

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 1298.	Press Mis.
294.295	
Dated 15.7.1918	1863

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
15th July 1863.*

No. 294. Read the following letter from W. T. BLAIR, Esq., Acting Inám Commissioner, to J. D. SIM, Esq., Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, dated Fort Saint George, Coimbatore, 3rd June 1863, No. 35 :—

SIR,

1. I have the honor to submit, for the consideration and orders of Government, a case of two small hill villages* in the Talook of Golconda, District of Vizagapatam, which appear to have been bestowed on Mokassa-tenure on a certain individual named A. Venkataramudu in consideration of good services rendered to the State during the Golconda disturbances of 1837-38.

* Zuzulapalem Tégalametta. The Annual Assessment of both is Rs. 40.

2. The terms of the "Pattah Kaul" (which Mr. Carmichael states was from some oversight never submitted for the sanction of Government) "granted by the Honorable Government to A. Venkataramudu of Mákkáram, are that the villages in question are granted as Mokassa" and shall be under the grantee's enjoyment, so long as he shall continue to be deserving of the "favor of the Government,"

3. The grantee dying, was succeeded by his son the present holder, who has been in possession for some years past. Mr. Carmichael, premising the terms of the grant are not hereditary, recommends the enfranchisement of the villages under the Inám Rules on a quit-rent of half of their value.

4. In cases of this nature wherein the terms of the grant are not specific either way, but yet some grounds for consideration have arisen out of the fact of the heirs of the grantees having been allowed to succeed them without question, it rests entirely with the liberality of Government to decide how such tenures shall now be dealt with.

5. Mr. Taylor having recently reported* on an analogous case which is pending before Government, I beg to recommend, should the Government see no objection, that the case of the two villages in question be treated in a similar manner.

* To Govt. 19th March 1863, No. 12.
Village of Dobandi.

No. 295. ORDER THEREON, 15th July 1863, No. 1,298.

1. The case of these two villages does not appear (as the Acting Inám Commissioner thinks) to be analogous to that of the Dobandi Mokassa which was granted for the subsistence of the grantee *and his family*: whilst the enjoyment of the said two villages was restricted by the terms of the "Pattah Kaul" to the grantee so long as he continued to deserve the favor of Government. They were apparently conferred for one life and the circumstance of their having been continued on the death of the grantee to his son ought not to affect the rate of commutation.

2. Under all the circumstances of the case the Government are of opinion that these Hill villages should be treated as if they had been granted for one life and enfranchised at half of the assessment.

(Signed) J. D. SIM,
Secretary to Government.

Indian Commissioner's Office
No. 38 of Combatore. 3rd June 1863. -
From. H. D. Blair Esq^r

To. A. G. Indian Commissioner
J. D. Esq^r
Secretary to Government
Revenue Department

Sir,
I have the honor to submit
for the consideration and Orders of
Government, a case of two small Hill
Villages in the Taluk of Colgonda,
District of Nizagapatam, which
appear to have been bestowed on *donprokassa*
tenure on a certain individual named
A. Venkataramudu in consideration
of good services rendered to the
State during the Colgonda disturbances
of 1834-38.

2. The terms of the "Patta Kaul"
[which Mr. Carmichael states was
from some oversight never submitted
for—

+ Nizagapatam
Villages
The annual
assessment
of Rs 10 is Rs 10.

for the sanction of Government] granted,
by the Honorable Government - to J. R.
Kalamudugna Karam are that the
"Villages in question are granted as
"No. 1000 and shall be made the
"granted enjoyment so long as the
"shall continue to be deserving of
"the favor of the Government."

3 The grantee dying, was
succeeded by his son the present
holder, who has been in possession
for some years past. Mr. (Baron) Michael,
premising that the terms of the grant
are not hereditary, recommends the
enfranchisement of the Villages under
the same Rules on a Sub Grant
of $\frac{1}{2}$ of their value.

4. In cases of this nature
whenever the terms of the grant are
not

not specific either way, but yet some
grounds for consideration have arisen
out of the fact of the heirs of the grantee
having been allowed to succeed them
without question, it rests entirely
with the liberality of Government
to decide how such cases shall now
be dealt with.

5. Mr. Taylor having, recently
Government reported on an analogous case
which is pending before Government,
I beg to recommend, should the
Government see no objection, that the
case of the two Villages in question
be treated in a similar manner.

I have the honor to be
Sir

Yours Most Obedient Servant

W. W. Wain
Ag. Secy. Comptroller

Revenue Department
No. 1298.

Proceedings of the Madras Government
Under date the 15th July 1863.

Read the following letter from
the Acting Indian Commissioner

Submits for the Orders of Govt. a
case of two small Hill Villages in Golconda
Taluk, Vizagapatnam District, bestowed on
Mokkassa in tenure on one named A. Venkata
— granted in consideration of the services
rendered to the State. —

Here enter 3rd June 1863 No. 35.

The case of these 2
villages does not as
appear (as observed
by the ag Inam Board
to be analogous to
that of the Dohandi
Mokassa which was
granted for the sub-
sistence of the grantee
and his family: whilst
the enjoyment of the
the said 2 villages
was restricted by the
terms of the "Potlak
Kaul" to the grantee

JP

C. No 683 of 1863.

Revenue Department
No. of Govt. Order
No. 11-1302

in the
case of Mokkassa

Revenue Department

Order No. 11-1302

Revenue Department

Order No. 11-1302

Revenue Department

Order No. 11-1302

Revenue Department

Order No. 11-1302

Revenue Department

Order No. 11-1302

No. 294.

C. No. 1081 of 1863.

5
colony as he continued
to deserve the favor
of foot. They were
apparently confer-
red for one life
and the circum-
stances of the case being
been continued on
the death of the grantor
to his son ought not
to affect the rate of
commutation.

Under all the cir-
cumstances of the case
the foot are of opinion
that these Hill Valley
should be treated as
if they had been
granted for one life
& enfranchised at
the $\frac{1}{2}$ of the cash

50 per cent. of the cash
to be paid

Revenue 1863 & April 16
No: of Govt for Quia's

21: 1298.

No: of the Madras Govt
215: & July 1863.

Two full villages
with the village of Godyonda
the 399 of the same with
ordered to be enfranchised;
and at least of the
enfranchisement.

210294 & 295.