

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 1721	Press ~~Mis.~~
243.244.	
Dated	18-9-1918

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
18th September 1863.*

No. 243. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 14th September 1863:—

Read the following letter from G. S. FORBES, Esq., Collector of Ganjam, to W. HUDLESTON, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, Madras, dated Chatterpore, 31st August 1863, No. 167.

SIR,

1. I have the honor to enclose translation of a plaint filed against me in cause No. 11 of 1863, on the file of the Civil Court of Chicacole, for recovery from me of Rupees 19,985-2-1 by H. Seetamah and V. Venkubayamah, the widow and mother-in-law of a former Tahsildar of Poobacondah, who dying on the 31st October of 1860 left a Will which he prayed the Government to administer. This they were at first unwilling to do, but subsequently authorized me to give effect to its provisions. Copies of the correspondence which then passed are annexed.

2. The 2nd Defendant in this Suit, being a wealthy and respectable merchant, was selected by me to administer on behalf of Government, and a Power of Attorney duly executed to him. Since then the property of the deceased has been invested in Government paper, as it could be realized, and the provisions of the Will followed as far as the funds permitted; the order of the objects named in the Will has been only so far departed from that, whereas the Plaintiffs have a life maintenance assigned them under the Will on the interest of 7,252-13-3 Rupees, the first sums realized were invested for their benefit in preference to endowing the Choultry, because the women had no means of support and were entitled to be first considered.

3. The testator gave them no power over any of the funds, being probably aware that his mother-in-law, who is a woman of profligate character, was unfit for such a charge.

4. The object of the Suit seems to be simply to get the management of the property, no malversation appears to be charged in the plaint, and I think it sufficient to detail in the answer the several steps taken.

5. I request the Board will be good enough to obtain the sanction of Government to file the answer, if they consider it suitable. The charges must, I presume, be met from the estate itself. The date originally fixed for hearing was the 16th September, but on my applying for an extension of six weeks, it has been extended to 16th October next.

1. Submitted for the early orders of Government. There appears to be no alternative but to defend the Suit, and the draft answer appears to the Board to afford a complete defence.

2. The cost of the defence will of course be defrayed from the estate.

No. 244. ORDER THEREON, 18th September 1863, No. 1,721.

1. The defence of the Suit at the expense of the estate is sanctioned.

2. The Government observe among the enclosures of the Collector's letter, manuscript copies of printed orders and correspondence.

(True Extract.)

To the Board of Revenue.

Secretary to Government.

Exd. S. T. Augustin.

20 June/60 A=34.8-34.9
27 September—255-256

Nov = 1803 Dearest -
no: of your letter
N^o. 294 -

Proceedings of the
Board of Directors

Patel 14:10

14-00000

Dec 15th 1803

2-3-55

8-24-74

Submitting with remarks
letter from the collector of Jan.
2nd in forwarding translation of
a planis as made him by Dr.
Jestenski and W. Van Ruyssdael
the widow and brother-in-law
of a deceased Schilder-

2802435244

At 1721. 18. 20. 21.

With our Belles

Erldorf 1838

85-1721.

The distance of the
at the distance of the states
which is 1000 miles.

The first three

The enclosure of the

Chris. Latta, - Mr. S. Cobier
President
of order & comes forward

Thos. W. George
180. Wm. D. / 63.



14 Copies to the City of Rome with 8 parchment Bibles and 100