

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. <i>1635</i>	~~Press~~ ~~Mis.~~
<i>72-73</i>	
Dated	<i>5-9-1918</i>

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
5th September 1863.*

No. 72. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 30th July 1863, No. 4,765:—

Read the following letter from H. MORRIS, Esq., Acting Collector of the Godavery District, to W. HUDLESTON, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Cocanada, 11th July 1863, No. 191.

SIR,

1. I have the honor to report on Petition No. 3,343 of 1863, presented to Government by Sabinivisu Jogayya of Panangipalli and his brother and nephew, and referred to the Collector for inquiry and report by the Board of Revenue.

2. Petitioners request that the Inám land formerly held by their family may be restored, or that the allowance of Rupees 57-14-5, now granted them in lieu of it, may be continued to them in perpetuity.

3. Petitioners' case has already been under the consideration of the Government and the Board. Their Inám had been resumed by the late Zemindar of Kotaramachendrapur, before the Estate reverted to Government; and an allowance in money was granted them, as the Zemindar had formerly done. The final orders of Government were, that "an annual allowance of Rupees 57-14-5 should be continued to Jogayya and his brothers during their lives, or until the restoration to them of the Inám lands on the terms of their tenure in lieu of which the allowance was granted."

4. From this order it would appear that Government contemplated the restoration of the Inám to Petitioners. It has, however, been so long included in the Jirayati lands, that it would now be almost impracticable to ascertain its exact position and extent. The villages in which it was situated have, moreover, been demarcated and surveyed, and it has been entered in the Survey Maps as Jirayati.

5. Petitioners would gain considerably, if their former Inám could be restored or land of the same extent, and, as far as possible, of the same quality, were granted to them, as the villages have much improved under the anicut irrigation; and, if the Government wish, I will endeavour to ascertain the dimensions of their Inám, or, in communication with the Director of Revenue Settlement give them an equivalent in another part of the same village. Their term of tenure was entirely freehold.

6. I think, however, that it would be advisable, as the land was resumed by the former Zemindar, although documents in support of their claims had been registered in the Collector's Office in A. D. 1812, to continue their present allowance in perpetuity.

7. The allowance was originally enjoyed by four brothers, one of whom died some years ago, and his share was continued to the survivors by order of the Board. Another died lately, and his share will likewise be continued to the other two.

8. The Petition is herewith returned.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.—Petition.

1. Resolved that the above letter be submitted to Government with reference to their endorsement on the Petition No. 3,343 of 1862.

2. The Board concur with Mr. Morris in considering that it will be unadvisable to restore to Petitioners the lands claimed by them as Inám. The history of Petitioners' claim is detailed at length in the Board's Proceedings noted in the margin.

Dated 15th September 1863, No. 3,478. Previous to the Zemindary coming into the hands of Government, the Petitioners' Inám lands were resumed by the Zemindar, the descendant, probably, of the chief who originally granted them to Petitioners' ancestors, and a fixed annual allowance was granted in lieu of them. It seems to the Board, therefore, that Petitioners will be dealt with in a sufficiently liberal manner if the allowance is continued in perpetuity as proposed by the Acting Collector.

No. 73.

1. The payment referred to in these Proceedings is apparently one of those alluded to in paragraph 5 of the Proceedings of Government, 29th June 1848, No. 656. Before, therefore, the continuance of the allowance in perpetuity can be sanctioned, it must be shown that the original grant of the Inám land, which the Zemindar afterwards resumed, was made prior to 1768.

3. The Government agree with the Board that the Inám land cannot be restored. The continuance of the money allowance beyond the lives of Petitioners will depend on the point above mooted. If the Inám land had been granted prior to 1768 the Board will consider and report whether instead of continuing the allowance it would not be advisable to give a new piece of land of equivalent value on Inám tenure in lieu of it.

(True Extract.)

To the Board of Revenue,

Exd. S. T. Augustín.

— No 3343 of 1862 4

The humble representation of Subiniv
Joria, Paganak, and Pontia the

[illegible][illegible]

to 2 1/2 acres
 1/2 acre
 very distinct
 to submit
 able —
 recently
 holdings
 and or
 the estate
 lands
 Putter
 1
 2
 2
 1/4
 5 1/4
 land —
 which is

land in a separate Zamindari is heretofore in
possession) having been fertile and tending a
favourable List, the late Zamindar of the Saloo,
which the villages are situated incorporated those
and in his ~~own~~ private Series on cultivating lands

ED. J. WRIGHT.

extracting that we have only solicited orders for our permanent holding of the lands separately in our joint families, but not to distribute the share of the (deceased), and that to have kindly a recall upon the records from the collector and to issue favourable orders as to have us either been paying by money or the lands themselves placed in our disposal as requested in question.

Upon which we were furnished with an order and a memorandum on the 16th April 1860, stating that ordered the matter under date of the 11th October 1858 No 1378 that the amount of Rs 57-14-5 as allowance be paying either till we are alive or till the lands may be left in the disposal of Gossa and his 2 brothers.

As it appears by the last number of orders passed on our petition that we are to receive the allowance as long as we live or until the lands may given in possession of us and we fear that this allowance will be stopped off and the lands may be elapsed to the Govt after our time, we with due difference most earnestly solicit your gracious honour to have an eye of compassion on us (who have legal right for the enjoyment of lands) and after having called upon our document, ordering the Inam Commissioners or the collector of this District to enquire into the matter fully and report the result that seems then to be true and justice for our sake.

ದೂರವಿಳಿಸಿರುವುದು
 ದೂರವಿಳಿಸಿರುವುದು
 ದೂರವಿಳಿಸಿರುವುದು

issue favourable orders for our being bestowed upon with permanent Pallas or Title deeds for the holding of the lands succeeding in our family, seeing that we are accordingly, or with orders that the same allowance may be without least objections be paying from the Circar also in our descendants respectively. Trusting that we shall be excused for the presumption offered by the above lines and have us looked with justice as implored, as all the lands in the Talook of Ramachandrapoor having been demarcated and surveyed and assessment is in the point of being opened.

For which act of benevolence we are duty bound ever pray.

Respectfully
 22 November 1862

ದಾಖಲೆ ವಿಳಾಸ ಸ್ವೀಕೃತಿ
 ಮುಖ್ಯಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳು

ಮುಖ್ಯಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳು
 ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ಕಛೇರಿ
 ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು

Received
 The Chief Secretary
 & Government
 By order
 Wm
 Sec. Sec.
 Geo. H. Mace
 Secy. Secy.
 Secy. Secy.

N^o. 3343 of 1862.

Forwarded to the Board of
 Revenue for enquiry and report with reference
 to the order of Government dated 11th October 1858, N^o.
 1378 Re. D. para. 1 of which points to the possible
 restoration to the Petitioners of the Indian land
 now in question.

Fort St. George
 3^d March 1863.

By Order

C. M. A. C.

By Secy. to Govt.

8.

Read the following letter from H. MORRIS, Esq., Acting Collector of the Godavery District, to W. HUDLESTON, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Cocanada, 11th July 1863, No. 191:—

2. Petitioners request that the Inam land formerly held by their family may be restored, or that the allowance of Rupees 57-14-5, now granted them in lieu of it, may be continued to them in perpetuity.

4. From this order it would appear that Government contemplated the restoration of the Inam to Petitioners. It has, however, been so long included in the Jirayati lands, that it would now be almost impracticable to ascertain its exact position and extent. The villages in which it was situated have, moreover, been demarcated and surveyed, and it has been entered in the Survey Maps as Jirayati.

6. I think, however, that it would be advisable, as the land was resumed by the former Zemindar, although documents in support of their claims had been registered in the Collector's Office in A. D. 1812, to continue their present allowance in perpetuity.

8. The Petition is herewith returned.

1. Resolved that the above letter be submitted to Government with reference to their endorsement on the Petition No. 3,343 of 1862.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

W. A. Brown

To J. D. Sim. Esq., Secretary to Government, R. D.

Exd. J. Wright.

Revenue Department

No. 1635

Proceedings of the Madras Government

Under date the 5th September 1863

Read the following
Proceedings of the Board of
Revenue.

Submitting with remarks on
report from the Acting Collector
of the Godavery District on
Petition No. 3313 of 1862 requesting
the restoration of certain Inams.

Here enter 30th July 1863 at 1755

The payment referred
to in these Proceedings is
apparently one of those
alluded to in para 15 of the
Proceedings of Government
20th June 1848 at 656. Before
therefore the continuance of
the allowance in perpetuity
can be sanctioned it must
be shown that the original
grant of the Inam land, which
the Zemindar afterwards re-
sumed, was made prior to
1768.

Mr. Morris,

Cons: 20 June 148 No 29-30.
Per: 11 Oct 1863/58-11-62-62.

Rev: 1863. Department.
No: 1635 for Sept.

No 2044.
PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE.

Dated 30th July 1863.
Despatched 4th Aug 1863.
Received 28th —
E. 1.07

No. 4705.

Submitting with remarks report from the Act-
ing Collector of the Godavery District on Petition
No 3313 of 1862 requesting the restoration of
certain Inams.

This payment is apparently one of those alluded to in para 15 of the Proceedings of Government 20th June 1848 at 656. Before therefore the continuance of the allowance in perpetuity can be sanctioned it must be shown that the original grant of the Inam land, which the Zemindar afterwards resumed, was made prior to 1768.

Mr. Morris, the Act: Col: is mistaken in supposing that if the restoration of the Inam land had been found practicable it would have been restored in perpetuity as a matter of course. The Government may not have been able to afford it.

It is not the case that the Inam land cannot be restored. — The continuance of the money allowance beyond the lives of the Inam holders is the point at issue. It is not the case that it may be advisable to give a new piece of land of equivalent value to the Inam land in lieu of it. — The Inam

Cons: 20 June 148 No 29-30.

Per: 11 Oct 1863/58-11-62-62.

2. Mr. Morris, the Acting Collector is mistaken in supposing that if the restoration of the Inam lands had been found practicable it would have been restored in perpetuity as a matter of course. The Government order quoted above does not bear out such a supposition.

3. The Government agree with the Board that the Inam lands cannot be restored.

The continuance of the money allowance beyond the lives of Petitioners will depend on the point above mooted.

If the Inam lands had been granted prior to 1768 the Board will consider and report, whether instead of continuing the allowance it would not be advisable to give a new piece of land of equivalent value or

15
on Inam tenure in lieu of it.

The Collector should state when and by whom the Inam was granted and whether it was excluded from the assets on which the Peshcush of the Estate was calculated.

L.S.

11. Bd of Rev. Copy & etc

Revenue/863 Sept 17th
No: of Govt for deposit

N: 1635.

P: of the Madras Govt:
Dated 29th September/63.

To the Secy to the Govt
Dated 26th October/63
N: 287-288

Certain information
called for regarding
the restoration of the
Shrine of Saniyathulla
in Coimbatore District.

10/2/73.