M.R.O. 14—13,000—27-9-24.

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 134 Press Mis.

263 . 264

Dated 21—1—1863

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department, 21st January 1863.

No. 263. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 9th December 1862, No. 8,023:—

Read the following letter from E. B. FOORD, Esq., Acting Collector of the Kistna District, to W. HUDLESTON, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Masulipatam, 26th November 1862, No. 292.

SIR,

Petition No. 437 of 1862 is returned.

1. With reference to the Order of Government dated 8th July 1862, No. 1,490, communicated with the Board's Memorandum of 16th July 1862, I have the honor to report that the remark made by the late Collector, Mr. Thornhill, in the 6th paragraph of his letter,* as to the Petitioner having long been in the "indisputable enjoyment" of the Inam referred to, was based on the oral testimony of the Curnums of the holders of the neighbouring lands, and of the Ryot who had formerly cultivated the Inam, as well as on the documents executed so long ago as in 1812 and 1815.

* Dated 30th April 1862, No. 88.

2. The Curnums declared that the one-quarter Kuchel of Inam under dispute was given as a present to the Petitioner's husband, at the time of her marriage, about 60 years ago; that the boundaries of the Inam in question were distinctly marked; that up to Fasli 1255 the Inam was cultivated by a Ryot, who gave her the Ambarum share; that in Fasli 1256, her opponent and half-brother, Chinna Rangavadhanloo, cultivated the Inam and gave her the Ambarum, and continued to do so up to Fasli 1262; that in Fasli 1263 he took the land for Visabady, or rent at 12 Rupees per annum for three years, but failed to pay her the rent. Hence it is stated that she was in the "indisputable enjoyment" of the Inam from the time it was given as a present by her father, to Fasli 1262.

3. The neighbours of this Inam land declared that for many years past the Inam land was in the enjoyment of the Petitioner.

4. The Ryot who cultivated the Inam in former years stated that he cultivated the land for 20 years on the desire of Chinna Rangavadhanloo, and paid the Ambarum to his sister, the Petitioner; and that in Fasli 1256 he (Chinna Rangavadhanloo) took the land from him to cultivate it himself. It would appear from this that the Petitioner being a female, her half-brother, Chinna Rangavadhanloo managed to get the land cultivated for her.

5. Added to the aforesaid oral evidence, were the Deeds of Acquittal executed in 1812 and 1815, between the said Chinna Rangavadhanloo and his brothers in which it was clearly stated that the Inam in question, which had been already given to the Petitioner, was excluded from their shares.

6. The fact that the Inam was not entered in the Petitioner's name, prior to Fasli 1257, was, the Curnums assert, owing to their having obtained no orders from the authorities.

7. Under the aforementioned circumstances, I do not see any objection to granting the Petitioner the required Certificate permitting her to seek redress through Civil Courts, the Inam not having been enfranchised.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.—Petition.

---

1. Ordered to be submitted to Government, with reference to their Order quoted by the Acting Collector.

2. It will be observed that Mr. Thornhill based his opinion, that Petitioner had been long in the indisputable enjoyment of the land in dispute, on the evidence given before him by the Curnums and others.

3. It appears from the statements of these persons, and from certain documents produced, that Petitioner's husband obtained the land many years ago as a present at the time of his marriage; that up to Fasli 1255 she rented it to a certain Ryot who gave her her share of the produce regularly, but that then, her brother-in-law, and opponent, entered into possession, and after having paid her her share of the produce up to Fasli 1263, he in that year leased the land for three years, but refused to pay her the sum stipulated; that she attempted to recover it

through the Courts but failed to do so, as the land was excluded from their jurisdiction being *Inam;* and that her opponent has retained possession of it free of rent up to this time.

4. The Inam Commissioner considered that the fact of her name not being entered in the accounts until Fasli 1257 was a bar to her being granted a title deed, the more so, as she was not in the present enjoyment of the land, and as the documents under which she claimed were not conclusive in her favor.

5. Under the circumstances, the Board are of opinion that it will be no hardship to Petitioner to grant her the usual endorsement to the Civil Courts, as even were a title deed issued in her name, she would require to apply to the Courts for an ouster against her opponent.

(A true Copy and Extrac)

(Signed.) R. A. DALYELL, *Sub-Secretary.*

No. 264.

ORDER THEREON, 21st January 1863, No. 134.

Under all the circumstances of the case, Government will not disturb the decision passed by the Inam Commissioner. They however under Section 2, Regulation IV of 1831, permit Vistunbutla Sundarummah to sue in the established Courts for one-quarter Kuchel of Inam land situated in the village of Kollur in the Tennali Talook of the Kistna District.

(Signed) C. G. MASTER,

Deputy Secretary to Government.

No. 8,023.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 9th December 1862.

Read the following letter from E. B. FOORD, Esq., Acting Collector of the Kistna District, to W. HUDLESTON, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Masulipatam, 26th November 1862, No. 292:—

Petition No. 437 of 1862 is returned.

1. With reference to the Order of Government dated 8th July 1862, No. 1,490, communicated with the Board's Memorandum of 16th July 1862, I have the honor to report that the remark made by the late Collector, Mr. Thornhill, in the 6th paragraph of his letter,* as to the Petitioner having long been in the "indisputable enjoyment" of the Inam referred to, was based on the oral testimony of the Kurnums of the holders of the neighbouring lands, and of the Ryot who had formerly cultivated the Inam, as well as on the documents executed so long ago as in 1812 and 1815.

* Dated 30th April 1862, No. 88.

2. The Kurnums declared that the one-quarter Kuchel of Inam under dispute was given as a present to the Petitioner's husband, at the time of her marriage, about 60 years ago; that the boundaries of the Inam in question were distinctly marked; that up to Fasli 1255 the Inam was cultivated by a Ryot, who gave her the Ambarum share; that in Fasli 1256, her opponent and half brother, Chinna Rangavadhanloo, cultivated the Inam and gave her the Ambarum, and continued to do so up to Fasli 1262; that in Fasli 1263 he took the land for Visabady, or rent at 12 Rupees per annum for three years, but failed to pay her the rent. Hence it is stated that she was in the "indisputable enjoyment" of the Inam from the time it was given as a present by her father, to Fasli 1262.

3. The neighbours of this Inam land declared that for many years past the Inam land was in the enjoyment of the Petition

4. The Ryot who cultivated the Inam in former years stated that he cultivated the land for 20 years on the desire of Chinna Rangavadhanlu, and paid the Ambarum to his sister, the Petitioner; and that in Fasli 1256 he (Chinna Rangavadhanlu) took the land from him to cultivate it himself. It would appear from this that the Petitioner being a female, her half-brother, Chinna Rangavadhanlu managed to get the land cultivated for her.

5. Added to the aforesaid oral evidence, were the Deeds of Acquittal executed in 1812 and 1815, between the said Chinna Rangavadhanlu and his brothers in which it was clearly stated that the Inam in question, which had been already given to the Petitioner, was excluded from their shares.

6. The fact that the Inam was not entered in the Petitioner's name, prior to Fasli 1257, was, the Kurnums assert, owing to their having obtained no orders from the authorities.

7. Under the aforementioned circumstances, I do not see any objection to granting the Petitioner the required Certificate permitting her to seek redress through Civil Courts, the Inam not having been enfranchized.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.—Petition.

1. Ordered to be submitted to Government with reference to their Order quoted by the Acting Collector.

2. It will be observed that Mr. Thornhill based his opinion, that Petitioner had been long in the indisputable enjoyment of the land in dispute, on the evidence given before him by the Kurnums and others.

3. It appears from the statements of these persons, and from certain documents produced, that Petitioner's husband obtained the land many years ago as a present at the time of his marriage; that up to Fasli 1255 she rented it to a certain Ryot who gave her her share of the produce regularly, but that then, her brother-in-law, and opponent, entered into possession, and after having paid her her share of the produce up to Fasli 1263, he in that year leased the land for three years, but refused to pay her the sum stipulated; that she attempted to recover it through the Courts but failed to do so, as the land was excluded from their jurisdiction being *Inam*; and that her opponent has retained possession of it free of rent up to this time.

4. The Inam Commissioner considered that the fact of her name not being entered in the accounts until Fasli 1257 was a bar to her being granted a title deed, the more so, as she was not in the present enjoyment of the land, and as the documents under which she claimed were not conclusive in her favor.

5. Under the circumstances, the Board are of opinion that it will be no hardship to Petitioner to grant her the usual endorsement to the Civil Courts, as even were a title deed issued in her name, she would require to apply to the Courts for an ~~order~~ ouster against her opponent.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

R. Dalyell
Sub-Secretary.

To J. D. Sim, Esq., Secretary to Government, R. D.

Copy to E. B. Foord, Esq., Acting Collector of the Kistna District.

Exd. J. Wright. No. 134. Under all the circumstances of the case, Government will not disturb the decision passed by the Inam Commissioner. They however under Sec. 2 Reg IV of 1831 permit Vistumbutla Sundarummah to sue in the established Courts for one quarter Kuchel of Inam land situated in the village of Kollur in the Tenali Taluq of the Kistna District.

J.D.S.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE,

Rev. 1862. Department.

No. 3662

Dated 9th December 1862.

Despatched. 13th
Received. 15th

No 8,023.

Submitting with the Board's opinion copy of a letter from the Acting Collector of the Kistna district, reporting on a Petition requesting permission to sue for certain Inam land.

No 134 - 21st Jany

Board of Rev. Inam Commr.
Copy to the Petitioner

M.R.O. 14—13,000—27-9-24.

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 1284 ~~Press~~ Mis.

Dated April ~~19~~ 1863

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Revenue Department

No. 1. Abstract shewing the amount of collections upto 31st January 1863 in comparison with the Budget grant for 1862/63

Items	Budget Estimate for 1862/63	Receipts upto 31st January 1863	Remainder	
	Rs	Rs	Rs	
Land Revenue & Sayer	40348710	23125720	17222990	The collection
Abkari	3405000	2493312	911688	is annual [illegible]
Income Tax	1240831	917368	323463	the Stock Exchange Tax [illegible]
Customs	1883581	1393423	490158	Table Land Tax [illegible]
Salt	8425000	6346712	2078288	[illegible]
Stamps	3400000	1513840	1886160	
Total	58703122	35790375	22912747	
Items not provided for in the Budget				
Other heads		1257131		

No. 2. Abstract shewing the amount of charges incurred up to 31st January 1863 in comparison with the Budget grant for 1862/63

Items	Budget grant for 1862/63	Charges up to 31st January 1863	Remainder	
	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	
II Refunds				
of Land Revenue	200400	145577	54823	
Sayer	1410	22	1388	
Abkari	1010	12100	.	Excess 11090 Rupees.
Income Tax	24000	5851	18149	
Customs	8500	12801	.	Excess 4301 Rupees.
Stamps	105500	49565	55935	
Miscellaneous	10300	5467	4833	
Total	351120	231383	135128	
III Drawbacks	61050	27414	33636	
Total	412170	258797	168764	
I Land Revenue.				
Salaries and escpences.				
Collectors &c	1142336	663661	478675	
Uncovenanted Dept. Collectors	163800	122192	41608	
Huzur Establishments	750668	470390	280278	
Saderward and Contingencies	46492	37837	8655	
Printing Charges	2000	4462	.	Excess 2462 Rupees.
Medical charges	72590	42703	29887	
Taluq or Mofussil Establishment	1025914	1013616	12298	
Village Establishments	32343	24929	7414	
Saderward and Contingencies	93350	42512	50838	
Extra or Temporary Establishments	41381	18979	22402	
Magesterial Estabt & Contingencies	190232	162009	28223	
Travelling Charges	107584	49291	58293	X
Office rent	6410	3311	3099	
Hire of Carts for conveying records	10700	447	10253	
Other Items	19200	2716	16484	
Total	3705000	2659055	1048407	
2 Sayer	252941	140333	112608	
3 Abkari	225000	126213	98787	
Income Tax	28786	19973	8813	

Items	Budget grant for 1862/63	Charges up to 31st January 1863	Remainder	
	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	
III Customs				
Establishment	180627	119359	61268	
Contingencies	9373	2044	7329	
Total	190000	121403	68597	
IV Salt				
Deputy Collectors	62400	36745	25655	
Deputy Collectors Establishment	10800	5360	5440	
Division Establishment	131772	93381	38391	
Travelling charges	14243	3543	10700	X
Temporary Establishment	5253	3088	2165	
Charges for the Provision of Salt Manufacturing and other Charges	1310000	628696	681304	†
	259020	126038	132982	‡
Offices or Godown rent	512	"	512	
Total	1794000	896851	897149	
VI Stamps	65712	43916	21796	
BIV. Miscellaneous				
Charges for permitting Seizures	11320	5959	5361	
Law Charges	15650	188	15462	
Agricultural exhibition	1000	1162	"	Excess 162 Rupees.
Purchase of Furniture	5390	1283	4107	
—d°— of Books and Almanacs	150	"	150	
—d°— of Types &c for printing Papers	8140	1913	6227	
Service Telegrams	5320	779	4541	
Distt. Toppal Establishments	79660	27235	52425	
Charges for Binding Books	2070	120	1950	
—d°— for printing Import & Export Statements	2800	"	2800	
Freight & Landing charges brass ferred from B.I	3000	"	3000	
Battah to Yenadies & Yenadi peons at Utterhunnikollah	1800	1953	"	Excess 153 Rupees.
Cost of paper for printing Survey Accounts	350	"	350	
Other Items	12550	20136	.	Excess 7586 Rupees.
Total	149200	60728	96373	

Items not provided for in the Budget

Items	Charges up to 31st January 1863
	Rs.
II Refunds	
Duty on Arts, Trades, and Dealings	19816
I. Land Revenue	
Police Guards	58286
III Customs	
Police Guards	153
IV Salt	
Police Guards	4983
Mints	3948
B IV. Miscellaneous	
Cost of paper for printing village accounts	1242
Stamp paper supplied gratis to Public Servants	160
Total	1402

Revenue Department.

Abstract of actual charges compared with Budget provision upto 31st January 1863.

Items	Budget grant for 1862/63	Actual charges upto 31st January 1863.
	Rupees.	Rupees.
Refunds	351120	231383
Drawbacks	61050	27414
Land Revenue Salaries and expences	3705000	2659055
Sayer	252941	140333
Abkarry	225000	126213
Income Tax	28786	19973
Customs	190000	121403
Salt	1794000	896851
Stamps	65712	43916
Miscellaneous	119200	60728
Total	6822809	4327269
Items not provided for in the Budget	"	88588
Grand total of Budget provision & actual expenditure upto 31st January 1863	6822809	4415857

Revenue Board Office
Madras
6 March 1863.

Secretary

To
J. D. Sim Esqre
Secretary to Govt. R. D. with reference to the
Pro: of Govt. dated 20th October 1862 No 2237.

Compd.

Pro. 20th October/62 — No 233.

Rev = 1863 Oct^o
Pro: of Govt for April
No. 538.

From
The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
To The Secretary to Govt
Revl. Departt

Dated 6
Desp 6 } March 1863.
Rec^d 7th

No =

Abstract showing
the amount of Collec-
tions and Charges
upto 31 January
1863 in comparison
with the Budget grant
for 1862/63

No. 7.

LODGED

Mis No. 134

11th Apl.
1863.