nd do. No. 369, occupied by the 52nd do...	10	0	0	23	8	0
		87	6	3	256	14	2
46	Poovate Maracadoo Padigay Punjah 2nd do., No. 226, held by deceased Timme Chetty, the father of the 23rd Defendant on do. No. 133 now in the occupancy of the 24th do.	1	12	0	6	11	0
47	Olavamaracadoo Punjah Manul 1st sort, No. 251... ...	1	11	0	5	15	2
48	Embapalpalay Cadoo do., 1st do., No. 252, ...	0	11	2	2	8	6
49	Vengia Maracadoo Manul 1st do., No. 253... ...	0	4	0	0	14	1
50	Maroodamaracadoo Padigay do. 2nd do., No. 223, held by the above Timme Chetty on Puttah No. 224, now occupied by the 24th Defendant.	1	8	0	5	11	8
51	Cottoocadoo Padigay Punjah 2nd do., No. 224. ..	0	6	3	1	9	7
52	Poovatimaracadoo Padigay Punjah 2nd sort, No. 226 held by the 25th Defendant on Puttah No. 134. ...	2	4	0	8	9	5

Description of the lands at the Village of Teroomaliempolliem, &c. (*Continued.*)

No.	Particulars of the fields.	Extent in Bullahs. Full Bullahs.	Fractions of a Bullah.	Assessment. RS.	A.	P.
53	Pariembalparay Cadoo do. Manul 1st sort, No. 252 ...	1	0	0	3	8	5
54	Tammaracadoo do. do., 1st do., No. 254.	0	1	0	0	3	6
55	Poovaty Maracadoo do. do., 1st do., No. 226, held by 26th Defendant on Puttiem No. 135.	0	8	0	9	8	8
56	Talamaday Cadoo Padigay do. No. 229 do. 2nd do. held by the 27th do. on Puttiem No. 138.	2	0	1	7	11	0
57	Vengamaracadoo do. do. 2nd do., No. 246.. ...	3	11	0	8	10	8
58	Arasamaracadoo Manul 1st do., No. 231, held by the above Defendant on do. 221.	4	4	0	14	15	8
59	Vengamaracadoo Punjah Manul 1st do., No. 246. ...	0	11	1	2	7	8
60	Talamaday Cadoo Padigay do. 2nd do., No. 29 held by the 28th and 29th Defendants on Puttah No. 139. ...	1	0	0	3	13	1
61	Anitoray Cadoo Manul do. 2nd do., No. 388. ...	3	0	0	7	0	10
62	Tani Maracadoo Padigay do. 2nd do., No. 216, held by the 30th Defendant on Puttah No. 222 now occupied by the 28th and 29th Defendants	1	14	0	7	2	6
63	Cottoo Cautto Padigay Punjah 2nd class, No. 218. ...	0	2	2	0	9	7
64	Poovati Maracado do. Manul 1st do., No. 245. ...	1	1	0	3	12	0
		115	5	0	358	5	2
65	Tani Maracadoo Manul Poonjah 3rd do. No. 236 held by the 31st Defendant on Puttiem No. 140.	0	11	1	2	7	8
66	Parpachaly Maracadoo do. Manul 1st class No. 250. ...	0	6	0	1	5	2
67	Valamaracadoo Punjah Manul 2nd do., No. 401. ...	2	0	0	4	11	3
68	Vengamaracadoo do. Saumanul 1st do. No. 290. ...	1	10	3	5	14	1
69	Maroodamalay Cadoo Punjah Manul, 1st sort, No. 247, held by 32nd Defendant on Puttah No. 142...	1	1	0	3	12	0
70	Vengamaracadoo do. Manul 1st do., No. 243	0	4	0	0	14	1
71	Valookalparay Cadoo do. 1st do. No. 249 ...	0	10	2	2	5	0
72	Arasamaracadoo Padigay Punjah 2nd class, No. 225, held by Paddoonalicere, the father of the above Defendant on Puttah No. 225	4	5	1	16	8	5
73	Panivaracadoo Punjah Manul 2nd class No. 339, held by the 33rd Defendant on Puttah No. 143	3	5	2	7	13	9
74	Paracadoo Padigay Punjah 2nd class, No. 209, held by the 33rd Defendant on Puttah No. 220	2	13	2	10	13	9
75	West of the road Punjah Manul 2nd class, No. 390, held by the 34th and 35th Defendants on do. No. 144... ...	0	7	2	1	1	8
76	Saralay Punjah 1st do. No. 215 held by the above Defendant on Puttah No. 235	1	14	0	4	15	5
77	Areancadavoo Cadoo Punjah Manul 2nd class, No. 391, held by the 36th and 37th Defendants on Puttah No. 145 ...	2	0	0	4	11	3
78	Pooliamaracadoo Punjah Manul 2nd class, No. 393, held by the 38th Defendant on Puttah No. 147	1	8	0	3	8	5
79	Vellanagamaramcadoo Padigay Punjah 1st sort, No. 208, held the late Roodra Chetty, the father of the above Defendant on Puttah No. 230, and now in that Defendant's occupancy	2	4	0	10	9	0
	Total	140	10	1	439	12	1
80	Colloo Punjah Cadoo Padigay 2nd class, No. 217 ...	0	10	2	2	8	1
81	Arian Cadavoo Cadoo Manul 2nd class Punjah, No. 394, held by the 39th Defendant on Puttah No. 148... ...	3	0	0	7	0	10
82	East of the river Punjah Manul 2nd class, No. 396, held by the 40th Defendant on Puttah No. 149	4	4	0	9	15	10

Description of the lands at the Village of Teroomaliempolliem,&c. (*Continued.*)

No.	Particulars of the fields.	Extent in Bullahs. Full Bullahs.	Fractions of a Bullah.	Assessment. RS.	A.	P
83	Sootteparay Cadoo Saralay 2nd Class Punjah, No. 219, held by the above Defendant on Puttah No. 244	8	6	0	14	12	2
84	Coottoocadoo Padigay Punjah 2nd class No. 212	0	10	0	2	8	1
85	Arasamaracadoo Saralay 1st Class Punjah No. 213 ...	1	12	0	4	10	1
86	Do. do. Punjah 2nd Class No. 244 ...	2	9	0	6	0	4
87	Moonoomoolay Cadoo Punjah Mamul 2nd Class, No. 397 held by the 41st and 42nd Defendants on Puttah No. 150 ...	5	0	0	11	12	1
88	West of Varakalpullum Punjah Manul 2nd Class, No. 399, held by the 43rd Defendant on Puttah No. 151... ...	3	0	0	7	0	10
89	Do. of Timmaram Punjah 2nd Class No. 398... ...	1	12	0	4	10	10
90	Calcoottoo Cadoo Punjah Manul 2nd Class No. 400 ...	0	4	0	0	9	5
91	West of Valakalparay do. do. 2nd Class No. 399 ...	4	6	0	10	4	5
92	South of Nelimooppanparay Punjah 2nd Class, No. 220, held by the above Defendant on Puttah No. 223.... ...	2	10	0	10	4	5
93	Ellimaracadoo Padigay Punjah, No. 199, 2nd Class held by the 44th Defendant on Puttah No. 154...	5	15	2	22	13	2
94	Do. do. No. 186 held by the 44th and 45th Defendants on Puttah No. 117	1	12	0	6	11	0
95	Do. do Punjah 2nd class	1	1	0	4	0	7
96	Velloocadoo Saralay Punjah, 2nd Class, No. 157, held by the 44th Defendant on Puttah No. 155...	1	4	0	2	3	3
97	Vellanagamaracadoo Padigay Punjah, 2nd Class, No. 190 ...	0	11	0	2	5	0
	Total...	189	9	3	569	14	6
98	Alamaracadoo Padigay Punjah, 2nd Class, No. 227, held by the above Defendant on Puttah No. 218...	3	6	3	12	15	2
99	Moniappen Covil Cadoo Padigay do. 2nd do. No. 20 held by the 46th Defendant on do. No. 157...	0	6	1	1	7	10
100	Poovatimaracadoo Padigay Punjah 2nd Class No. 201... ...	0	9	3	2	4	7
101	Tani Maracadoo Padigay do. 2nd do. No. 202...	0	4	0	0	15	3
102	West of the road, Padigay Punjah 2nd class, No. 193 held by the 47th Defendant on Puttah No. 156 now occupied by the 48th Defendant...	1	9	0	5	15	5
103	Paroovaycadoo Padigay Punjah Manul 2nd class No. 194...	1	2	3	4	7	6
104	West of the road Punjah do. 2nd do. No. 193...	0	15	3	2	5	0
105	Arasamaracadoo do. 2nd do. No. 253...	0	5	0	0	11	9
106	Arasamaracadoo Padigay Punjah 2nd do., No. 232, held by the 47th Defendant on Puttah No. 219 now occupied by the 48th Defendant...	1	10	0	6	3	3
107	Do. do. Punjah Sevoul 1st Class No. 233...	0	13	2	2	15	6
108	Tandanar Poolycadoo Punjah Manul 2nd Class, No. 239, held by the 49th Defendant on Puttah No. 123... ...	1	6	0	3	3	8
109	Attupullacadoo Padigay Punjah, 2nd Class, No. 240 held by do. on do. No. 226...	0	9	3	2	5	5
110	Vengamaracadoo Saralay Punjah 2nd Class No. 24... ...	0	6	3	0	11	11
111	Tandavur Poolicadoo Padigay Punjah 2nd do. No. 239 ...	0	8	2	2	0	6
112	Elavamaracadoo Padigay Punjah, 2nd Class, No. 205 held by the 5th Defendant on Puttah No. 215...	3	11	1	14	0	4
113	Chavady Paracadoo Punjah Manul 2nd Class, No. 364, held by the 52nd Defendant on Puttah No. 243...	10	0	0	23	8	0
114	Caroombaray Cadoo Manul Punjah 2nd Class No. 365, held by the 53rd Defendant on Puttah No. 244 now occupied by the 52nd Defendant...	5	0	0	11	12	0
	Total...	222	6	3	667	13	5

Description of lands at the Village of Teroomalliempolliem, &c. *(Continued,)*

No.	Particulars of the fields.	Extent of Bullahs. Full Bullahs.	Fractions of a Bullah.		Assessment RS.	A.	P.
115	Moolagatoor Cadoo Sengarasul 2nd Class Nunjah, No. 185, held by the 10th Defendant no Puttah No. 87.. ...	1	1	1	8	2	8
116	Moongattoori Cadoo Nunjah 2nd do., No. 85, held by the 10th, 13th and 14th Defendants and the late Vadaman Chetty the father of the 15th Defendant on Puttah No. 63, now in the occupancy of the 10th Defendant... ...	0	12	0	5	8	1
117	Moongattoor Cadoo Sengarasaul Nunjah 2nd Class, No. 85, held by the above Vadaman Chetty on Puttah No. 96 now in the occupancy of the 45th Defendant...	1	8	0	10	14	3
118	Moongattoor Nunjah Sengarasal 2nd class, No. 185, held by the 13th and 14th Defendants on do. No. 7 now in the occupancy of 48th Defendant...	1	8	0	10	14	3
	Total Nunjah Kanis...	4	13	1	35	7	3
	Rajavaram or landlord's share due on the above 222 and 6¼ Bullahs of Punjah land at 1 Pudy a Bullah per annum is 222 and 6¼ Pudies, the value of which at 10 Rupees a Pudy is Rupees...				2,224	3	6
	Do. or do. do. on the 4 $\frac{13}{16}$ Kanis of Nunjah land rejerred to at 5 Salagays of Paddy per Kani per annum is 24¼ Salagay, the value of which at 2 Rupees and 8 Annas a Salagay is Rupees...				60	5	3
	Value of the undermentioned Tanks, &c., in the occupancy of the 10th Defendant, &c.						
	Value of a Tank.....				300	0	0
	Estimated value of a Channel and Anicut...				500	0	0
	Value of a well situated in field No. 222...				50	0	0
	Do. of a do. situated in do. No. 396 occupied by the 40th Defendant ...				50	0	0
	Do. of a do. in do. No. 199 occupied by the 44th Defendant... ...				150	0	0
	Do. of a do. in No. 240 occupied by the 49th Defendant...				100	0	0
	Total.....				3434	9	1
119	Description of Punjah lands known as "Arooganul" at the village of Ettymaday, and situated as above particularized. Punjah land Manul 2nd Class held by the 54th Defendant on Puttah No. 136...	3	12	0	81	3	0
120	Manul 2nd sort held by the 55th Defendant on Puttah No. 137...	2	8	0	51	4	0
121	Do. 2nd sort held by the 56th Defendant on Puttah No. 263.	1	0	0	2	5	7
122	Do. 2nd Class held by the 57th Defendant on Puttah No. 139...	1	0	0	2	5	7
123	Do. 2nd Class held by do. on do. No. 331 ...	3	0	0	7	0	10
124	Do. 2nd Class held by the 58th Defendant on Puttah No. 143...	1	0	0	2	5	7
125	Do. 2nd Class held by the 59th Defendant on Puttah No. 144	2	0	0	4	11	2
126	Do. 2nd do. held by the 60th Defendant on do. No. 145.	1	4	0	2	15	0
127	Do. 2nd do. held by the 85th do. on do. No. 145 now in the occupany of the 60th Defendant... ...	2	0	0	4	11	2
128	One half of the land now in the occupancy of the 108th Defendant and held by him and 107th Defendant Manul 2nd Class Puttiem No. 332...	1	0	0	2	5	7
129	Do. 2nd Class held by the 61st Defendant on Puttiem No. 146...	4	0	0	9	6	4
130	Do. 2nd Class held by the 62nd Defendant on do. No. 227.	4	0	0	9	6	4
131	Do. 2nd do. held by the 63rd do. on do. No. 148 ...	4	0	0	9	6	4

Description of lands at the Village of Teroomaliempolliem, &c. *(Continued.)*

No.	Particulars of the fields.	Extent in Bullahs. Full Bullahs.	Fractions of a Bullah.		Assessment. RS.	A.	P.
132	Manul 2nd class held by the 64th and 65th Defendants on Puttah No. 149	6	0	0	14	1	6
	Total.....	36	8	0	85	12	0
133	Do. 2nd do. held by the 66th Defendant on Puttiem, No. 150...	1	0	0	2	5	7
134	Do. 2nd do. held by the 67th and 68th Defendants on Puttiem No. 154...	1	0	0	2	5	7
135	Padigay 2nd do. held by the 69th Defendant on Puttiem No. 165...	1	14	1	8	14	2
136	Do. 2nd do. held by the 7th do. on Puttah No. 167...	1	14	1	8	14	2
137	Manul 2nd do. held by the 71st, 72nd, 73rd, and 74th Defendants on Puttah No. 168...	3	12	0	17	4	8
138	Padigay 2nd do. held by the 75th Defendant on Puttah, No. 169...	3	0	0	7	0	10
139	Do. 2nd do. held by the 76th do. on do. No. 171, but occupied by the 77th Defendant...	5	7	2	25	11	2
140	Manul 2nd do. held by the 77th do. on do. No. 172...	70	11	3	212	4	10
141	Do. 2nd sort held by the 78th do. on do. „ 179...	3	0	0	7	0	10
142	Do. 2nd do. held by the 112th do. on do. „ 351...	2	0	0	4	11	2
143	Do. 2nd do. held by the 115th do. on do. „ 166, but enjoyed by the 77th Defendant...	1	0	0	2	5	7
144	Do. 2nd do. held by the late Choundagoundon on Puttah No. 222, now enjoyed by his brothers 79th and 80th Defendants...	10	0	0	23	8	0
145	Manul 2nd class held by the 81st Defendant on Puttah No. 223, but now enjoyed by the 79th and 80th Defendants...	10	0	0	23	8	0
		5	0	0	11	12	0
146	Do. 2nd do. held by the 79th do. on do. No. 233...	156	3	3	443	6	7
147	Manul 2nd class held by the 76th Defendant on Puttiem No. 224, but now enjoyed by the 82nd Defendant... ...	10	0	0	23	8	0
148	Do. 2nd do. held by the 83rd do. on do. No. 225...	5	0	0	11	12	0
149	Do. 2nd do. held by the 84th do. on do. „ 226...	2	0	0	4	11	2
150	Do. 2nd do. held by the 86th do. on do. „ 229...	2	0	0	4	11	2
151	Do. 2nd do. held by the 87th do. on do. „ 230...	10	0	0	23	8	0
152	Do. 2nd do. held by the 88th do. on do. „ 231, but now occupied by the 97th Defendant....	2	0	0	4	11	2
153	Do. 2nd do. held by the 97th do. on do. No. 266...	1	0	0	2	5	7
154	Do. 2nd do held by the 89th do. on do. No. 232, but now enjoyed by the 96th Defendant...	2	0	0	4	11	2
155	Do. 2nd do. held by the 96th do. on do. No. 258...	5	0	0	11	12	0
156	Do. 2nd do. held by the 9th do. on do. „ 234 ..	5	0	0	11	12	0
157	Do. 2nd do. held by the 91st do. on do. „ 242, but now enjoyed by the 92nd, 93rd, 94th, and 95th Defendants...	10	0	0	23	8	9
158	Do. 2nd do. held by the 98th do. on do. No 270...	7	0	0	16	11	7
	Total.....	217	3	3	587	0	5

Description of the lands at the Village of Teroomaliempolliem, &c, *(Concluded).*

No.	Particulars of the fields.	Extent in Bullahs. Full Bullahs.	Fractions of a Bullah.	Assessment.
				Rs. A. P.
159	Manul 2nd Class held by the 99th Defendant on Puttah No. 272...	5	0 0	11 12 0
160	Do. do. held by the 100th Defendant on Puttah No. 292, but now enjoyed by the 84th and 101st Defendants...	6	0 0	14 1 6
161	Do. do held by the 102nd Defendant on Puttah No. 326...	2	0 0	4 11 2
162	Do. do. held by the 103rd and 104th Defendants on Puttah No. 329, now left waste...	2	0 0	4 11 2
163	Do. do. Punjah held by the 105th and 106th Defendants on Puttiem No. 330...	2	0 0	4 11 2
164	Do. do. being one-half share enjoyed by the 107th Defendant but held by him and 108th Defendant on Puttah No. 332...	1	0 0	2 5 7
165	Do. do. held by the 109th and 110th Defendants on Puttah No. 333...	3	0 0	7 0 10
166	Do. do. held by the 111th Defendant on Puttah No. 341...	2	0 0	4 11 2
167	Do. do. held by the 113th Defendant on Puttah No. 353, but enjoyed by the 114th Defendant...	9	0 0	21 2 6
168	Do. do. held by the 116th Defendant on Puttah No. 142...	2	0 0	4 11 2
169	Do. do. held by the 54th Defendant on Puttah No. 343...	2	0 0	4 11 2
170	Do. do. held by the 55th Defendant on Puttah No. 344...	2	0 0	4 11 2
	Total Punjah Bullahs... ...	253	3 3	676 5 0
	Rajavaram or landlord's share due on the above 255 3/16 3/4 Bullahs of land to 1 Poody of Grain a Bullah per annum is 255 3/16 3/4 Poodies, the value of which at 10 Rupees a Poody, is Rupees... ...			2,552 5 6
	Value of a tank in the occupancy of the 77th Defendant... ...			250 0 0
	Do. of a well situated in the land held by the above Defendant on Puttah No. 172...			50 0 0
	Do. of a tank in the occupancy of the 83rd Defendant...			200 0 0
	Do. of a do. in do. of 85th do. ...			200 0 0
	Do. of a do. in do. of 87th do. ...			200 0 0
	Do. of a do. in do. of 88th do. ...			150 0 0
	Do. of a do. in do. of 113th do. ...			150 0 0
	Do. of a Covil of two Unkanums situated on a small hill called Davara Coomoo at Ettimaday village...			50 0 0
	Do. of one well belonging to the above Covil...			20 0 0
	Total...			3,822 5 6
	Grand total of two villages...			7,256 14 7

3rd March 1863.

Delivered on the 7th March 1863.

(Signed) VENCATARAMIAH, Court Vakeel.

We, Narayana Munnadiar, Soobba Munnadiar, and Govinda Menon, the Plantiffs named in the above plaint, do declare that what is stated therein is true to the best of our information and belief.

(Signed) NARAYANA MUNNADIAR.
(„) SOOBBA MUNNADIAR.
(„) GOVINDA MENON.

Filed on the 1st May 1863.

(True Translation.)

(Signed) P. GRANT, Collector.

Enclosure No. 4.

Translation of a Statement proposed to be presented in the Principal Sudder Ameen's Court at Coimbatore, by the 117th Defendan in Original Suit No. 6 of 1863.

1. The land claimed by the Plaintiffs is said to measure 5,284 rods, 6 feet in length each, from east to west, and 2,925 rods from south to north. These measurements give an area of 3,342½ Bullahs according to the measurements adopted in this District; but this area is not adopted in the plaint in computing the value of the land.

2. The landlord's share of the produce of the several parcels of land shown in the list appended to the plaint amounts to Rupees 14,426-4-0, to which must be added Rupees 3,935, being the value of the tanks, &c., situated in them, making in all 18,361-4-0, but the Plaintiffs have exhibited a far lower sum in their Petition.

3. The claim of the 3rd Plaintiff to a moiety of the land under litigation on the ground of his alleged purchase should form the subject of a separate Suit against the 1st and 2nd Plaintiffs, inasmuch as the cause of action, so far as he is concerned, arose at a time different from that of the 1st and 2nd Plaintiffs. In fact the admission of the 3rd Plaintiff in the present Suit is altogether irregular.

4. So far back as August 1843, Sambatoo Vetil Coonjachoo Munnady (who was the principal managing member of the family to which the 1st and 2nd Plain iffs belong), was directed by the Collector of Malabar to prefer his claim to the Civil Court, and this course of proceeding was approved of by the Board in their Order of 30th September 1844, the present Suit therefore having been brought after the lapse of 18 years is barred by the Statute of Limitations. Further one Sambatoo Carnavan Sattowicha Munnady, the successor of Coonjachoo Munnady, presented a Petition to Mr. Wroughton, the former Principal Collector of this District, under date the 12th June 1848, claiming the land now sued for, and stating that in the event of his not obtaining orders within ten days, he would file a Suit in the Civil Court, but such does not appear to have been done.

5. No reason is assigned in the plaint for the long and protracted delay in preferring the Suit as above noticed.

6. The Plaintiffs themselves state that the property under litigation was mortgaged to the 1st Defendant's father in the year 1830, but never since redeemed. Again the claim to the above property of the family to which the 1st two Plaintiffs belong was objected to many years ago, and the members of that family were referred to the established Courts. Under such circumstances the purchase by the 3rd Plaintiff from the first two Plaintiffs of the latter's Jenmum right to one-half of the land sued for, amounts to "Champerty."

7. The precise time at which the alleged purchase by the 3rd Plaintiff from the 1st and 2nd Plaintiffs took place is not stated in the plaint. This omission is contrary to Clause 4th Section 26, Act VIII of 1859.

8. In the year 1820, or ten years previous to the alleged mortgage of the land in question to the 1st Defendant's father Gopana Munnady, he (Gopana Munnady) stated in a motion presented to the Zillah Court at Coimbatore, that this very land formed a portion of his Poliput. Under such circumstances, the assertion of the Plaintiffs that the land was mortgaged to the above Gopana Munnady must be false. The several parcels of land sued for, have never formed a portion of the Malabar Province as asserted in the plaint, nor were they ever held on Jenmum tenure by the family of the 1st and 2nd Plaintiffs.

9. From the time of Tippoo Sultan they have always formed a portion of the Coimbatore Province. They are situated in a locality known as Ambalaparapady or Ambalatorapady, which is laid down in the limits of the Coimbatore District, both in the Survey Map of this District and in Arrowsmith's Atlas. Since the assumption of the Coimbatore country by the British Government in Fasli 1209 (1799-800) and up to Fasli 1249, these lands were leased to Coimbatore Ryots on grass tenure. Their assessment, as well as the tax on the Tamarind trees standing on them, was collected by the officials of this District, and carried to the accounts of this Collectorate.

10. In the year 1839, the 1st Defendant's father presented a Petition to the then Acting Principal Collector to the effect that certain lands including those now claimed by the Plaintiffs belonged to him, and he (1st Defendant's father) had temporary possession of the land as part of his Poliput, but on a careful scrutiny into the accounts and public records, and from a correct measurement of the locality, it was fully proved that the above lands belonged to Government, and not to the Poligar. This subject was duly reported to the Board and approved of by them in their Order dated 5th February 1849.

11. In Fasli 1249 certain Ryots of this District applied for the lands for cultivation, and obtained them on the usual terms. Puttahs were issued in their names, and they have since regularly paid the assessment on them.

12. The extent of land originally claimed by Sambatoo Coonjachoo Munnady in 1840 was 664-7½ Bullahs of Punjah, and 4-5¼ Kanis of Nunjah land, whilst the extent now claimed by the Plaintiffs, according to the measurements given in the first part of the plaint, amounts to Bullahs 3,342-8-0, but according to the list appended to the plaint of each individual holding it amounts only to 477-10½ Bullahs of Punjah, and 4 1 3/16 3/4 Kanis of Nunjah. Thus there is a great difference in the extent of land claimed between the Plaintiffs own statements, and that of a former member of the family to which the 1st and 2nd Plaintiffs belong.

13. The records, plans, &c., as per annexed list in support of the statement that the land sued for belongs to Coimbatore District are herewith submitted, and will show that the Plaintiffs' claim is untenable.

14. Under these circumstances it is requested that the Suit may be dismissed.

ENCLOSURE No. 5.

LIST *of documents produced by the* 117*th Defendant in Original Suit No.* 6 *of* 1863, *on the file of the Principal Sudder Ameen of Coimbatore.*

No. of documents.	Date of the documents.		Nature of the document.	Contiray Chuckrums.	Fanams.	Fractions of a Fanam.	By whom executed.	On what paper the document is drawn out.	Remarks.
1	July 1803.	12th	Statement showing the boundaries and the amount of revenue of the Ettimaday village as per Settlement made in Fasli 1212.	149	9	7	This statement was dictated by Moorooga Moodely, the Curnum of Ettimaday village, and bears his signature.	Written on unstamped paper with reference to a careful examination of the locality.	It is clear from the description of the boundaries given in this document that the land claimed by the Plaintiffs belongs to Government.
2	February 1804.	20th	Statement showing the boundaries and amount of revenue of the above village for Fasli 1213.	129	4	13	do.	do.	do.
3	July 1802.	13th	Statement showing the boundaries and the amount of revenue of the Teroomaliem Polliem village as per Settlement made in Fasli 1212.	53	3	$\frac{3}{64}$	This statement was dictated by the Curnum of Teroomaliempolliem village.	do.	do.
4	February 1804.	20th	Statement showing the boundaries and the amount of revenue of Teroomaliem Polliem village as per Settlement made in Fasli 1213.	61	0	2	This statement was dictated by Nullatumbee Pillay, Curnum of Teroomaliempolliem village, and signed by him.	do.	do.
5	June 1826.	15th	Map of South Coimbatore, and portion of the country north of the river Noyel, surveyed in 1821, 1822, and 1823.	.....	.....	.....	Prepared by the Surveyor General's Department.	Prepared on drawing paper.	In this Map Ambulapary Puddy is laid down in the limits of Coimbatore.
6	.....	.....	Sections 60 and 61 of Arrowsmith's Alas including the Province of Coimbatore received from the Inspector General of the Civil Estimates under date the 28th January 1836.	.....	.....	.....	do.	do.	do.
7	Fasli 1250. November.	4th	Account showing the boundaries and measurement of land claimed by Sambattoo Munnady and situated in the limits of Ettimaday village, made under orders of Mr. Drury, the Principal Collector.	Bullahs. 251	Annas. 9	Fractions. 2	The lands were measured and the Statement prepared by the undermentioned officials :— Vencata Row, Huzur Gumastah.... ... . 1 Macdoomully Saib, Peishkar..... ..... 1 Vencata Rao, Taluk Gumastah and others.	Drawn out on unstamped paper.	According to this document the lands in question belong to this District.
8	November 1840.	14th	Account showing the boundaries and measurement of the land claimed by Sambattoo Munnady and situated in the limits of Teroomaliempolliem village made under orders of Mr. Dury, the Principal Collector.	.....	.....	.....	do.	do.	do.
9	November 1842.	15th	Deposition given to the effect that the lands in question belong to Government.	.....	.....	.....	This deposition was given by Soorea Mudali, Curnum of Teroomaliempolliem village, on solemn declaration before J. C. Wroughton, Esq., Principal Collector.	do.	It is clear from this document that the lands under litigation belong to this District, the grass and other taxes levied in those localities from Fasli 1212 were carried to the accounts of this District.
10	do.	15th	do.	.....	.....	.....	Do. given by Ramien, Curnum of Ettimaday village, on do. before do.	do.	do.

LIST *of documents produced by the* 117*th Defendant, &c.—(Continued.)*

No. of documents.	Date of the documents.		Nature of the document.	By whom executed.	On what paper the document is drawn out.	Remarks.
11	November 1842.	15th	Deposition given to the effect that the lands in question belong to Government.	This Deposition was given by Coolla Gounden, Monigar of Valookaparay village, on solemn declaration before J. C. Wroughton, Esq., Principal Collector.	Drawn out on unstamped paper.	It is clear from this document that the lands in question belong to this District from the time of Tippoo Sultan. The Monigar himself rented the tract on grass tenure and paid the tax to Government.
12	Do.	15th	do.	Do. given by Viapoory Gounden, Monigar of Teeroomallempolliem on do. before do.	do.	It is clear from this document that the lands in question belong to this District; grass tax was collected on that locality by Coimbatore authorities.
13	Do.	15th	do.	Do. given by Nunjappa Gounden, Monigar of Teroomaliempolliem village, on do. before do.	do.	This document shows that the lands claimed by the Plaintiffs belong to this District.
14	Do.	15th	do.	Do. given by Tavana Gounden, Monigar of do. and do. before do.	do.	This document shows that the lands under litigation belong to this District from the time of Tippoo Sultan, and that the tax levied thereon was carried to the credit of Government in the accounts of this Collectorate.
15	Do.	15th	do.	Do. given by Iyappa Naik, a Ryot of Ettimaday village, on do. before do.	do.	This document shows that the lands under litigation are the property of Government from the time of Tippoo Sultan that the deponent rented them on Paranapilloovary tenure and paid the tax to Government, and that he pointed out the boundaries of the tract to some Officers deputed to settle the boundary dispute on a former occasion.
16	Do.	15th	do.	Do. given by Canagachella Gounden of Palatoray on do. before do.	do.	Shows that the lands in question belong to this District from the time of Tippoo Sultan, that the deponent rented them on grass tenure and paid the rent to this District.
17	Prepared in Fasli 1250 (1840-41.)	.....	Account showing the collection of Paranapilloovary rent and tax on Tamarind trees situated on the lands in question from Fasli 1209 to 1249.	This account was dictated by Palanyappa Mudali, Curnum of Ettimaday village, and signed by him.	do.	According to this document the tract of land sued for belongs to this District from the time previous to the original Survey, and that assessment has been always levied thereon.
18	Do.		Do. do. of Teroomaliempolliem village from Fasli 1218 to do.	Do. do. by Sooria Moodelly, Curnum of Teroomaliempolliem village and signed by him.	do.	do.
19	May 1820.	13th	Copy of Petition presented to the Zillah Court at Coimbatore by the Poligars of Paraveepolliem and Ramapatam, describing the boundary limits between Cochin Sircar and their Poliputs.	Copy of petition presented to the Udalut Court at Coimbatore by the undermentioned Poligars:— Gopana Munnady of Pooraveepolliem... ... ... 1 Erruppua Gounden of Ramapatam..... 1	Written on five stamp-papers of ½ Rupee each 2½	It is clear from this document that the allegation of the Plaintiffs that the lands in question were mortgaged to the 1st Defendant is untrue.
20	July 1821.	.....	Deposition given by a Ryot in the Samattoor Poliput regarding the boundary line between Cochin and Coimbatore.	Deposition given by Beremia Gounden, a Ryot in the Samattoor Poliput before the Collector of Coimbatore.	Written on unstamped paper.	It is proved by this document that the lands in question belong to this District from the time of Tippoo Sultan.

List of documents produced by the 117th Defendants, &c.—(Concluded.)

No. of documents.	Date of the documents.		Nature of the document.	By whom executed.	On what paper the document is drawn out.	Remarks.
21	February 1841.	6th	Report of the Paulghaut Serishtadar regarding the boundary of the disputed tract claimed by Sambatoo Munnady at Umbalaparay Pudy.	Copy of report made by Soobba Row, the Serishtadar of Paulghaut Taluk, to Mr. Conolly, Collector of Calicut.	Written on unstamped paper.	Shows that the claim of the Plaintiffs is not supported by any documentary evidence.
22	Do.	17th	Letter from the Collector of Malabar forwarding copy of the above report.	Letter from the Collector of Malabar to the Collector of Coimbatore.		

COIMBATORE, 17th September 1863.

(Signed) P. GRANT, Collector.

Ordered to be submitted to Government with the Board's recommendation that the defence of the Suit at the public cost be sanctioned on the usual terms, and that the Collector be authorized to file the proposed reply. The Board have referred to the former correspondence, and find that it supports Mr. Grant's conclusion.

(True Copies and Extract.)

Secretary.

To J. D. Sim, Esq., Secretary to Government, R. D.

Copy to P. Grant, Esq., Collector of Coimbatore.

Exd. J. Wright.

Revenue 1863. Department.
Pro: of Govt for October.

No. 2363.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE,

Dated 29th September 1863.
Dup. 1st Octbr—
Rt—2—do—
Gio B. of Rev. 1st Octr 63
Colr 28th No. 6,157.

Submitting letter from the Collector of Coimbatore forwarding copy of a letter from the Principal Sudder Ameen, together with translation of a plaint filed against the Collector and 116 others.

Memo 1st Octr
Withdrawn Order

No 87 & 88.

C. C. Oct 1863 86

No. 1837.

Sanction is accordingly granted under the usual terms for the defence of the Suit at the Public cost.

2. The attention of the Board of Revenue will be called to the necessary of printing in their Proceedings abstracts recorded.—

Fort St. George
1st Octr 1863.

Board of Revenue. Despd 2d Octr