M.R.O. 14—18,000—27-9-24.

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 1822 Press ~~Mis.~~

55-56

Dated 5—10—~~19~~1863

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department, 5th October 1863.

No. 55. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 17th September 1863, No. 5,886:—

Read again letters from the Acting Collector of Cuddapah, recorded in Board's Proceedings, dated 16th May and 30th June 1863, Nos. 2,934 and 3,953.

Read also the following letters.

From the same Officer, dated 17th July 1863, No. 113.

1. With reference to the Board's Proceedings dated 30th June 1863, No. 3,953, I have the honor to enclose copy of a Memorandum from Mr. Molony with regard to the change of villages from the Kadiri Talook to Madanapalli Talook, by which you will observe if the original change proposed by Mr. Wedderburn is alone carried out, the Kadiri Talook will be too large.

2. The great object however is to have the Papagny river the boundary, which, owing to its not being bridged, renders the present Kasbah of Kadiri inaccessible some times, for days at a time, which is very objectionable both in Revenue and Police matters.

3. The change as proposed doubtless makes the distance between some villages and their Kasbahs considerably greater, but I consider this inconvenience to be more than counterbalanced by their being a well defined boundary, and also the Kasbah being at all times accessible.

4. The transfer of the villages from Royachoti to Kadiri will also make the boundary of the Royachoti Talook better defined, and the difference of the distance from the Kasbah is very slight.

5. With reference to paragraph 2 calling on me to report why the Kasbah station has not been changed from Voilpad to Kalkada, I have the honor to inform you that no sanction has yet been obtained to build a Cutcherry at the latter place, and there is no suitable building there for the purpose.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.

Official Memorandum, No. 128.

1. In the absence of any specific information being immediately available respecting the number of times and the duration on each occasion of the river Papagny having been impassible in any year, the Sub-Collector is only able to supply from his own recollection and knowledge of the locality the information required in paragraph 3 of the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 30th June 1863.

2. The river Papagny was impassible at least four times in the course of last year, and as there was no November rain, the communication with Kadiri may be considered as having been unusually open in that year. The freshes last at least four or five days on each occasion, and the Sub-Collector is informed by the Tahsildar of Madanapalli that in seasons when any considerable amount of rain falls in the Mysore country, the duration of the freshes is much longer. The seasons have been so dry ever since the Sub-Collector has been acquainted with this part of the country, that no very long break has occurred within his recollection. Should the contemplated bridge be erected over the river, this objection will of course be removed. There is however another which the Sub-Collector begs respectfully to submit for consideration. The Talook of Kadiri will be so much increased in area by the transfer of the villages frm Madanapalli that there is some fear of its becoming too much for one set of officials. It was over 1,000 square miles in extent before that transfer was contemplated, and the increase will make it at least 1,200, as some of the villages to be transferred are very large. Even supposing the Sub-Collector's former proposal to transfer the villages south of the Papagny to Madanapalli were carried out, the area of the Kadiri Talook with its proposed addition would still be larger than it is at present.

9th July 1863.

(Signed) F. B. MOLONY, *Sub-Collector.*

(True Copy.)

(Signed) H. G. SMITH, *Acting Collector.*

From the Acting Collector of Cuddapah, dated 21st August 1863, No. 128.

By the enclosed copy of a letter* from Mr. Molony you will observe that owing to certain villages not having been marked on the Map, they escaped his notice when recommending certain changes of other villages as represented in my letter† as per margin, and as in my opinion the change, as proposed, will be highly beneficial to the interests of the people, I have the honor to request that the arrangement may be taken into consideration at the same time as those previously proposed. Should the Board think that the Royachoty Talook would become too small (although I do not think so myself) the villages, as noticed by Mr. Molony, of Cheenepalli and Jillalamandah previously proposed† to be transferred to Kalkada, might remain as before.

* Dated 11th August 1863, No. 153.

Dated 25th April 1863, No. 61.

† *Vide* paragraph 11 of Mr. Molony's letter, dated 22nd April 1863, No. 70.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.

From F. B. MOLONY, Esq., Sub-Collector, to H. G. SMITH, Esq., Acting Collector of Cuddapah, dated Kalkada, 11th August 1863, No. 153.

SIR,

1. With reference to the subject of the proposed transfer of certain villages of the Royachoty Talook to the Kalkada Talook on the change of Kasbah from Voilpaud to Kalkada, I have the honor to inform you that having lately been over the country in this neighbourhood, I find there are some villages which, except one, are not marked on the map, and which I imagined to be further to the north than they actually do, which it would be advisable to include in the measure if it is sanctioned. They all belong at present to the Royachoty Talook, and the best road from the first three would be actually through the village of Kalkada. Their names and distances are—

Villages.	From Royachoty.	From Kalkada.
	Miles.	Miles.
Surva Agraharum Muttumpalli	20	5
Rajpalli	19	4
Hissapalli	19½	4½
Mullagootapalli	16	4
Toovapalli	15	2
Shrotriem Potawaripalli	18	3

Their revenue is,

	Beriz.		
	RS.	A.	P.
Surva Agraharum Muttumpalli	13	0	0
Rajpalli	1,372	0	0
Hissapalli	316	0	0
Mullagootapalli	840	0	0
Toovapalli	362	0	0
Shrotriem Potawaripalli	72	0	0
Total...	2,975	0	0

2. Should it be thought that the Talook of Royachoty will be too much reduced by the transfer of so many villages, the villages of Cheenepalli and of Jillalamandah might be left to it. I find in my former letter that I omitted to give the distances of Marallah from the respective Kasbahs. They are from Royachoty 20 miles, from Kalkada 9 miles.

(True Copy.)

(Signed) H. G. SMITH, *Acting Collector*.

1. On the recommendation of the Board of Revenue, Government, in their Proceedings of the 16th April 1863, No. 672, sanctioned Mr. Wedderburn's proposal to transfer from the Madanapalli to the Kadiri Talook the thirteen outlying villages noted in the margin, the effect of the proposal being to bring the villages nearer to their Kasbah, and to make the Kadiri Talook more compact by substituting a natural boundary, viz., the Papagny river, for an artificial one. In continuation of this arrangement, Mr. Smith, the Acting Collector, sent up with his letter of the 25th April, No. 61, a report from Mr. Molony, his Sub-Collector, proposing the transfer of other villages in order still better to define the boundaries of the Madanapalli, Kadiri, Kalkada and Royachoti Talooks, and to bring the villages in question nearer to the Kasbah towns. These proposals are made in paragraphs, 3, 4, 5 and 6 of Mr. Molony's Report; and the villages in question and their respective distances from their existing and proposed Kasbahs, are marginally noted below in their proper place.

Names of Villages transferred.	Distance from	
	Madanapalli Kasbah	Kadiri Kasbah
	Miles.	Miles.
Marikomadinne...	38	18
Vankawadi..	37	16
Pulikunta.....	36	17
Danayanichervu...	38	14
Peddahalli...	30	17
Gutibylu..	34	13
Yedurudona	32	13
Kortikota...	30	14
Madanayanipolliem	28	16
Kockanti.....	29	14
Agrar..	27	16
Chadura..	26	17
Balasamudrum..	28	16

2. In paragraphs 5, 6 and 11 of his report, Mr. Molony proposed the transfer of seven villages from Kalkada to Madanapalli, and the transfer of six* villages from Royachoty to Kalkada. The seven villages to be made over to Madanapalli from Kalkada lie in the south-west corner of the former Talook, and on the removal of the Kasbah from Voilpad to Kalkada, in accordance with the sanction given by Government in their Proceedings of the 25th September 1860, No. 1,705, they will be placed at a great distance (over 20 miles except in two cases) from the approved Kasbah; whereas by their transfer to Madanapalli, they will have readier access to the Kasbah of that Talook, the distance in no case exceeding 14 miles. The six villages to be transferred from Royachoty to Kalkada are situated in the south-east extremity of the former Talook, and would appear by the map to be cut off from their present Kasbah by the Bapoonuddee river. In addition to these six villages, the Acting Collector supports, in his letter of the 21st August above recorded, a further proposition made by Mr. Molony to transfer to Kalkada six other villages which had escaped his attention originally belonging to the same cluster. Thus, it is proposed to transfer twelve villages in all from Royachoty to Kalkada. Mr. Molony suggests that, if it is thought the transfer of so many villages would reduce the former Talook too much, the two villages of Cheenapalli and Jillalamundah may be kept back; but this, in the opinion of the

* See also paragraph 2 of his letter enclosed in one from the Acting Collector, dated 21st August recorded above.

Names of Villages.	Distance from	
	Present Kasbah of Kalkada.	Proposed Kasbah of Madanapalli.
	Miles.	Miles.
Cookadoorty	34	5½
Nimmanapalli	20	10½
Moostoor	22	10½
Goondapalli	24	7½
Tavalum	22½	11
Kondamarry	29	14
Agraharum	18	11

Names of Villages.	Distance from	
	Present Kasbah Royachoty.	Proposed Kasbah Kalkada.
	Miles.	Miles.
Gurumitta	18½	7
Oostakolypentah	24	17
Jillalamandah	20	14½
Cheenapallai	15	7½
Nootanacalwah	17½	9
Marellah.....	20	9

Names of Villages.	Distance from	
	Present Kasbah Royachoty.	Proposed Kasbah Kalkada.
	Miles.	Miles.
Surva Agraharum Muttampalli.	20	5
Rajapalli	19	4
Hissapali	19½	4½
Mullagootapalli.	16	4
Toovapalli	15	2
Shrotriem Patawaripalli	18	3

Board would be inconvenient, and they agree with the Collector that Royachoty is fully capable of bearing the reduction. The transfer of all these twelve villages to Kalkada would have the effect, not only of bringing them nearer to their Kasbah, but of reducing Royachoty at present somewhat unwieldy in size, and of slightly increasing Kalkada, which will have suffered a diminution by the transfer of its seven south-western villages to Madanapalli. For the reasons above stated, the Board are of opinion that the proposed transfers noticed in this paragraph would be advantageous, and they accordingly recommend them for the sanction of Government.

3. In paragraphs 3 and 4 of his report already adverted to, Mr. Molony also proposed the transfer of nine villages from Kadiri to Madanapalli, and of four villages from Royachoty to Kadiri. The great object in this change appear to be to rectify the boundary of the Kadiri Talook, by making the Papagny river its eastern limit; but as this would at the same time remove the villages in question to a much greater distance from their Kasbahs than is the case at present, the Board inquired of the Acting Collector whether the obstruction presented by the Papagny river was of such consequence as to make it desirable to attach these villages to a new Kasbah, attended as the change would be with the great disadvantage above referred to.

	Names of Villages.	Distace from Present Kasbah Kadiri.	Distace from Proposed Kasbah Madanapalli.
Proposed in paragraph 3 of Mr. Molony's report.		Miles.	Miles.
	Momdlawaripalli	18	30
	Tavalum	18	28
	Cootapalli Shrotriem	19	29
	Bontalapalli	21½	25
	Coondelipadoogoo	22	28
	Chikatamanapalli	20	25
	Goonthoor	19½	29
	Gurrambyle	21½	27½
	Tolaru	21	25
		Present Kasbah Royachoty.	Proposed Kasbah Kadiri
Proposed in paragraph 4 of Mr. Molony's report.	Yellagul	19½	20
	Cotnaint Yerragoodi	23½	19
	Culloorpalli	22½	18½
	Toomcoontah	18	21

4. In reply to this inquiry, Mr. Smith sent up Mr. Molony's letter of the 9th July last, in which he admits the evil pointed out by the Board; but both he and the Acting Collector hold to the opinion that it will be counter-balanced by the advantage of a river boundary, and by ready access at all times to the Kasbah, whereas at present the villages are sometimes cut off for many days together from Kadiri Kasbah. Mr. Molony urges that the change already sanctioned, viz., the transferring of the thirteen north-western villages of Madanapalli to Kadiri, would be incomplete, should the nine south-eastern villages of Kadiri not be given in exchange to Madanapalli; while the addition of the above thirteen villages to Kadiri, without the corresponding transfer of its nine villages to Madanapalli, would make the former Talook too much for one set of officials.

5. In the opinion of the Board, however, the reason given of "a well defined boundary" is not sufficient ground for placing these villages at so much greater a distance from their Kasbah, than they are at present, and though the intervening river may cause occasional inconvenience, the Board do not think this is sufficient reason for making the change, especially when their is the prospect of a bridge being shortly constructed over the Papagny, a measure which has been strongly pressed in the Proceedings of the Board dated 15th June 1863, No. 3,512, reviewing the Collector's report of the progress of Public Works in the Cuddapah District. The Board cannot therefore recommend the transfer of the nine villages referred to in paragraph 3 of these Proceedings from Kadiri to Madanapalli.

6. The same objection to the greater distance does not hold with respect to the four villages which it is proposed to transfer from Royachoty to Kadiri; and but for the fear of making Kadiri too large by the addition of these new villages, which the Sub-Collector apprehends, the Board would be disposed to support his recommendation. There is no actual necessity for the change however; and it will be seen by a reference to the map of the Cuddapah District, that if it is attempted to make the Papagny river a Talook boundary, it will become necessary to make still further changes in the Royachoty, Pulivendla and Cuddapah Talooks. The Board would therefore let matters stand as at present, as regards the proposal last referred to.

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department, 5th October 1863.

7. With reference to the last paragraph of the Acting Collector's letter of the 17th July, the Board request that early orders may be issued for erecting the Talook Cutcherry required at Kalkada.

No. 56. ORDER THEREON, 5th October 1863, No. 1,822.

1. These Proceedings relate to proposals made by the local Officers of the Cuddapah District for the transfers of certain villages, with the view of properly defining the boundaries of the Madanapalli, Kadiri, Kalkada and Royachoty Talooks, and of bringing the villages in question nearer to the Cusbah towns.

2. Of the proposed changes, the Board of Revenue recommend for sanction only the transfer of the seven villages from Kalkada to Madanapalli and of the twelve villages from Royachoty to Kalkada, referred to in paragraph 2 of their Proceedings. For the reasons stated by the Board, the Governor in Council resolves to adopt their recommendation, and grants authority for the transfers in question being carried into effect.

3. As regards the other changes proposed, viz., the transfer of nine villages from Kadiri to Madanapalli and of four villages from Royachoty to Kadiri, the Board have satisfactorily shown (paragraphs 3 to 5) that the former would not be advantageous, and (paragraph 6) that the latter is not called for.

4. The Board's remark in paragraph 5 as to the advisability of the early construction of a bridge over the Papagny river will be considered in the Public Works Department.

5. Referring to paragraph 7, it is observed that the plan and estimate for a Talook Cutcherry at Kalkada has received the approval of Government in Proceedings 31st August 1863, No. 1,593.

6. The Board will submit for publication in the "Fort Saint George Gazette" a Notification of the transfers sanctioned in this Order and in that dated 16th April 1863, No. 672. The date from which the changes are to be made will of course be inserted in the Notification.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) J. D. SIM,
Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.
„ Public Works Department.
„ Judicial Department.

Exd. S. T. Augustin.

No. 2,934.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 16th May 1863.

Read the following letters:—

From H. G. SMITH, Esq., Acting Collector of Cuddapah, to W. HUDLESTON, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Muddenpully, 25th April 1863, No. 61.

1. Mr. Wedderburn, in his letter to the Board of Revenue dated 21st February 1863, No. 23, requested them to consider the advantages that would be gained by the transfer of certain villages from Muddenpully Taluk to Kadiri Taluk, and I found also another letter* written by him, recommending that other villages should be transferred from Kadiri to Pulivendala Taluk.

* Not sent.

2. On personally conferring with Mr. Molony, the Sub Collector, on the subject, I found that not only the changes recommended by Mr. Wedderburn were very desirable, but also, with a view to have all the Taluks of the Sub-division better shaped and of a more equal size, particularly in regard to Royachoty which is too large, other arrangements would be very beneficial, I requested that Officer to draw up a letter on the subject. This letter I now have the honor to forward, and as I agree in every arrangement suggested by Mr. Molony, I beg the Board will take the matter under consideration, and recommend, for the sanction of Government, the transfer of villages as therein suggested. The present Beriz of the four Taluks at present is,

	Rupees.
Muddenpully...	1,70,066
Kalkadda	1,66,982
Kadiri...	1,12,241
Royachoty	1,45,833

ENCLOSURE No. 1.

From F. B. MOLONY, Esq., Sub-Collector of Cuddapah, to H. G. SMITH, Esq., Acting Collector of Cuddapah, dated Muddenpully, 22nd April 1863, No. 70.

1. As I understand that Mr. Wedderburn has proposed, for sanction, an alteration of the boundary of the Muddenpully Taluk by transferring several of the villages situated in the north of the Taluk to Kadiri, I take the liberty of pointing out a few other charges that I think of equal importance.

2. I perfectly coincide with Mr. Wedderburn in his views respecting the villages, the transfer of which he has already recommended, and it is, therefore, unnecessary now to speak of them.

3. There are a few villages, the names of which I subjoin, which at present belong to the Kadiri Taluk, but which lie south of the river Papagny. Mr. Wedderburn seems to have contemplated making that river the boundary of the Kadiri Taluk on the south and east; and it would be very convenient to have it so, as the river frequently comes down with great force and is then impassable, sometimes for several days, cutting off all communications of the northern villages with Muddenpully, and of the southern villages with Kadiri. Mr. Wedderburn has recommended that the villages of Muddenpully lying to the north of the river should be transferred to Kadiri, but he has not made any recommendation respecting the villages to the south of the river at present belonging to Kadiri being transferred to Muddenpully; they are,

Moondlawarpalli,	Bontalapalli,	Goonthoor,
Tavalum,	Coondelipadoogoo,	Gurrambyle,
Cootapalli Shrotriem,	Chikatamanapalli,	Tolaru,

4. To make this boundary complete, four villages of Royachoty.

1, Yellagull;
2, Catnaini Yerragoody;
3, Culloorpalli;
4, Toomcoontah;

which are at about the same distance from Royachoty and from Kadiri, but which lie west of the Papagny, should be made over to Kadiri. The boundary of that Taluk will then be complete;

the Kusbah will be almost in the exact centre of the Taluk, and there will be nothing to impede communication between any village and head quarters at any time of the year.

5. It is, as you are aware, the intention to fix the Kusbah of the Kalkada Taluk at Kalkada instead of as now at Voilpad. This change will throw some of the southern villages of that Taluk to a distance of over twenty miles from the Kusbah, and one village will be as far off as twenty-nine miles. The greatest distance of any of these villages from Muddenpully is fourteen miles. Their names and the distances from Kalkada and from Muddenpully are,

Names.	Miles from Kalkada.	Miles from Muddenpully.
Cookadoorty...	34	5½
Nimmanapalli...	20	10½
Moostoor.....	22	10½
Goondapalli...	24	7½
Tavalum...	22½	11
Kondamarry...	29	14
Agraharum...	18	11

I would advocate the transfer of these villages to Muddenpully when the Kusbah is removed to Kalkada.

6. Another transfer that the change of Kusbah to Kalkada will render advisable, is that of a few villages of Royachoty to Kalkada. The names and distances are,

	From Royachoty.	From Kalkada.
Gurumitta	18½	7
Oostakoylpentah	24	17
Jillalamundah...	20	14½
Cheenepalli	15	7½
Nootanacalvah...	17½	9

7. The Map will show that the effect of these proposed changes would be by no means great on the size of the respective Taluks. Kadiri would be slightly enlarged, and Royachoty, which is now rather unwieldy will lose a little. The other two would retain very nearly their present area.

8. The effect of these changes, in a revenue point of view, if carried into effect, would be an addition, to the Beriz of the Kadiri Taluk, of revenue as under:

		RS.	A.	P.
From Muddenpully.	Cokunty			
	Balasamudram	2,402	11	4
	Suddum	334	11	3
	Yedurudona	1,076	9	4
	Gootebyle	553	14	2
	Pedaballi	78	4	9
	Poolicoontah	1,953	9	4
	Murricommadinna...	1,238	2	1
	Danaencherroo	337	14	8
	Vunkamaddy	811	10	11
	Agraharum	207	8	7
	Corticota...	279	5	6
	Madinenipallium	220	10	8
		114	14	7
	Total...	9,609	15	2

		RS.	A.	P.
From Royachoty.	Yellagull			
	Cutnaineyerragoodi	455	8	0
	Culloorpalli	416	2	6
	Toomcoontah	537	7	3
		737	12	6
		2,146	14	3
	Total...	11,756	13	5

Deduct to be transferred to Muddenpully,

		RS.	A.	P.
From Kadiri.	Moondlawarpalli	456	4	6
	Tavalum	3,814	5	6
	Shrotriem Cottapalli	250	0	0
	Bontalapalli	1,124	8	3
	Coondelipadagoo	1,739	11	7
	Chikatamanpali	809	6	2
	Ganthur	242	4	8
	Gurrambyle	946	6	9
	Tolaru	237	4	9
		9,620	4	2
	The net addition to the revenue of the Taluk of Kadiri will therefore be.....	2,136	9	3

9. Supposing no change to be made in the Kalkada Taluk till the alteration of Kusbah, the Taluk of Muddenpully will receive an increase of Rupees 10-5-0.

10. In case the transfer from the Kalkada Taluk, as above recommended, is sanctioned to be carried out at once, the increase will be as under—

		RS.	A.	P.
	As above	10	5	0
From Kalkada Taluk.	Cookadoorty	1,421	8	11
	Nimmanapalli...	3,710	8	4
	Moostoor	2,019	2	1
	Goondapalli	2,494	9	3
	Tavalum	933	1	9
	Condamurry	2,233	8	6
	Agraharum	2,824	2	2
		15,646	14	0

Or a net addition of 15,646-14-0 to the Beriz of the Muddenpully Taluk.

11. The Kalkada Taluk will lose, as above Rupees, 15,636-9-0, and will receive,

	RS.	A.	P.
Gurumitta	6,916	1	3
Oostecoylpenta	1,424	10	8
Jillalahmunda	1,753	1	8
Cheenepalli	2,440	1	3
Nootanacalva	2,560	9	6
Marellah	2,073	7	2
	17,167	15	6
Deduct as above transferred to Muddenpully...	15,636	9	0
	1,531	6	6

or an addition to the Beriz of the Kalkada Taluk of Rupees 1,531-6-6.

12. The Royachoty Taluk will stand thus—

4. Villages to be transferred to Kadiri as above...	2,146	14	3
6. Villages to be transferred to Kalkada as above	17,167	15	6
	19,314	13	9

There being no villages to be transferred to Royachoty, it will lose Rupees 19,314-13-9.

13. It will be remarked that one-third of this sum is in the single village of Gurumitta. The Taluk of Royachoty is too large and will well bear the proposed reductions at its most distant points. It will then be a very compact Taluk with its Kusbah Town as near the centre as it could possibly be placed.

(True Copy)

(Signed) H. G. SMITH, Acting Collector.

From the same Officer, dated Muddenpully, 27th April 1863, No. 63.

While the Order of Government of the 16th instant, No. 672, on the Board's Proceedings dated 9th March 1863, No. 1,405, sanctioning the transfer of certain villages from the Muddenpully Taluk of this District to that of Kadiri was on the road, I had written a letter, dated 25th April 1863, No. 61, to the Board requesting other changes should also be made. I, therefore, have the honor to inform you that, should I not receive orders to the contrary, I shall keep the transfer under abeyance till I receive a communication from the Board as to the result of my abovementioned letter.

---

1. The Collector will please to report the distances of the villages named in paragraphs 3 and 4 of the Sub-Collector's letter from their present and proposed Kusbah Towns respectively.

2. The Board approve his proposal, to defer acting on the Government Order of 16th April 1863, No. 672, until all the contemplated changes are sanctioned.

(True Copies and Extract.)

(Signed) W. HUDLESTON,
Secretary.

To H. G. Smith, Esq, Acting Collector of Cuddapah.

Exd. J. Wright.

Enclosure in the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 30th June 1863, No. 3,953.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE.

No. 2,934.

Dated 16th May 1863.

Despatched

Received

Requesting to know the distances of certain villages from their present and proposed Kusbah Towns respectively, and approving the proposal to defer acting on the Government Order until all the contemplated changes are sanctioned.

6

No. 3,953.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 30th June 1863.

Read again letters from the Acting Collector of Cuddapah, dated 25th and 27th April, Nos. 61 and 63, and recorded in Board's Proceedings 16th May 1863, No. 2,934.

Read also the following letter from the same Officer, dated 22nd May 1863, No. 75 :—

With reference to the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated the 16th instant, I have the honor to specify below the distances of the villages named in paragraphs 3 and 4 of the Sub-Collector's letter, from their present and proposed Kasbah towns respectively.

	Distance from Kadiri, the present Kasbah. Miles.	Distance from Madanapalli, the proposed Kasbah. Miles.		Distance from Royachoti, the present Kasbah. Miles.	Distance from Kadiri, the proposed Kasbah. Miles.
Mundlawaripalli	18	30	Yellagallu...	19½	20
Tavalam	18	28	Cantinaini Erragude ..	23½	19
Coatapalli (Shrotriem) ...	19	29	Callurpalli	22½	18½
Bontalapalli	21½	25	Tumcoontah...	18	21
Cundelapadagu	22	28			
Shikati Manipalli	20	25			
Gunthur	19½	29			
Gurrambyle	21½	27½			
Toleru...	21	25			

---

1. In continuation of the arrangement proposed* by Mr. Wedderburn, and sanctioned by Government in their Proceedings of the 16th April last, No. 672, for the transfer of 13 villages from the Madanapilli Taluk to Kadiri, Mr. Smith sent up with his letter of the 25th April, a report from Mr. Molony, his Sub-Collector, proposing the transfer of other villages in order to render the Taluks of Madanapilli, Kadiri, Kalkada, and Royachoty more compact, and to bring the villages in question nearer to the Kusbah stations. Mr. Molony had omitted in two cases to note the distances of the villages from their present and proposed Kusbah towns respectively ; but this information is supplied by Mr. Smith in his letter of the 22nd May above recorded.

* In letter dated 21st February 1863, No. 23.
In Board's Proceedings, 9th March 1863, No. 1,405.

2. The Board are of opinion that it would be advantageous to transfer the villages mentioned in paragraphs 5 and 6 of Mr. Molony's report, from Kalkada to Madanapilli Taluk and from Royachoty to Kalkada Taluk, the removal of the Kusbah of the latter Taluk from Voilpad to Kalkada, having been approved by Government in their Proceedings of the 25th September 1860, No. 1,705. The Acting Collector will, however, report why the change has not yet been carried out.

3. Before, however, recommending the transfer of the villages mentioned in paragraph 3 of Mr. Molony's report, the distances of which from the two Kusbahs of Kadiri and Madanapilli have now been furnished by Mr. Smith, the Board request the Acting Collector to state whether the obstruction presented by the Papagny river is of such consequence as to make it desirable to attach these villages to a new Kusbah, which in almost every case will be *so very much more distant* than the present one, and the same in regard to the transfer of the villages mentioned in paragraph 4 of Mr. Molony's report from Royachoty to Kadiri.

4. One reason for the above transfer seems to be to make a river boundary ; and, although it may be preferable to follow a natural boundary rather than an artificial one, it is not always necessary to do so. In the transfer of all the other villages, the advantage of proximity to the Kusbah station by the change, will be attained ; but the very reverse will be the result in these last cases. And it is observed that the obstacle presented by the Papagny river is not likely to be permanent, as the matter was noticed by Mr. Smith in his report* on the progress of public works in the Cuddapah District, and the Board, when submitting this report to Government with their Proceedings dated 15th June 1863, No. 3,512, strongly recommended that early steps should be taken to bridge the river. The Board will await the Acting Collector's reply before they address Government on the subject.

* 22nd May 1863, No. 76.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) W. HUDLESTON,
Secretary.

To H. G. Smith, Esq., Acting Collector of Cuddapah.

Exd. J. Wright.

Encl. No 2 in the Proceedings of the Bd. of Revr. to the Govt to Govt R.O. dated 17th Sept 1863 No 3886.

1863. Department.

Rev. 2253/63.

No

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE,

Dated 30th June 1863.

No. 3,953.

Requesting further information in regard to the proposed transfer of certain villages.

2 Of the proposed changes, the Board of Revenue recommend for sanction only the transfer of the seven villages from Kalkada to Madanapalli and of the twelve villages from Royachoty to Kalkada referred to in para 2 of their Proceedings. For the reasons stated by the Board, the Govt in Council resolve to adopt their recommendation, and grant authority for the transfer in question being carried into effect.

3. As regards the other changes proposed viz, the transfer of nine villages from Kadiri to Madanapalli and of four villages from Royachoty to Kadiri, the Board have satisfactorily shown (para 3 to 5) that the former would be disadvantageous, and (para 6) that the latter were not called for.

4. The Board's remarks para 5 as to the advisability of the early construction of a bridge over the Papagny river on the Cuddapah and the Public Works Department.

5. Referring to para 7, it is observed that the plan and estimate for a Tar-coat Causeway at Kalkada have received the approval of Govt in Proceedings 31st August 1863 No 1693.

6. The Board will submit for publication in the Fort St George Gazette a Notification of the transfer sanctioned in this Order and

nd in that behalf to
Epril 1863 No 672. The
rule from which the
changes are to be made
will of course be noti-
fied in the Gazette

J. R. S

Board of Revenue Dept & Cert
Public Works Depart.
Judicial Depart.

Despatch

Revenue, 1863 Jan 10th
Proc: of Govt for October

No 1822.

Extr: of the Madras Govt
Dy 5th October 1863.

Tour Bill Read 10th Nov 63 Cr 2726.
Cr fully to para 6 of the Ord

Pro No. 444-445
25-9-60
" 141-142
16-4-63
" 217-218
17-9-63

Proposal of the Collector
of Cuddapah to transfer
certain Villages in his Dist:
from one Taluq to another,
sanctioned to certain extent

No 5556.