M.R.O. 14—18,000—27-6-94.

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 1410 Press ~~Mis.~~

579.520.

Dated 29.7.~~19~~ 1863

Not to be folded or roughly handled

No. 519. Read again letter from SHAIK MAKTUM SAHIB, dated 25th of May 1863, and recorded in Proceedings 13th June 1863, No. 1,034.

Read also the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 9th July 1863, No. 4,185:—

Read the following letter from M. J. WALHOUSE, Esq., Acting Collector of Trichinopoly, to W. HUDLESTON, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated 27th June 1863, No. 117.

SIR,

1. With reference to the Board's Endorsement dated 17th instant, on the Order of Government, dated 13th idem, No. 1,034, respecting a Memorial from Shaik Mugdoom, appealing against his removal from the post of Police Ameen of Trichinopoly, I have the honor to report that Shaik Mugdoom was dismissed by Mr. Bird from the aforesaid post in 1857, and his dismissal approved by the Secretary of State for India in his Despatch, dated 14th May 1862, No. 9. He was subsequently employed temporarily by Mr. Bird as Police Peshkar of Varaganary, and Mr. McDonell, in his letter dated 25th September 1862, No. 162, states that he had no objection to re-employ him on any suitable vacancy occurring, and that the Memorialist declared his readiness to serve in any subordinate post.

2. With respect to his present Memorial, I am unable to say why he was not employed by Mr. McDonell. For myself I gave him no promise of re-employment, and should decline to re-appoint him as Police Ameen (now Sub-Magistrate) of Trichinopoly. On other vacancies occurring his case may be considered, though I do not think his services and antecedents justify the tone in which he seems to claim a situation as a right, and demand orders for re-employment.

3. His son, Shaik Homed, has done his work satisfactorily, and will no doubt attain promotion in due course.

4. The Memorial is returned herewith.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.—Memorial.

1. Resolved that the above letter be submitted to Government, with reference to the Order of Government, dated 13th June 1863, No. 1,034.

2. The Board quite concur with Mr. Walhouse in the view he takes of Shaik Mugdoom's Memorial. He was removed from office by Mr. Bird in 1857 for improperly granting a passport to an Affghan traveller; and though Mr. Bird did give him temporary employment in January 1859, he stated in a letter which he addressed to the Board in February 1860, that the Petitioner had refused in January 1859 to accept a subordinate situation.

3. Though this statement of Mr. Bird's is not, it would seem, supported by any thing on record, the Board are not prepared to recommend at present that Shaik Mugdoom be re-employed, as the recent reduction of Establishments has thrown out of employ many Officers whose claims are superior to his.

No. 520. ORDER THEREON, 29th July 1863, No. 1,410.

1. Shaik Maktum Sahib formerly held the appointment of Police Ameen at Trichinopoly, but was dismissed from that post in 1857, for granting a passport to an Afghan foreigner contrary to the express orders of the Magistrate. On his appealing to Government they declined to interfere on his behalf, and he then presented a Memorial which was in due course forwarded to the Home Government.

Proceedings 10th March 1862, No. 815.
Despatch of same date, No. 12.

2. The Secretary of State considered that the Magistrate had been quite right in removing the Memorialist from his post, but at the same time, though pointedly disclaiming any intention

Post, but at the same

to interfere with the discretion of Government in regard to his future re-employment, he took occasion to notice a discrepancy in the papers which accompanied the Memorial in reference to Shaik Maktum's alleged refusal to serve in any subordinate capacity. The Government subsequently ascertained that the Memorialist was ready to accept a subordinate situation, and that the Collector had no objection to give him re-employment on a vacancy occurring. Their further interference, therefore, seemed unnecessary and the matter was dropped.

Proceedings 10th July 1862, No. 1,604.

Proceedings 27th October 1862, No. 2,290.

3. In his present Memorial, after complaining that no answer has been vouchsafed to his former application, Shaik Maktum begs that explicit instructions may be sent to the Collector to give him the next vacancy. He also complains that his son, after officiating as a Sub-Magistrate satisfactorily for ten months, has been compelled to revert to his permanent situation, which is that of a Clerk in the Collector's Office.

4. The Government must decline to transmit this second Memorial to the Secretary of State. As shown above the Secretary of State has already determined that Shaik Maktum was properly dismissed, and he has left the question of his future restoration to the public service to be decided by the Governor in Council. The Government see, however, no objection to his re-employment when a fitting vacancy occurs, and as his punishment has been of long duration wish the local authorities to take an early opportunity of giving him some suitable appointment.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) P. P. HUTCHINS,
Acting Deputy Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.
„ Shaik Maktum Sahib.

Rxd. S. T. Augustin.

in the post of Sub-Magistrate having performed its duties
correctly and [illegible] long-timed period of 10 months
has [illegible] to that of the permanent post. There are at
present [illegible] Sub-Magistrate in the Tarai in District

That in addition to the loss of employment Petitioner has
been [illegible] to undergo great expense in Petitioning the
Calcutta Revenue Board & the Government of India and
finally the Home Government. His most humbly implores
your Excellency [illegible] to issue instructions
to the Government for his re-employment
[illegible] occasioned, & that your
Petitioner may be [illegible] submitted with his

And Petitioner as in duty bound
will ever pray

Sir
Your Excellency's most obedient
& humble servant

the Memorialist [illegible]
Govt. at the time

29 July/63.

Rev. 1670/63.

Rule 25 of Rules & Regulations Rev. 1/June 63

Re 1514 –

Read order (dated 29 July/63, 2:30 p.m.)

Leave

Rule 25 of Rules & Regulations Rev. 1/June 63

In No. 1676 of 1863
In Court 15th June "

Rev. 1670/63.

R 1863
No. 1273.

In No. 1676 of 1863
In Court 15th June "

time, the' pointedly disclaiming any intention to interfere with the discretion of Govt in regard to his future re-employment, he took occasion to notice a discrepancy in the papers which accompanied the Memorial in reference to Shaik Maktum's alleged refusal to serve in any subordinate capacity. The Govt subsequently ascertained that the was ready to accept a subordinate situation, & that the Collr had no objection to giving him re-employment on a vacancy occurring. His further interference, therefore, deemed unnecessary & the matter was dropped.

Bo: 10 July 62, No: 1874

Bo: 27 Oct: 62, No 2290

Bo:

In his present Memorial, after complaining that no answer has been vouchsafed to his former application, Shaik Maktum begs that explicit instructions may be sent to the Collr to give him the next vacancy. He also complains that his son, after officiating as a Dist. Magte satisfactorily for ten months, has been compelled to resort to a permanent situation, viz. is that of a clerk in the Collector's Office.

The Govt must decline to transmit this second Memorial to the Secy of State. As shown above the Secy of State has already determined that the Shaik Maktum was properly dismissed, & he has left the question of his future employment to the discretion of the Govt in Council. The Govt see however no objection to his re-employment when a vacancy occurs, & as his punishment has been of long duration

manner solicited, with the discretion allowed to the local authorities in the distribution of subordinate appointments the case of the Memorialist & that of his son also, will doubtless receive due consideration when vacancies occur, but they should bear in mind that owing to the recent reductions of Establishments a number of persons have been thrown out of employ from no fault of their own, & their claims also demand attention

D.M.

The Revd Board & Wife
Thank Trinkum Sahib

L. D.S

I think the Merchant was leaving & I think for Kuy & he say they would rich him re-employed at an early date. A.B.5/7-520

wish the local authorities to take an early opportunity of giving him suitable appointment.

Ed Hatch

Revenue 1863 Dept.
Pro: of Govt for July

No 1410.

Pro: of the Madras Govt
Dt 29 July 1863.

Sick Matrons
second Memorial to the
Secretary of State desired
to be transmitted to its
destination.

Pro. No. 155-156
10-7-62
" 349-350
18-7-62
" 340-341
27-10-62
" 213-214
13-6-63

No 579 & 520.