MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 1405 — Press Mis.

569-570

Dated 28-7-1863

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
28th July 1863.

No. 509. Read the following letter from W. HUDLESTON, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, to J. D. SIM, Esq., Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, Fort Saint George, dated Madras, 21st July 1863:—

SIR,

1. Referring to Government Order, dated 19th March 1863, No. 545, Revenue Department, forwarding for the Board's remarks the instructions issued by the Inám Commissioner to his Deputies for the disposal of the Inám tenures in Madura and Tinnevelly, I am directed by the Board to state that the Collectors of the two Districts to whom they considered it necessary to refer the papers, have reported that they have nothing to object to Mr. Taylor's instructions.

2. The only points which the Board desire to remark are the following:—

Madura.—Inám Commissioner's Proceedings, dated 27th February 1863, *No.* 8.

Paragraphs 5 *and* 6 *and marginal Statement.*—There is a discrepancy between the extent and value of the Village Service Ináms, as entered in two different forms in this Statement, the reason for which is scarcely explained.

It is presumed that the first entry, which it is stated refers to the Government Talooks, really includes also the Palagams, which it is expressly stated are excluded from the second entry.

Paragraph 12.—The Board's remark quoted by the Inám Commissioner was based on their knowledge of the long existing practice. They were expressing no opinion on the sufficiency of the title to warrant the practice, nor do they see reason to question Mr. Taylor's conclusions.

Paragraph 15.—In regard to the Padmatoor Jeevithan grants, the Board consider that it is evident these favorable tenures were not alienable under the grant, and that such alienations were illegal.

When Mr. Taylor states that he finds no peculiarity in the tenure to exempt from liability on account of the debts of the holder, it might rather be urged does Mr. Taylor find evidence of any favorable tenures having been [illegible] alienated from the family of the grantee for such debts.

The Board do not, however, desire to press the matter. The terms of the chisement sufficiently protect the rights of Government, and if the Shivagiri Zemindar desired to assert his right against the present holders of the alienated portions, he has had ample opportunity for doing so in the Courts.

Tinnevelly.—Inám Commissioner's Proceedings, dated 27th February 1863, *No.* 6.

Paragraphs 5 *and* 20.—The Board fully concur with Mr. Taylor that it is highly desirable to commute the assignment of revenue, and the Kistbundy Beriz deductions, referred to in these paragraphs for assignments of land in all cases (except those of village officers) when the allowances are not merely life grants. It will be fairer to the individuals and to the institution concerned to defer this commutation till the revised Settlement has more accurately adjusted the revenue value of the lands.

Paragraph 14.—The Board concur in opinion with Mr. Taylor that the allowances or receipts referred to in this paragraph are not matter for his Department to decide, such allowances or receipts being of the nature of the Swamibhogum, Mirasivarum, or Tunduvarum, of other Districts, and rather a proprietor's rent than a grant of Government revenue. These class or caste privileges, corresponding with the Teazghori of other Districts, will be merged in the revision of the assessment.

3. On the other parts of the instructions the Board do not desire to remark.

No. 510. ORDER THEREON, 28th July 1863, No. 1,405.

1. The only points calling for special orders from the Government are the following:—

MADURA.—The unsettled Polliums referred to in paragraph 4 of the Inám Commissioner's Proceedings will remain as they are, pending the final orders of Government

on their future tenure. Ináms with[illegible] sionary interest, will be dealt with by them, in which Government have a rever-

2. The Dharmasana villages referred to Commissioner.

proposed, when the reversionary right vest[s] in paragraph 12 will be enfranchised, as

3. The Commissioner is requested to exp[lain] the Government.

in regard to the extent and value of Village S[ervice] in the discrepancy noted by the Board

4. The Government concur with the Boa[rd] toor Jeevithan grants. The reversionary righ[t] [relates] to the inalienability of the Padma-

commuted as proposed, a course which the Go[vernment] of Government in such grants will be

and which will not prejudice private claims. [Gover]nment are quite at liberty to adopt

Tinnevelly.—The Dittam and Ivu Mauniums re[ferred] in paragraphs 8 and 19

of the Commissioner's Proceedings No. 6 [will] be merely [noted] in paragraphs 8 and 19

close of paragraph 8. [consid]ered as proposed at the

5. The Wáráriyaljat remissions mentioned in paragra[ph] will all be left for

settlement when the assessment of the District is revised.

6. The Ináms now enjoyed in the form of money and grain [exempted] from the

Beriz are beyond the scope of the Commissioner as stated in parag[raph] 20.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) J. D. SIM,
Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.
" Inám Commissioner.

Encl. M4 Pahlman.

No. 1405.

28 July 63

The only point calling for special orders from the first are the following —

Madura.

The Unsettled Villages referred to in Para 4 of the Inam Commr's Proc. with Memorandum

another Taluq, being the first in order of the five on their future tenure — requiring either them, enfranchisement of the Inam, or a Declaratory extract which he draft settlement by the Commr.

The Dindigul Villages referred in Para 12 which be

enfranchised
as proposed. when
The Com.rs is required
to explain the
circumstances
of the Act in re-
spect of the Extent
& value of Village
Service Land
The first Division
in the Act Part
of the Act relating
of the Population
seem to require
The reversionary
rights of persons in the
Hold Land-marked
or possessed - at the
which the persons are
likely to
Joseph Latchmore

the reversionary right
rests in the persons

3

will not be prejudiced
Yate-claimed -
Jamaica.

The Settlement
Manumd referred
to in Para. 8 & 19
of the Com.rs Pros No 6
will be merely re-
-gistered as proposed
at the close of Para
8.

The Warranty of
Vendees mentioned
in Para 14 will all
be left for settle-
-ment when the
assessment of the
District is revised -

The Shares now
employed in the Trade
of Money & Grain
deductions from
the Belize are beyond

The Copies of the
Cause as stated
in Form 20.

[illegible] 23/5/

John

1. Copies of the Writ of Petition and Affidavit
5. Sworn Commissioner

CE.

Copy in a Letter to England
No 18 dated August 18th 1869

Revenue 563 dated
Secy to Govt for 28 July
1869.

No 1465.

Board of Revenue Madras
28th July 1869.

From the Inam Commr
26th Feby 1864 Cl. No. 534
From the Inam Commr
21 Aug 1865 Cl. No. 185

Instructions of
the Inam Commissioner
for settling Inam
Settlement of Madura
and Tinnevelly Distts
reviewed.

From the Acting Inam
Commissioner 11 Feby 1869
Cl. No. 407 = 1 & 105
17-4

20-6-71
13/12/72
7-1-0
1602
12/5/71

Pkg No. 198-199
19-3-63

No 559 of 570