M.R.O. 14—10,000—21-4-22

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue **DEPARTMENT.**

G.O. No. 937 Press Mis.

60 4

Dated 1. 5. 1862

Not to be folded or roughly handled

No. 10. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 15th March 1862, No. 1,739:—

Read the following letter from G. THORNHILL, Esq., Acting Collector of the Kistna District, to W. HUDLESTON, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, Chepauk, dated Nandigama, 16th December 1861, No. 312.

SIR,

1. With reference to the Board's Proceedings of 12th April 1860, No. 1,764, I have the honor to submit my Report on the Inám lands in the Government and Zamíndári villages, and on the Seri lands in the latter, taken up for the Kistna channels, exclusive of those already disposed of, together with 4 Statements in illustration of their particulars.

Statement No. 1 shows the extent of Inám lands as per Title Deeds issued by the Inám Commissioner situated in the Government and the Zamíndári Estates of Nuzid, Chevendra, and Davaracota, the extent taken up for channels, &c., and the extent assigned to the Inámdárs in lieu thereof, and the assessment thereon.

Statement No. 2 shows the amount of compensation due to the holders of the said Ináms with individual details.

Statement No. 3 shows the extent of Ináms appertaining to the Madur Pergana in the Nuzid Estate, which have not undergone the scrutiny of the Inám Commissioner in consequence of the absence of their holders at the time, and the Sist, &c., thereon, together with the amount of compensation due to them.

Statement No. 4 shows the extent of Seri lands which have been taken up by channels in the Zamíndári Estates of Nuzid, Chevendra, Davaracota, and in Rayaveram, a proprietary village.

2. From Statement No. 1, it will be observed that A. 423, G. 36 of land belonging to 187 Inámdárs was occupied by channels and embankments in 57 villages. Of this, compensation on account of A. 79, G. 9 of land is deemed unnecessary, as the extent still under the enjoyment of the holders of those Ináms, is in some cases equivalent to, and in others in excess of, that recognized by the Title Deeds.

Vide Column 15.

3. As regards the remaining A. 344, G. 27 of land belonging to 139 Inámdárs bearing an assessment of Rupees 369-11-11 as per column 16 of this Statement, according to the rates fixed by the Inám Commissioner, I have issued orders to make over lands of corresponding value from the Government waste in Seri villages.

* Acres 8, G. 19.

4. The Inám Commissioner issued Title Deeds to the holders of all the Ináms in question, with the exception of one* belonging to N. Bhanumurti in Penamakur village, Chevendra Mutah, the same having been granted by the Zamíndár subsequent to the permanent settlement. The portion taken up in this Inám is A. 2, G. 34, bearing an assessment of Rupees 2-5-7, and compensation for it also has been provided, as it is included in the Statement No. 1.

Vide Statement No. 2.

† Sist Rupee 1-14-7.

5. As regards compensation for past produce due to the 139 Inámdárs referred to above, I beg to state that their lands were taken up between Faslis 1263 and 1271. Of the abovementioned A. 344, G. 27, bearing an assessment of Rupees 369-11-11, only A. 1, G. 33† of land was waste, the whole of the remaining portion having been

under cultivation before the opening of the channel. For the said A. 1, G. 33, belonging to three individuals, the assessment being Rupee 1-14-7, and for other A. 2, G. 8 of land, belonging to four individuals; Sist Rupees 2-13-1, which was taken up only in the current Fasli, I propose to give no compensation whatever. For the remaining 340 Acres and 26 Goontahs, bearing an assessment of Rupees 365-0-3 per annum, compensation must be paid up to Fasli 1270, which, as per column 13 of Statement No. 2, amounts to Rupees 1,467-13-8. Of this, the Engineer Department paid Rupees 58-1-8 to nine Inámdárs as compensation for loss of standing crop. This being deducted, the remainder due to 130 Inámdárs will be Rupees 1,409-12-0.* From this again, I propose to deduct Rupees 96-3-11 due to 55 of the Inámdárs for past produce, their holdings being very small and assessed below Rupee 1 each. The net amount for which I solicit sanction is Rupees 1,313-8-1 payable to 75 Inámdárs.

* Column No. 15.
Column 16.

6. Statement No. 3 contains Ináms not inquired into by the Inám Commission, either from the non-attendance of the holders, or from the Ináms being held on service tenure. Of this description, there are Acres 83, Goontahs 9, belonging to 26 Inámdárs taken up between Faslis 1264 and 1268. I recommend no compensation for Acres 4, Goontahs 15 of this for reasons similar to those stated in paragraph 2, leaving only Acres 78, Goontahs 34, for which compensation has to be paid to 25 Inámdárs, which as per accounts received from the Táluqs, amounts to Rupees 142-15-5 per annum according to the Visabadi rates; but it being improbable that the Inámdárs usually get their income at these rates, they have not been adopted. The marginal Memorandum will show the average annual Sist of the lands in the neighbouring Government Táluqs, and the rate of compensation for dry lands has been fixed on this average, whereas for the Bagayet, the Sist derived by the Mokhasadars of the Yanamalacuduru village in which alone the garden lands were injured, viz., Rupees 4-8-11 per acre, has been adopted as the rate of compensation as shown in column 12. On these calculations, the annual Sist for A. 78, G. 34 of land referred to above, will be Rupees 89-8-3 only, and the amount of compensation on this account will be Rupees 502-1-4: of this Rupees 33-2-11 is rejected as due on small holdings bearing an assessment of below Rupee 1 each, leaving Rupees 468-14-5 to be paid to 12 Inámdárs and Mokhasadars. These Ináms have not been inquired into by the Inám Commissioner, nor in the Revenue Department; still, as they form part of the Zamíndári Estates, compensation must be given either to the Inámdár who has been enjoying the land, or to the Zamíndár who granted them from his Séri lands. I have, however, issued no orders to make over lands in lieu of these Ináms pending the sanction of the Board.

Column 13.

Táluqs.	Dry. Rs.	A.	P.	Bagayet. Rs.	A.	P.
Bejwada	0	13	5	6	6	4
Gudivada	1	3	6	5	8	4
Average	2	0	11	11	14	8
	1	0	6	5	15	4

7. From Statement No. 4 it will be seen that A. 1,011, G. 26 of Séri land in 49 Zamíndári villages were taken up from Faslis 1263 to 1271, of this A. 19, G. 38 were waste, the remainder being under cultivation until taken up. I propose to give no compensation for the waste portion although the Zamíndárs claim it. As regards the cultivated portion, viz., A. 991, G. 28, it appears from the returns received from the Táluqs, that the annual returns to the Zamíndárs from these lands amounted to Rupees 2,869-6-10; and that the wet land in the Nuzid Estate, and dry land in the Divaracota have been held on the sharing system. The Zamíndárs of these two Estates have stated their receipts at higher figures than those given by the Tahsíldárs, affirming that their lands were of the first sort, and have begged compensation accordingly, but they have not been able to produce detail accounts for the same, and their prayer therefore cannot be conceded as compensation was granted to the Vallur Zamíndár at Rupee 1-6-4 per acre, for lands in no way inferior.

	Per Acre. Rs.	Annual total amount. Rs.
Nuzid Zamíndár's claims	3	7,000
Divaracota. do.	7	9,016

Vide sanction of Government, 4th April 1860, conveyed in Board's Proceedings, 12th idem, No. 1,764.

Estates.	Neighbouring Government Táluqs.	Sist. Dry. Rs.	A.	P.	Wet. Rs.	A.	P.	Garden. Rs.	A.	P.
Nuzid	Bejwada	0	13	5	4	13	5	6	6	4
Chevandra	Gudivada	1	3	6	3	9	4	5	8	4
	Total	2	0	11	8	6	9	11	14	8
	Average	1	0	6	4	3	4	5	15	4
Divaracota	Divi	1	15	0	.....			.....		
	Gudivada	1	3	6	.....			.....		
	Bandar	0	14	0	.....			.....		
	Total	4	0	6	.....			.....		
	Average	1	5	6	.....			.....		
Rayavaram	Bandar	0	14	0	2	3	8	.....		

Vide Order of Government, 4th April 1860.

	Rs.	A.	P.
Nuzid	1,023	1	3
Divaracota	412	8	0
Chevandra	31	12	8
Rayavaram	42	5	11
Total	1,510	7	10

	Rs.	A.	P.
Nuzid	3,785	10	4
Divaracota	1,677	5	2
Chevandra	222	3	0
Rayavaram	69	3	10
Total	5,754	4	6

8. I beg to note in the margin, the average rates of Sists prevailing in the neighbouring Government Táluqs, with reference to which the Sists amounting to Rupees 1,510-7-10 per annum as shown in column 15 of the Statement No. 4, have been calculated. The Zamíndárs of Nuzid and Divaracota ask for compensation in land, but that course not having been allowed in the case of the Vallur Zamíndár, to whom a reduction in Peshcash corresponding to the ascertained losses was allowed, I beg that sanction may be accorded for a similar reduction in the Peshcash of these and other Zamíndárs amounting to Rupees 1,510-7-10 from Fasli 1271 as particularized in the margin. According to this calculation, the total amount of compensation for loss of produce due to the Zamíndárs is Rupees 5,820-10-4 for eight years, of which Rupees 66-4, the sum paid by the Engineer Department being deducted, the net amount payable to them is Rupees 5,754-6-4 as shown in the margin for which sanction is requested.

9. Thus the total amount of compensation due to the Zamíndárs, Inámdárs, &c., is Rupees 7,536-12-10 as shown in the subjoined Memorandum:—

		Rs.	A.	P.
As per Statement No. 2.	Payable to Inámdárs whose claims were admitted during the Inám inquiry	1,313	8	1
As per Statement No. 3.	Do. to Inámdárs whose Ináms are situated in Zamíndári Estate, but not settled by the Inám Commission	468	14	5
As per Statement No. 4.—Payable to Zamíndárs on account of their Séri lands		5,754	6	4
	Total	7,536	12	10

ENCLOSURE No. 1.

ABSTRACT.—Statement showing the extent of Inám, Mokhasa and Agraharum lands fallen under the Kistna Anicut channels, and the extent of land assigned to their holders in lieu of the same which having been inquired into by the Inám Commissioner and Puttahs granted to Inámdárs.

ENCLOSURE No. 2.

ABSTRACT.—Statement showing the amount of compensation to be paid on account of past produce of Inám lands taken up for Kistna Anicut channels, and embankments up to Fasli 1270 in the Kistna District.

ENCLOSURE No. 3.

ABSTRACT.—Statement showing the Mokhasa and Inám lands in Madur Pergana of Nuzid Zamíndári, which were fallen under Kistna Anicut channels, and which were not inquired into by the Inám Commissioner.

ENCLOSURE No. 4.

ABSTRACT.—Statement showing the Séri lands in the Zamíndári Estates, fallen under the Kistna Anicut channels, the Sist thereof, and the amount of compensation to be paid to the Zamíndárs and Proprietors in the Kistna District.

---

1. Resolved that the above letter, with its enclosures in original, be submitted for the consideration and orders of Government.

2. The lands in question were taken for the Kistna channels in Faslis from 1263 (A. D. 1853-54) to 1271 (A. D. 1861-62), and will be referred to in these Proceedings in the order adopted by the Acting Collector, viz. :—

First.—Inám, Agraharum and Mokhasa lands held under Puttahs issued by the Inám Commissioner.

Second.—Do. do. do. which have not been inquired into by the Inám Commissioner either from the non-attendance of the holders, or from the Ináms being held on service tenure.

Third.—Séri lands in the Zamíndári Estates.

	A.	G.
* Dry.....	391	17
Wet.....	31	13
Garden.....	1	6
	423	36

3. Under the first head Acres 423, Guntahs* 36 were appropriated, but the Collector proposes assigning fresh lands of corresponding value from Government Séri waste in lieu of only Acres 344, Guntahs 27 out of that extent, as the remaining Acres 79, Guntahs 9 were taken from Inámdárs who are already in the enjoyment of the full extent entered in their Puttahs and in some cases of even more. The admitted lands are assessed at Rupees 369-11-11, and the compensation proposed for lost produce (the whole of the lands with the exception noted in the margin† having been under cultivation when they were taken up) is Rupees 1,467-13-8, being the Sist of the lands for the number of years since occupation as shown in the margin.‡ Of this sum however Rupees 58-1-8 have been already paid by the Engineer Department, to certain Inámdárs as compensation for standing crops, so that the balance under the first head requiring the sanction of Government is Rupees 1,409-12-0.

* Dry: A. 1 G. 33 Waste.
† A. 2 G. 8 Taken up only in Fasli 1271.

	Sist. Rs.	A.	P.	Number of years.	Compensation. Rs.	A.	P.
‡ Fasli 1263.....	1	13	1	8	14	8	8
,, 1264.....	15	15	6	7	111	12	6
,, 1265.....	15	8	11	6	93	5	6
,, 1266.....	94	10	6	5	473	4	6
,, 1267.....	109	7	4	4	437	13	4
,, 1268.....	84	12	0	3	254	4	0
,, 1269.....	40	0	3	2	80	0	6
,, 1270.....	2	12	8	1	2	12	8
				Total...	1,467	13	8

4. The Collector proposes a further reduction of Rupees 96-3-11, being the amount due to 55 Inámdárs "as their holdings are very small and assessed below 1 Rupee each," but the Board do not consider this fact as furnishing any reason for withholding compensation from the parties. In regard to the Inám of N. Bhanumurti in Penamakur village, which is specially mentioned by the Collector he will please to report further to the Board explaining fully to whom he proposes to disburse the compensation, and whether the alienation by the Zamíndár in this case has been recognized by his successors.

The Collector will also report with reference to the compensation for past produce how the claims of the cultivators for the season in which the land was actually taken up have been adjusted.

5. Under the second head Acres 83, Guntahs 9 were taken up, but as Acres 4, Guntahs 15 out of this consisted of excess lands in the enjoyment of the Inámdárs, the actual extent to be replaced is Acres 78, Guntahs 34, bearing an assessment according to the accounts received from the Táluqs, and according to the Visabadi rates of Rupees 142-15-5. The Acting Collector is doubtful whether the compensation should be given to the Inámdárs who were in possession of the lands taken up for the channels, or to the Zamíndár who originally made the grants from his Séri lands. The Zamíndár had himself abdicated his rights in favor of the Inámdár, and the Board consider that Government should compensate the parties directly injured. The Collector will please to report whether the lands in question were included in the detailed assets of the permanent settlement, or were waste when that settlement was made, and whether the alienation has been practically recognized by the present Zamíndár. In calculating the amount of compensation due to these Inámdárs for lost produce Mr. Thornhill has rejected the Visabadi rates, as he considers it improbable that the Inámdárs derived their income according to them, and has adopted for the dry lands the average* of the rates prevailing for such lands in the neighbouring Government Táluqs, and for the Bagayet lands the rate† enjoyed by the Mokhasadárs of the single village in which exclusively such injured lands were situated, and the compensation thus calculated is at an annual total of Rupees 89-8-3, Rupees 502-1-4 as shown in the margin, inclusive of the sums due for small holdings, the Sist of each of which was below one Rupee.

* Rupee 1-0-6.
† Rupees 4-8-11.

	Sist. Rs.	A.	P.	Number of years. Since occupation.	Compensation. Rs.	A.	P.
Fasli 1264	35	10	11	7	249	12	5
,, 1265	17	13	1	6	106	14	6
,, 1266	6	14	6	5	34	8	6
,, 1267	23	8	8	4	94	2	8
,, 1268	5	9	1	3	16	11	3
Total.....	.....			.....	502	1	4

6. Under the third head, and which directly concerns the Zamíndárs of Nuzid, Devarakota, and Chevendra, and the Proprietor of the Rayaveram village, compensation for lost produce is proposed on Acres 991, Guntahs 28, the remaining Acres 19, Guntahs 38 of the total extent taken for channels having been waste at the time they were so appropriated. The compensation claimed by the Nuzid and Devarakotta Zamíndárs is at 3 and 7 Rupees per acre respectively. The wet lands of the former, and the dry lands of the latter, were, however, cultivated on the sharing system, and no detailed accounts are forthcoming in support of their claims; the Collector considers the demand excessive, the compensation sanctioned for the Vallur Zamíndár in Government Order, 4th April 1860, No. 521, having been only Rupees 1-6-4 per acre, and has adopted the average rates prevailing in the neighbouring Government Táluqs according to which the annual Sist of the whole of the lands in question is Rupees 1,510-7-10, and the compensation for lost produce Rupees 5,820-10-4 as shown in the margin; deducting, however, Rupees 66-4-0 already paid by the Engineer Department, the balance requiring the sanction of Government is Rupees 5,754-6-4.

	Sist. Rs.	A.	P.	Number of years.	Compensation. Rs.	A.	P.
Fasli 1263	40	10	5	8	269	15	4
,, 1264	32	11	1	7	228	13	7
,, 1265	69	4	9	6	415	12	6
,, 1266	543	9	4	5	2,717	14	8
,, 1267	171	12	3	4	687	1	0
,, 1268	197	12	3	3	593	4	9
,, 1269	453	14	3	2	907	12	6
Total.....	.....			....	5,820	10	4

7. In accordance with the principle adopted on former occasions the future Peshkush payable by the four Proprietors above mentioned will be reduced by the annual value of the lands for which compensation has been recommended amounting in the aggregate to Rupees 1,510-7-10. The Board do not, however, admit the justice of the Collector's exclusion of the Zamindár's claim to compensation for the waste land, and request him to report further on this point.

8. The total amount of compensation for lost produce recommended by the Board is Rupees 7,666-3-8, or Rupees 129-6-10 in excess of that proposed by the Acting Collector as particularized below :—

	Recommended by the Board.	Recommended by Acting Collector.	Difference being compensation on holdings assessed below 1 Rupee each.
	RS. A. P.	RS. A. P.	RS. A. P.
Under 1st head...	1,409 12 0	1,313 8 1	96 3 11
,, 2nd ,, ...	502 1 4	468 14 5	33 2 11
,, 3rd ,, ...	5,754 6 4	5,754 6 4	.....
	7,666 3 8	7,536 12 10	129 6 10

(A true Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) W. HUDLESTON, *Secretary.*

No. 11. ORDER THEREON, 1st May 1862, No. 937.

Resolved that the Board of Revenue be requested to state if provision has been made for this charge in the Budget, and if so, under what head.

(Signed) C. G. MASTER,
Deputy Secretary to Government.

to compensation for the waste land, and request him to report further on this point.

8. The total amount of compensation for lost produce recommended by the Board is Rupees 7,666-3-8, or Rupees 129-6-10 in excess of that proposed by the Acting Collector as particularized below :—

	Recommended by the Board.	Recommended by Acting Collector.	Difference being compensation on holdings assessed below 1 Rupee each.
	Rs. A. P.	RS. A. P.	RS. A. P.
Under 1st head	1,409 12 0	1,313 8 1	96 3 11
,, 2nd ,, ...	502 1 4	468 14 5	33 2 11
,, 3rd ,, ...	5,754 6 4	5,754 6 4	.....
	7,666 3 8	7,536 12 10	129 6 10

(A true Copy and Extract.)

Secretary.

To J. D. Sim, Esq., Secretary to Government, R. D.

Copy to G. Thornhill, Esq., Collector of the Kistna District.

Exd, J. Wright.

22-4-09

Rev. 1862 Vol 99 Pp.
Progs of Govt for No. 937. 1st May.
Proceedings of the
Board of Revenue

Dated 15th
Desp. 31 } March 1862
Rec. 1st } Apl.
E. & Ot. Cts. Returned 7-5-62
No. 739.

Submitting for orders, letter from Mr. Coll. of the Kistna District regarding on the Inam lands in Govt. & Zemindari Villages and on the Seri lands in the latter, taken up for the Kistna Channels, with the Board's observations thereon.

Pro. of the Bd. of Rev. dy
11 June 62 Encs. 1 & 4

No 1041.

No. 937 - 15 May 62
With an Order L.

No. 937.

Resolved that the Board of Revenue be requested to state if provision has been made for this charge in the Budget and if so under what head.

Govt. Yr. Geo. 8
1st May 62.
H. Forbes
Board of Revenue

I. D. L

Pro. L.