

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 1466

Press
~~Mis~~

531.532

Dated

30.7.1965

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
30th July 1863.*

No. 531. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 9th April 1863, No. 2,040:—

Read the following letter from B. CUNLIFFE, Esq., Collector of Madras, to W. HUDLESTON, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Saidapet, 11th August 1862, No. 292:—

SIR,

1. I have the honor to submit the accompanying Statements connected with the survey of

Statement No. 1, Comparative Statement of the former and present Egmore Survey.			
Statement No. 2, Area in detail of the Egmore Division, &c.			
Do.	No. 3, do.	do. of	do. exclusive of Poramboke.
Do.	A, Isam lands under Free Certificates in	do.	do.
Do.	B, do. without Certificates in	do.	do.
Do.	C, Lands left for public use in	do.	do.
Do.	D, Public Buildings in	do.	do.
Do.	E, Lands hitherto enjoyed free, but now recommended to be assessed in	do.	do.
Do.	G, Parties not attended for inquiry in	do.	do.
Do.	H, Book of claims in	do.	do.
Do.	I, Book of remarks and opinion of the Collector.	do.	do.
Do.	J, Abstract of Maniam lands in	do.	do.
Do.	K, Charges incurred for the survey of	do.	do.

the Egmore, Kilpauk and Chetput divisions, and to state that, though the Register's Department had not completed its inquiries until some time after the survey had been finished, my report has been delayed, owing to only recent receipt of information from the

Government Law Officers as to the assessment of the property of His Highness the late Nawab of the Carnatic, though repeated references were made to them.

2. The survey was commenced in November 1856, occupied one year, and extended over 1,373 Cawnies, at an expenditure of 4,728 Rupees, or Rupees 3-7-0 per Cawny.

3. The Superintendent of Revenue Survey has lately tested the measurements in these divisions, found but very few showing an error of more than 5 per cent., and has expressed himself to me much pleased with the excellent work of the Madras survey generally.

4. Statement 1 shows that an increase has been found in the three Divisions as marginally noted over Mr. Ogilvie's survey in 1803. The variation is probably owing to the greater accuracy of the present Survey.

5. Statement No. 2 (3?) gives the estimated increase of revenue, after deducting, as valid alienations (Statement G) half the extent of land hitherto enjoyed free of rent, and for which titles have not as yet been produced, but as quit-rent bills have now been issued for the whole extent, claims, if any, to exemption will soon be brought forward.

6. The survey may, therefore, be taken to have caused an increased revenue of 460 Rupees, and to have paid its expenses, besides contributing accurate maps of both public and private property, a subject of such great importance in the Presidency town.

Board's Petitions.	
No.	of
2,668	of 1858.
2,925	of 1859.
2,300	of 1860.
2,333	of 1861.

7. The assessments made and decisions passed having been strictly in accordance with the course observed in the former surveys, and which has obtained the approval of the Government, I have nothing to bring to the especial notice of the Board, save the question raised by the inhabitants of Comaleswaranpetta in the Egmore division, claiming to hold their lands exempt from quit-rent and alleging that the village is a Maniam to its Pagoda.

8. These Petitions are of old date, but the Board permitted (Proceedings 31st October 1859, No. 4,449) the report upon them to be delayed until that of the survey was submitted.

9. From Mr. Ogilvie's report to the Board of 9th October 1803, it will be seen, paragraph 3, that he made a minute inquiry into the alleged Maniams of the Egmore division, and that

if any claim of that nature was then set up for the Pagoda of Comaleswaranpetta, it is clear from one of the enclosures to that report that he repudiated it, and that he allowed a Maniem to the Pagoda of a garden consisting of 2 Cawnies, 11 Grounds 403 Square feet. The entry in his list is as follows:—

No.	Village.	Proprietor's name.	Title Deeds.	Extent.	Remarks.
83	Egmore.	Comaleswaran Pagoda.	No Title Deed ...	2 11 403	{ A Pagoda Garden Charity grant may be issued. Certificates may be issued.
82	Do.	Comaleswaran Pettah.	More than 35 years' possession ...	12 10 321	

10. The reply of the Board to this report cannot be found on my records, nor, I believe, on those of the Board, for I made inquiry upon the latter point. The denial of the Maniem to the Pettah lands, and the admission of a small extent on this tenure to the Pagoda, are proof of Mr. Ogilvie's intentions, even was the specific remark that the Pettah land was to be taxed, absent. I therefore in 1858 dismissed the claim of holding the land on Lackiraz tenure, for no alleged grant was forthcoming, and no such claim could be preferred under Section 2, Act XII of 1851. As it was plain that no grant had been issued in 1803, I directed the assessment of the land with arrears.

11. Petitioners, however, rest their pretensions chiefly on the Pettah land having been styled "Maniem to the Pagoda" in a correspondence which passed in 1820 between the Board and the Collector, Mr. Spottiswoode, copy of all which can be found on my records of that correspondence is forwarded in enclosure L.

12. The Board will remark that the question at issue had no reference to the receipts of the Pagoda, but to a dispute as to the proprietary right to the lands of the Pettah, between the Egmore Mirasidars and certain Payakaris, whom the former had permitted to occupy lands in that quarter.

13. Mr. Spottiswoode had issued Certificates to the Payakaris, by which they were converted into landlords, but the Government subsequently recalled these documents, and directed that none should issue to either party, until the right of proprietorship had been determined, and this course is followed to the present day.

14. In the correspondence, the Pettah is certainly called "Maniem to the Pagoda," but I conceive that the Board merely repeated the Collector's designation, as the question of the appropriation of the Melvaram was not then before them: and that therefore their expression cannot be construed into an acquiescence on their part of the correctness of the term, now that the tenure is expressly in discussion.

15. The Collector in 1820 probably took the declarations of this Office servants, through whose collusion only could Mr. Ogilvie's suggestions to assess the Pettah have been availed, for the tenure must have come under the consideration of that gentleman, and we have not only no free grant issued by him, but an express recommendation to levy quit-rent on the land.

16. The Petitioners state that the Melvaram has been regularly paid by them to the Pagoda, but if this was so, it was merely an optional offering, for many residents of the Pettah appeared before me and declared that they had never paid anything to the Pagoda, nor had any demand been made upon them. Had the Pagoda Trustees the right to call upon them to contribute, he would most probably enforce it through the agency of the Small Cause Court.

17. I am of opinion, therefore, that my assessment should be confirmed, and the prayer of the Petitioners dismissed.

18. By Statement C, it will be observed that certain portions of land, formerly held under certificates, have been resumed on behalf of the Government. The extent is

	Cawnies.	Grounds.
In Egmore	81	18
Do.	2	4
Kilpauk	2	1
Chetput	24	21

These lands were a constant source of troublesome investigation to the Office, especially in Egmore.

19. The ground was waste and paid no quit-rent, and when required by the Government, or applied for by any private person for cultivation, an old certificate for land in that quarter, which could not however be applied to any specific portion, was presented, and a claim to proprietorship set up, and disallowed only after much inquiry and local measurements.

20. At the Survey, therefore, I issued a notice, calling upon these Certificate holders to claim their lands and discharge the quit-rent in arrears, and in default, that the ground would be resumed by the Government. There was no response to this call, and therefore the land in my opinion vests now in the Government absolutely, and that the Mirasidars of the village have no claim to it.

1. Ordered that the foregoing report on the results of the survey of the Egmore, Kilpauk and Chetput Divisions of Madras, be submitted for the information of Government.

2. The survey was completed one year after its commencement in November 1856, but the Collector was unable to submit his present report until August 1862, owing to want of information in respect to the assessment of the Nawab's property, which the Government Law Officers only very recently afforded. The work has been tested by the Superintendent of Revenue Survey, and has been highly approved by him, errors in excess of 5 per cent. having been discovered in but very few instances. It extended over Cawnies 1,373, Grounds 15, Square feet 2,089½ of land, and resulted in a total increase of about 127 Cawnies in the three divisions, in excess of the extent recorded in Mr. Ogilvie's survey of 1803, as shown below; this difference being attributable to the greater accuracy of the present survey.

Division.	Survey of 1803.	Present survey.	Excess.
Egmore	668 7 6	749 18 367½	81 11 361½
Kilpauk	234 13 2,099½	242 19 508½	8 5 808½
Chetput	343 17 1,642	381 2 1,214½	37 8 1,972½
Total ...	1,246 14 1,347½	1,373 15 2,089½	127 1 742½

3. Of the above extent of 1,374 Cawnies in round numbers, Cawnies 819-7 pay a quit-rent of Rupees 4,935-0-10, Cawnies 393-21, assessed at Rupees 2,082-3-11, are at present in free occupation, and Cawnies 160-10 are Porumbuke. The following Abstract, prepared from the

Collector's Statements Nos 2 and 3, omitting fractions, shows these results in detail and the different descriptions of property of which the entire extent is made up:—

Division.	Description of Property.	Lands hitherto assessed, and held either with or without any Certificates, Bills of Sale, or Grants.			Land hitherto unassessed, and held with or without fee Certificates, or documents of any kind.			Total.	
		Extent.		Assessment.	Extent.		Assessment.	Extent.	Assessment.
		C. a.	Rs. A. P.		C. a.	Rs. A. P.		C. a.	Rs. A. P.
Egmore.	Houses, Bungalows, Paddy-fields and Gardens	384 0			21 4			408 4	
	Choultries				0 10			0 10	
	Hindu Pagodas and their Maniems	0 2	2,537 6	1	13 15			12 17	
	Mosques				0 6			0 6	
	Christian Churches and their Maniems	2 2						2 2	
	Charitable Institutions.				15 16	1,412 7	1	13 16	
	Government Lands				179 13			179 13	
	Police Tannahs				0 2			0 2	
	Public buildings				23 17			23 17	
	Do. Laterines				0 15			0 15	
Kilpauk.	Porumboke							106 12	
	Total	386 4	2,537 6	1	257 2	1,412 7	1	749 18	3,949 13 2
	Houses, Bungalows, Paddy-fields and Gardens.	140 14			5 2			145 16	
	Choultries				1 13			1 13	
	Brick-kilns	10 5	777 9	3				10 5	
	Hindu Pagodas and their Maniems				3 9	403 13	0	3 9	
	Burial Grounds*								
	Government lands				15 8			15 8	
	Public buildings				51 7			51 7	
	Porumboke							15 8	
Chetput.	Total	150 19	777 9	3	76 15	403 13	0	242 18	1,181 6 3
	Houses, Bungalows, Paddy-fields and Gardens	282 8	1,570 1	6	6 12			288 20	
	Hindu Pagodas and their Maniems				1 23			1 23	
	Charitable Institutions.				15 22	265 15	10	15 22	
	Government lands				24 22			24 22	
	Police Tannahs				10 21			10 21	
	Porumboke							38 14	
	Total	282 8	1,570 1	6	60 4	265 15	10	581 2	1,836 1 4
	Grand Total	819 7	4,885 0	10	393 21	2,082 3	11	1,373 14	6,967 4 9

* 878½ Square feet are occupied by Burial Grounds in Kilpauk; but the entry has been omitted in the above Abstract the extent is but the fraction of a ground.

Egmore	Houses, &c.	...	...	...	24 4
	Choultries	...	...	...	0 10
	Hindu Pagodas, &c.	...	...	...	12 8
	Mosques	...	...	...	0 6
Kilpauk	Charitable Institutions	...	...	...	18 6
	Houses, &c.	...	...	...	8 2
	Choultries	...	...	...	1 13
	Pagodas, &c.	...	...	...	8 9
					10 6
					6 12
					1 23
					18 23
					24 2
					84 16

4. With respect to Cawnies 86-16 of land (as particularized in the margin) out of the 393-12 Cawnies shown in column 5 above to be held rent-free, whether unauthorizedly or otherwise, the Collector makes the following recommendations which the Board support:—

To be continued.

Statement A.—Inam lands held under fee Certificates, viz.

Egmore	Hindu Devasthanams	...	...	...	5 1
	Choultries	...	...	...	0 5
	Charitable Institutions	...	...	...	15 16
					20 22
Kilpauk	Hindu Devasthanams	...	...	...	2 4
	Choultries	...	...	...	1 12
					3 16
Chetput	Charitable Institutions	...	...	...	15 23
					40 13

Statement B.—Inams without any Title Deeds.

Egmore	Hindu Devasthanams	...	...	...	2 11
	Mahomedan Mosques and Burial grounds	...	...	...	0 6
					2 17
Kilpauk	Hindu Devasthanams	...	...	...	0 1
	do. do.	...	...	...	0 1
					2 18

Total to be continued, Cawnies ... 43 7

* The correct entries of Pagoda lands in Kilpauk and Chetput should be 603 Square feet, and 1,167 Square feet of land respectively.

To be resumed.

Statement E.—Lands hitherto enjoyed without Title Deeds.

Egmore	Houses, ground and gardens, &c., belonging to private individuals	...	...	...	18 20
Kilpauk	Houses, grounds and Gardens, &c. belonging to private individuals	...	...	...	0 19
	Hindu Devasthanams and their Maniems	...	...	...	0 11
					1 6
Chetput	Hindu Devasthanams and their Maniems	...	...	...	1 1
					21 3

Statement G.—Lands reported to be enjoyed rent-free, but the owners of which have failed to attend and produce their Title Deeds.

Egmore	Houses, grounds and gardens, &c., belonging to private individuals	...	...	...	5 7
	Choultries	...	...	...	0 6
	Hindu Devasthanams and their Maniems	...	...	...	5 2
					10 15
Kilpauk	Houses, grounds and gardens, &c., belonging to private individuals	...	...	...	4 8
	Hindu Devasthanams and their Maniems	...	...	...	0 18
					5 2
Chetput	Houses, grounds and gardens, &c., belonging to private individuals	...	...	...	6 11
	Hindu Devasthanams and their Maniems	...	...	...	0 22
					7 9
					23 2

Total to be res. 1, Cawnies ... 44 5

5. In paragraph 5 the Collector supposes that about half the extent of land in Statement G (as shown above) may prove to be valid alienations; in such case, and assuming that the foregoing recommendations will not be materially affected by the orders of Government, the annual increase of revenue attending the survey will be about 460 Rupees a year in the three divisions, as shown below:—

		C.	O.	Ma.	A.	P.
Egmore.	Total area exclusive of Porumboke	643	8	3,949	13	2
	<i>Deduct.</i>					
	Lands in Statements A and B	23	15			
	Do. do. C and D, being streets and grounds occupied by public roads, &c.	203	23	1,194	13	0
	One-half of lands in Statement G, assumed as the probable extent of valid alienations	8	8	39	3	10
	Total	232	22	1,234	0	10
	Area assessed to the Revenue	410	8	2,715	12	4
	Do. hitherto assessed	367	12	2,423	4	5
	Increase	42	20	292	7	11
	Total area exclusive of Porumboke	227	10	1,181	5	3
Kilpauk.	<i>Deduct.</i>					
	Lands in Statements A and B	3	16			
	Do. do. C and D, being streets and grounds occupied by public roads, &c.	66	15	369	0	6
	One-seventh of lands in Statement G, assumed as the probable extent of valid alienations	0	17	4	0	8
	Total	71	0	373	1	2
	Area assessed to the Revenue	158	10	808	5	1
	Do. hitherto assessed	146	4	756	2	2
	Increase	10	6	52	2	11
	Total area exclusive of Porumboke	342	12	1,836	1	4
	<i>Deduct.</i>					
Chetput.	Lands in Statement A and B	16	0			
	Do. do. C and D, being streets and grounds occupied by public roads, &c.	35	18	219	9	0
	One-eighth of lands in Statement G, assumed as the probable extent of valid alienations	0	22	5	1	3
	Area assessed to the Revenue	52	16	224	10	9
	Do. hitherto assessed	289	20	1,611	0	7
	Increase	269	20	1,496	12	5
	Total Increase of three Divisions	20	0	114	10	0
		73	2	459	3	0

6. As noticed by the Collector in paragraph 2, the survey cost 4,728 Rupees, or Rupees 3-7-0 per Cawny.

7. In paragraphs 7 to 17, the Collector reports on certain Petitions presented sometime ago by inhabitants of Comaleswarapettah in Egmore, claiming to hold their lands exempt from quit-rent; the Board having, in their Proceedings of the 31st October 1859, No. 4,449, approved the Collector's suggestion to delay this report until the completion of the survey of Egmore. The Petitioners state that the pettah lands

belong to the Pagoda, and that from time immemorial "the income of the lands" has been paid and appropriated to the service of the temple. They make this Statement in the Petitions presented both to the Government and to the Board, and rest their claim chiefly on the circumstance (1) of the pettah land having been always hitherto exempt; (2) of its having been referred to as "Maniem to the Pagoda," in a correspondence in 1820* between the Board and Mr. Spottiswoode, the Collector; and (3) of the rent of the lands having been paid to the Pagoda.

* Vide correspondence in Memorandum 1, enclosed in the Collector's letter, which is requested to be returned.

8. The first point is no doubt borne out by the facts of the case, but it is clear that the exemption can only have taken place in consequence either of oversight or collusion, most probably the latter, for in Mr. Ogilvie's report alluded to by Mr. Cunliffe not only is a certain portion of the land set down as Maniem to the Pagoda, but the remainder of it is particularly entered as liable to assessment, the ordinary certificate having been recommended to be issued. The claim of the Pagoda was fully before Mr. Ogilvie, and a definite proposal made upon it.

9. To the second point, upon which Petitioners lay great stress, that the land was termed "Maniem to the Pagoda" in 1820, by the Collector, the Board, and Government, is entirely disposed of when it is remembered that the Melvarum of the land was not in any way under consideration at the time when the correspondence took place. The Collector in the first instance used the term, and he seems to have been followed by the Board and Government, but in the face of Mr. Ogilvie's express suggestion, a mere inadvertent Statement of this sort, when the point itself was not before the authorities making it, cannot be considered binding.

10. With regard also to the third point urged in support of the claim, that the rent was regularly paid to the Pagoda, there is nothing whatever to show, that any fixed sum was regularly paid by all, but on the contrary several of the residents have stated to Mr. Cunliffe that no payment was ever made by them, nor had it been ever demanded. It is excessively improbable that this would have been the case had there been any authority to collect quit-rent vested in the Pagoda as the Trustees could have easily enforced payment by an action in the Court of Small Causes, and their omission to do so seems to the Board a strong argument against the validity of such a claim.

11. Under all the circumstances of the case, the Board are of opinion that Petitioners should be called upon to prove their right in Court, and should Government approve of this course, the Petition will be rejected under Section 12 of Act XII of 1851.

12. It is true that these lands have been enjoyed free of any demand on the part of Government for upwards of 60 years, but as no grant is even alleged by Petitioners to have been made, and as the lands were classed by Mr. Ogilvie as assessable so far back as 1803, the Board do not consider that under Section 2 of the Act they can claim to be Lakraj.

13. The Board approve of the Collector's proceedings in resuming the lands for which no claimants have come forward, as reported in paragraphs 18, 19 and 20 of his letter.

No. 532. ORDER THEREON, 30th July 1863, No. 1,416.

1. With these Proceedings the Board of Revenue submit the report of the Collector of Madras on the results of the survey of Egmore, Kilpauk, and Chetput Divisions. The following table will show in one view the extent surveyed, the time occupied in the survey, and the cost of the survey in the Divisions under notice and in the three surveyed previously.

Divisions.	Extent surveyed.	Time occupied in survey, about	Total cost of survey.			Cost per Cawny.		
			RS.	A.	P.	RS.	A.	P.
Cawnics.		Years. M. D.						
Saint Thomé	3,114	2 2 0	9,184	9	3	2	12	2
Nungumbakum	667	4 2 4	2,113	3	10	3	2	0
Triplicane	857	2 5 20	10,581	10	0	12	5	6
Egmore, Kilpauk and Chetput	1,374	1 0 0	4,728	0	0	3	7	0

The period occupied in the survey of the last Division appears moderate; but the cost per Cawny, though much less than that incurred in Triplicane, is above the rates at which the survey of the two first named Divisions was performed.

2. The Government observe that though the survey was completed so long ago as 1857 the Collector Mr. Cunliffe did not submit his report until August 1862. The reason assigned for this extraordinary delay is the want of information in respect to the assessment of the late Nabob's property, which the Government Law Officers are reported to have only very recently afforded. The Government cannot admit the validity of this excuse, when it appeared that the information referred to was not forthcoming, within a reasonable period, the matter should at least have been reported to the Board.

3. The Government are glad to learn that the general character of the survey operations is good, and that errors in excess of five per cent. were discovered in but very few instances when the Superintendent of Revenue Survey tested the work.

4. The results of the survey are an excess of 127 Cawnies over Mr. Ogilvie's survey of 1803 and an increase in the annual revenue of 460 Rupees, besides accurate maps of both public and private property which must prove very useful.

5. The Government are much pleased at the general success of the survey, and they will now proceed to pass orders on such parts of the Board's Proceedings as require them.

	Extent.		Assessment.	
	C.	G.	Rs.	A. P.
Assessed	819	7	4,885	0 10
Rent free	393	31	2,082	8 11
Porumpoke	160	10	0	0 0
Total	1,372	14	6,967	4 9

	C. G.		Sq. Ft.	
	C.	G.	Sq.	Ft.
Egmore	20	22	2298	
Kilpauk	8	15	2,195	
Chetput	15	22	1,280	
Total	43	59	5,773	

	C. G.		Sq. Ft.	
	C.	G.	Sq.	Ft.
Egmore	3	17	997	11
Kilpauk	0	0	502	
Chetput	0	0	1,167	
Total	3	17	1,666	11

	C. G.		Sq. Ft.	
	C.	G.	Sq.	Ft.
Egmore	18	20	915	9
Kilpauk	1	5	3,168	17
Chetput	1	1	91	
Total	20	26	4,174	26

	C. G.		Sq. Ft.	
	C.	G.	Sq.	Ft.
Kilpauk	0	11	804	
Chetput	1	1	91	
Total	1	12	895	

property, but the actual sites of the Devasthanums should be exempted. The maniums which do not appear from public records to have been enjoyed as such for any length of time should also be assessed, but not with arrears as apparently intended by the Collector.

	C. G.		Sq. Ft.	
	C.	G.	Sq.	Ft.
Egmore	10	15	739	
Kilpauk	6	1	2,311	12
Chetput	7	9	949	13
Total	23	25	3,600	25

6. The total extent of 1,374 Cawnies surveyed consists of assessed, rent-free, and Porumpoke lands in the proportions given in the margin. The Board support the recommendation of the Collector that 40⁺ Cawnies and 13 Grounds already held under free certificates on account of Hindu Devasthanums, Choultries, and charitable Institutions, be continued rent free. This the Government sanction.

7. The extent of Inams held without any Title Deeds on account of Institutions similar to those alluded to in the preceding paragraph and which the Board propose to continue rent free is Cawnies 2⁺ and Grounds 18. Sanction is granted accordingly.

8. The extent of land hitherto enjoyed without Title Deeds and to be hereafter assessed as recommended by the Collector is 21⁺ Cawnies and 3 Grounds. This extent is occupied by houses, gardens, and ground, &c., belonging to private individuals except 1 Cawny and 12 Grounds which constitute the sites and maniums of Hindu Devasthanums. The Government have no objection to assess all private maniums which should be exempted. The maniums which have been enjoyed as such for any length of time should be assessed in consequence of the parties interested therein not having attended and produced their Title Deeds is 23⁺ Cawnies and 2 Grounds. The Government sanction this measure in regard to all private property and sites of

9. The extent of land proposed to be assessed in consequence of the parties interested therein not having attended and produced their Title Deeds is 23⁺ Cawnies and 2 Grounds. The Government sanction this measure in regard to all private property and sites of

Choultries; the lands held rent free under certificates should, however, continue to be exempted. Devasthanum lands and burial grounds and such maniums as are supported by the public records should also continue to be exempted from assessment.

10. The Government consider the claim preferred to the land in Comleswarapetta on behalf of the Pagoda thereto be wholly untenable. No grant is produced or even alleged and Mr. Ogilvie's Report of 1803 is fatal to the claim. The Board will instruct the Collector to assess the land in strict accordance with Act XII of 1851 and leave it to the Trustees to have recourse to law if they think fit.

11. There is nothing in the papers before Government to show what measures have been adopted for lithographing for sale several copies of the Survey Maps as ordered in paragraph 10 of the Order of Government, dated 25th November 1858, No. 1,585. The Board of Revenue will be requested to report on the subject.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) J. D. SIM,
Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue,

Exd. S. T. Augustin.

1863

Proceedings of the Madras Government -
Under date 20th July 1863.

Read the following
proceedings of the Board
Revenue.

Submitting with remarks, re-
port from the Collector of
Madras on the results of the
survey of the Egmore, Hill-
pauk and Chetput divisions
of Madras.

> (Hence entered 9th April 1863
Rs. 2040)

With these Proceed-
ings the Board of Revenue
submit the report of the Col-
lector of Madras on the re-
sults of the Survey of Egmore,
Hillpauk, and Chetput di-
visions. The following in-
serts will show in one view the
extent surveyed, the time occu-
pied in the survey, and the

cost of the survey in the
divisions under notice is
in the three surveyed previ-
ously.

Divisions	about surveyed C. acres	Time occupied in survey about			Total cost of survey			Cost per Hare		
		Years	In	Days	Rs.	4	1	Rs.	4	1
St. Thomas	3111	2	2	"	9184	7	3	2	12	2
Kungumbakuni	667	4	2	1	2113	5	10	3	2	"
Triflicani	857	2	5	20	10581	10	"	12	5	6
Egmore, Kilpauk & Chitput	1371	1	"	"	1728	"	"	3	7	"

The period occupied in the
survey of the last 8
appears moderate, but
the cost per acre, though
much less than that incurred
in Triflicani, is above the
rates at which the survey
of the two first named divi-
sions was performed. The
Govt. observe that though the
survey was completed so long
ago as 1857 the Col. Mr.
Cunliffe did not submit his
report until August 1862.

2
Rest from

The reason assigned for the
extraordinary delay is the want
of information in respect to the
value of the late Nabob's
property, which the Govt. officers
are reported to have
only very recently afforded.
The Govt. cannot admit the
validity of this excuse when
it appeared that the informa-
tion referred to was not forth-
coming, within a reasonable
period, the matter should at
least have been reported to
the Board.

The Govt. are glad to
learn that the general char-
acter of the survey operation
is good, and that errors in cal-
culation of five per cent were dis-
covered in but very few in-
stances when the Superintend-

of Revenue Survey tested the work.

The results of the survey are an excess of 127 Cawnies over Mr Ogilvie's survey of 1803 and an increase in the annual Revenue of 260 Rs, besides accurate maps of both Public and private property which must prove very useful.

The Government are much pleased at the general success of the survey, and they will now proceed to pass orders on such parts of the Board's Proceedings as require them.

The total extent of 137 1/4 Cawnies surveyed, consist of assessed, Rent free, and Porumpote lands in the proportions given in the margin. The Board support these

	Extent	Assessment	
Assessed.	819-7 =	4885-10	
Rent-free.	293-21 =	2082-3-11	
Porumpote.	160-10 =	" - - -	
<u>Total.</u>	<u>1272-11 =</u>	<u>6967-4-9</u>	

Egmore.	6-22-239 3/4
Milparkum.	3-15-2195 3/4
Chelput.	15-22-1289 3/4
<u>Total.</u>	<u>24-12-1314 1/2</u>

Recommendation of the Collector that 40 Cawnies and 13 grounds already held under free certificates on account of Hindu Divaslanams, Choultries, and charitable Institutions, be continued rent free. This the Government sanction.

The extent of Inams held without any Title Deeds on account of Institutions similar to those alluded to in the preceding paragraph and which the Board propose to continue rent-free is Cawnies 2 and grounds 18 (in ~~the~~ ~~number~~). Sanction is granted accordingly.

The extent of land hitherto enjoyed without Title Deeds and to be hereafter assessed as recommended by the Collector

Egmore.	2-17-997 3/4
Milparkum.	" - - 502 1/4
Chelput.	" - - 116 1/4
<u>Total.</u>	<u>2-18-267 1/2</u>

21 Cawnies and three grounds.
This extent is occupied by Houses,
gardens, & ground $\frac{1}{2}$ belonging
to private individuals except

* Egmore - 18-20-715 $\frac{1}{16}$
Hilfank - 1-5-216 $\frac{1}{16}$
Chetput - 1-1-9 $\frac{1}{4}$
Total 21-3-642 $\frac{1}{8}$

1 Cawny and 12 grounds which
constitute the sites and manions
of Hindu Devastanams. The
Govt. have no objection to reserve
all private property, but the
actual sites of the Devastanams
should be exempted. The ma-
nions which do not appear
from Public Records to have
been enjoyed as such for any
length of time ^{should also} be reserved
but not with a view as appar-
ently intended by the Collector.

Hilfank - 6-11-88 $\frac{1}{16}$
Chetput - 1-1-9 $\frac{1}{4}$
Total 1-12-892 $\frac{1}{2}$

8 The extent of land pro-
posed to be reserved in consequence
of the parties interested therein
not having attended and produced
their Title Deeds is 23 Cawnies
& 2 grounds. The Govt. sanction
this

* Egmore - 10-15-739 $\frac{1}{16}$
Hilfank - 5-1-221 $\frac{1}{32}$
Chetput - 7-7-749 $\frac{1}{16}$
Total 23-2-1500 $\frac{1}{32}$

this measure in regard to all
private property and sites of
Hindus; the lands held rem-
tue under certificates should
however, continue to be exempted.
Devastanum lands & burial
grounds and such manions as
are supported by the Public
records should also continue
to be exempted from reservation.

9 The Govt. ^{consider} ~~sanction~~
~~to the recommendations made by~~
~~the Board of Revenue in para~~
~~to the report on the Petition~~
referred to
concerning the land in Com-
mission on behalf of the
Sagoda there to ^{be wholly} ~~be wholly~~
tenable. No grant is ~~proposed~~
in ~~the~~ ^{will} ~~the~~ ^{will} ~~the~~ ^{will}
The Board be instructed
the Collector to reserve the land
in strict accordance with the
Act of 1851 & leave it to the Trust
to have recourse to law if the
think fit.
~~deem that same proper~~
The

+ or even alleged - &
~~the extent in the account~~
of Mr. Ogilvie's report
of 1883 is fatal to the
claim

There is nothing in
the papers before Government
to ^{show} what measures have been
adopted for lithographing
for sale several copies of the
survey maps as ordered in
para 10 of the order of Govern-
ment dated 25th November
1858 No 1585. The Board of
Revenue will be requested to
report on the subject.

John

14 Copies to the Secy of Revenue by measures Dept 20 July

85
10
5

142-569 ✓
28-10-71 ✓
16-2-86 ✓
26-3-92 ✓

10-12-13 ✓
27-8-07 ✓
12-9-12 B.V. ✓

Vide Cu. 5909/81

1-8-17 ✓

142-569 ✓

Pro. order No. 1585.
25-11-58.

Pro. of the Board of Rev.
7-6-8-63 C. No. 1824

Revenue Dept.
Pro. of Govt. for July

N. 1416.

Pro. of the Madras Govt.
Dy 30th July/63.

Pro. of the Board of Rev. 29 Aug 1861.
C.A. 2157

Report on the
results of the Survey of
Egmore, Kilpauk and
Chetput Divisions, reviewed

N. 1531 & 532.

July 1863 Rev.