

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 1014	Press Mis.
170-171	
Dated 10 6 19 1863	

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
10th June 1863.*

No. 170. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 29th May 1863,
No. 3,221:—

Read the following letter from G. L. MORRIS, Esq., Acting Collector of Tanjore, to
W. HUDLESTON, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Point Calimere,
20th May 1863, No. 191.

SIR,

1. I have the honor to report on Petition No. 668 of 1863, addressed to the Board by
Medisetti Munisami Naik, praying for permission to sue for
V. O, M. 5, G. 50. certain Shrotriem land situated in the village of Navanivayal,
Puttucottai Talook.

2. The Inám the Petition refers to is included in a grant of 188 villages made by Tuljama-
haraja in 1784 to a body of Bramins, the grant being entered in No. 22 of the Register prepared
in 1808 when one Atmanadaiyan was in possession of $8\frac{1}{2}$ share of land in the said village.

3. Petitioner states that he purchased the land under dispute thirty years ago from the
aforesaid Atmanadaiyan, and has been enjoying it since then; that last year Gurumurti Aiyar
and four others not only made away with 40 Kalams of paddy which he had secured on the
threshing floor but took possession of the land; and that he seeks, therefore, to have recourse to
Law to recover both.

4. The Defendants allege that twenty-five shares in the village, inclusive of the land in
question, were held by themselves and Petitioner; that when one Agorasivachari sued for the
land in dispute Petitioner and some others allowed the Suit to be tried *ex parte*, while they
(Defendants) defended it; and that, in accordance with the Decree then passed, they were placed
last year in possession of $22\frac{1}{2}$ share.

5. As the land in question has already passed out of the hands of the original grantees, and
the Defendants are not related to that family, I see no objection to the required permission
being granted.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.—Petition.

1. Resolved that the Petition in question together with the above report be submitted for
the consideration and orders of the Governor in Council.

2. The Board recommend that Petitioner be granted a Certificate, enabling him to sue in
the ordinary Courts for any rights which he may have in the Inám land in dispute.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) R. A. DALYELL, *Sub-Secretary.*

No. 171. ORDER THEREON, 10th June 1863, No. 1,014.

Under Section 2, Regulation IV of 1831, the Government permit Medisetti Munisami Naik
to sue in the Established Courts for V. O, M. 5, G. 50 of Shrotriem land in the village of
Navanivayal, Puttucottai Talook, Tanjore District.

(Signed.) J. D. SIM,
Secretary to Government.

No. 3,221.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 29th May 1863.

Read the following letter from G. L. MORRIS, Esq., Acting Collector of Tanjore, to W. HUD-
LESTON, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Point Calimere, 20th May 1863,
No. 191:—

1. I have the honor to report on Petition No. 663 of 1863, addressed to the Board by
Mediseti Munisami Naik, praying for permission to sue for certain Shro-
triem land situated in the village of Navanivayal, Puttucottai Taluk.
2. The Inam the Petition refers to is included in a grant of 188 villages made by Tuljama-
haraja in 1784 to a body of Bramins, the grant being entered in No. 22 of the Register prepared
in 1803 when one Atmanadaiyan was in possession of $8\frac{1}{2}$ share of land in the said village.
3. Petitioner states that he purchased the land under dispute 30 years ago from the afore-
said Atmanadaiyan, and has been enjoying it since then; that last year Gurumurti Aiyan and
four others not only made away with 40 Kulams of paddy which he had secured on the thrashing
floor but took possession of the land; and that he seeks, therefore, to have recourse to Law to
recover both.
4. The Defendants allege that 25 shares in the village, inclusive of the land in question,
were held by themselves and Petitioner; that when one Agorasivachari sued for the land in dis-
pute Petitioner and some others allowed the suit to be tried *ex parte*, while they (Defendants)
defended it; and that, in accordance with the decree then passed, they were placed last year in
possession of $22\frac{3}{4}$ share.
5. As the land in question has already passed out of the hands of the original grantees, and
the Defendants are not related to that family, I see no objection to the required permission
being granted.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.—Petition.

1. Resolved that the Petition in question together with the above report be submitted for
the consideration and orders of the Governor in Council.
2. The Board recommend that Petitioner be granted a certificate, enabling him to sue in
the ordinary Courts for any rights which he may have in the Inam land in dispute.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

W. H. Leston
Sub-Secretary.

To J. D. Sim, Esq., Secretary to Government, R. D.

Copy to G. L. Morris, Esq., Acting Collector of Tanjore.

Exd. J. Wright

Rev = 1863. Department.
No. of Govt. for Dunes.

No. 1298.
PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE.

Date 20th May 1863.
Despatch 3 June
Recd 14 June
E. 1-0-1863

No. 3,221.

Submitting with the Board's recommendation
a letter from the Acting Collector of Tanjore, re-
porting on Petition No 668 of 1863 praying for
permission to sue for certain Shroetern land.

24 June 1863
With enclosures

10th June 1863
The Board of Revenue
Tanjore District.
The Board of Revenue
Tanjore District.
The Board of Revenue
Tanjore District.