

## MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

*Pensions*

DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 1069

Press  
~~Mis.~~

Q 84.235

Dated

17-5-10-1863

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,  
17th June 1863.*

No. 284. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 29th May 1863,  
No. 3,186 :—

Read the following letter from G. L. MORRIS, Esq., Acting Collector of Tanjore, to W.  
HUDLESTON, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Point Calimere, 16th  
May 1863, No. 182.

SIR,

1. I have the honor to report on Petition No. 718 of  
V. O. M. 17, G. 8 $\frac{1}{4}$ , in the village of Kulak-  
kudi Ukkadai and Peramalur Ukkadai,  
otherwise called Amersimendrapuram, Pat-  
tukottai Talook. 1863, addressed to the Board by Annathuraiyangar, apply-  
ing for permission to sue for certain Shrotriem land as per  
margin.

2. It appears from the Register prepared in 1810 that the Inám the Petition relates to is  
included in a grant of seven villages made by Rajah Amirsing in 1788 to Venkatranga Ditchit  
and certain other Bramins.

3. Petitioner states that when certain land which had been acquired by purchase by one  
Venkar Aiyangar was divided between him and his brother Virasami Aiyangar (Petitioner's  
grand-father), the land in question fell to the share of the latter, and was mortgaged by him to  
one Muttusami Aiyar; that the mortgagee having since died, his grand-sons Muttusami Aiyar,  
&c., succeeded to the possession of land; and that as they now decline to give up that pos-  
session on the receipt of the mortgage amount, he seeks to recover it by means of a Civil action

4. The Defendants deny that the land in dispute was ever mortgaged to their ancestors,  
and state that it was purchased by them from Petitioner's grand-father.

5. As both parties allow that they obtained the land in question by purchase, and neither  
are in any way related to the original grantees, I see no objection to the required permission  
being granted.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.—Petition.

1. Ordered to be submitted for the consideration and orders of the Governor in Council.
2. The Board would recommend that Petitioner be granted the usual Certificate.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) R. A. DALYELL, Sub-Secretary.

No. 285. ORDER THEREON, 17th June 1863, No. 1,069.

Under Section 2, Regulation IV of 1831, the Government permit Annathuraiyangar to sue  
in the established Courts for V. O. M. 17, G. 8 $\frac{1}{4}$  of Shrotriem land in the village of Kulakkudi  
Ukkadai and Peramalur Ukkadai, otherwise called Amersimendrapuram Pattukottai Talook,  
Tanjore District.

(Signed) J. D. SIM,  
Secretary to Government.

No. 3,186.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 29th May 1863.

Read the following letter from G. L. MORRIS, Esq., Acting Collector of Tanjore, to W. HUDLESTON, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Point Calimere, 16th May 1863, No. 182:—

V. O. M. 17, G. S. 34, in the village of Kulakkudi Ukkadu and Peramalur Ukkadu, otherwise called Amersim-menrapuram, Pattukottai Taluk.

1. I have the honor to report on Petition No. 718 of 1863, addressed to the Board by Annathuraiyangar, applying for permission to sue for certain Shrotriem land as per margin.

2. It appears from the Register prepared in 1810 that the Inam the Petition relates to is included in a grant of seven villages made by Rajah Amirsing in 1788 to Venkatranga Ditchit and certain other Bramins.

3. Petitioner states that when certain land which had been acquired by purchase by one Venkar Aiyangar was divided between him and his brother Virasami Aiyangar (Petitioner's grand-father), the land in question fell to the share of the latter, and was mortgaged by him to one Muttusami Aiyar; that the mortgagee having since died, his grand-sons Muttusami Aiyar, &c., succeeded to the possession of the land; and that as they now decline to give up that possession on the receipt of the mortgage amount, he seeks to recover it by means of a Civil action.

4. The Defendants deny that the land in dispute was ever mortgaged to their ancestors, and state that it was purchased by them from Petitioner's grand-father.

5. As both parties allow that they obtained the land in question by purchase, and neither are in any way related to the original grantees, I see no objection to the required permission being granted.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.—Petition.

1. Ordered to be submitted for the consideration and orders of the Governor-in-Council.
2. The Board would recommend that Petitioner be granted the usual certificate.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

*W. H. Hudleston*

Sub-Secretary.

To J. D. Sim, Esq., Secretary to Government, R. D.  
Copy to G. L. Morris, Esq., Acting Collector of Tanjore.

Edw. J. Wright,

