M.R.O. 14—10,000—21-4-22

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue **DEPARTMENT.**

G.O. No. 908 — Press Mis.

376 . 377

Dated 28 — 4 . 1862

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department, 28th April 1862.

No. 376. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 24th March 1862, No. 1,934.

Read the following letter from J. FRASER, Esq., Acting Collector of North Arcot, to W. HUDLESTON, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, Madras, dated Chittoor, 6th March 1862, No. 55 :—

SIR,

1. I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of the endorsed Petition noted in the margin, calling upon me to submit a report on the subject.

No. 385 of 1862.

2. In 1850, a precisely similar claim to that now advanced by Petitioner was made by him for the Shrótrium Jódi village of Attikuppum in Palmanoir Táluq, or that which he now claims. From the enclosed copy of a report* submitted to the Board by the then Collector, it will be seen that Petitioner had no claim to the village in question, and the Board have accordingly rejected his prayer in their Endorsement, dated 23rd December 1850.

* Endorsement on Board's Petition, No. 1,861 of 1850, dated the 14th December 1850.

3. Under these circumstances, I am inclined to think that Petitioner's claim is unworthy of further consideration, but if he is disposed to risk the expenses of a Civil Suit, I see no objection to permitting him to do so.

Endorsement on Board Petition No. 1,861 of 1850.

1. This Petitioner states that certain Ináms descended to him from his ancestors, some in the Mysore country, and some in the Vencatagherry Cottah Táluq of the North Arcot District; that an arrangement took place many years ago between his father and his uncle Sreenevassa Charry, to the effect that the latter should solely enjoy the Shrótrium Jódi village of Attikuppum in Vencatagherry Cottah Táluq, and that the former (Petitioner's father) should in like manner solely enjoy the other Maniems, viz., one in Vencatagherry Cottah village, and those in the Mysore country; that contrary to this engagement, the Defendant has unjustly obtained the enjoyment of a moiety of the Ináms assigned to his (Petitioner's) share alone, and that therefore he (Petitioner) is entitled to an equal share of Attikuppum.

2. On inquiry it is found that the Petitioner has misrepresented the facts in some particulars. All the Ináms owned by the family except Attikuppum, descended through the common ancestor of the Petitioner and the Defendant, and both branches are, therefore, entitled to share equally in them. Attikuppum did not descend from the common ancestor, but was acquired by Petitioner's uncle Sreenevassa Charry by marriage; he having married a daughter of the family to whom it was originally granted. It further appears that this Sreenevassa Charry had an own brother Kistna Charry; and in 1823 this latter executed a deed declaring that as the village came to Sreenevassa Charry in the manner above detailed, he alone had any right to it; and to this paper the signature of the Petitioner is also appended. In accordance with that statement of fact, the Inám in Vencatagherry Cottah has ever been enjoyed in two equal parts by the two branches of the family; and the Defendant produces two Takeeds issued by the Mysore authorities, showing that the same decision has been passed there. In 1823 Attikuppum, which had been under attachment during the absence of Sreenevassa Charry, was released to him; and it was then expressly stated by the Principal Collector that he was the original acquirer.

To Board August 15, 1822.
From do. September 23, 1822.

3. In 1839, Sreenevassa Charry having died several years before, Attikuppum was registered in the name of Vadavessa Charloo, the eldest of his sons, and he being now dead, the village is to be registered in the name of his only son. All the descendants of Sreenevassa Charry enjoy the proceeds of the village according to their shares. The Inám in Vencatagherry Cottah has long been held without registry; that also will now be registered.

(Signed) J. D. BOURDILLON, *Collector*.

14th December 1850.

(True Copy.)

(Signed) J. FRASER, *Acting Collector*.

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
28th April 1862.

1. Ordered to be submitted for the information and orders of the Governor in Council.

2. As it appears from Mr. Bourdillon's Endorsement enclosed with the above letter that Petitioner's opponent is in the enjoyment of the land in dispute in right of his mother, who was a descendant of the original grantee, the Board are not of opinion that Petitioner should be granted the permission to sue which he desires.

(True Copies and Extract.)

(Signed) R. A. DALYELL, *Sub-Secretary.*

No. 377. ORDER THEREON, 23th April 1862, No. 908.

The Board of Revenue will inform Nagaram Sâtu Madava Charryulu, Petitioner in No. 385 of 1862 on the Board's file, that the Government decline to grant him permission to sue for a share in the Shrôtrium Jodi village of Attikuppum in the Palmanair Taluq.

(Signed) C. G. MASTER,
Deputy Secretary to Government.

No. 1,934.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 24th March 1862.

Read the following letter from J. FRASER, Esq., Acting Collector of North Arcot, to W. HUDLESTON, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, Madras, dated Chittoor, 6th March 1862, No. 55 :—

1. I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of the endorsed Petition No. 385 of 1862. noted in the margin, calling upon me to submit a report on the subject.

2. In 1850, a precisely similar claim to that now advanced by Petitioner was made by him for the Shrotriem Jodi village of Attikuppum in Palmanair Taluk or that which he now claims. From the enclosed copy of a report* submitted to the Board by the then Collector, it will be seen that Petitioner had no claim to the village in question, and the Board have accordingly rejected his prayer in their Endorsement, dated 23rd December 1850.

Endorsement on Board Petition, No. 1,861 of 1850, dated the 14th December 1850.

3. Under these circumstances, I am inclined to think that Petitioner's claim is unworthy of further consideration, but if he is disposed to risk the expenses of a Civil Suit, I see no objection to permitting him to do so.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.

Endorsement on Board Petition No. 1,861 of 1850.

1. This Petitioner states that certain Inams descended to him from his ancestors, some in the Mysore country, and some in the Vencatagherry Cottah Taluk of the North Arcot District; that an arrangement took place many years ago between his father and his uncle Sreenevassa Charry, to the effect that the latter should solely enjoy the Shrotriem Jodi village of Attikuppum in Vencatagherry Cottah Taluk, and that the former (Petitioner's father) should in like manner solely enjoy the other Maniems, viz., one in Vencatagherry Cottah village, and those in the Mysore country; that contrary to this engagement, the Defendant has unjustly obtained the enjoyment of a moiety of the Inams assigned to his (Petitioner's) share alone, and that therefore he (Petitioner) is entitled to an equal share of Attikuppum.

2. On inquiry it is found that the Petitioner has misrepresented the facts in some particulars. All the Inams owned by the family except Attikuppum, descended through the common ancestor of the Petitioner and the Defendant, and both branches are, therefore, entitled to share equally in them. Attikuppum did not descend from the common ancestor, but was acquired by Petitioner's uncle Sreenevassa Charry by marriage; he having married a daughter of the family to whom it was originally granted. It further appears that this Sreenevassa Charry had an own brother Kistna Charry; and in 1823 this latter executed a deed declaring that as the village came to Sreenevassa Charry in the manner above detailed, he alone had any right to it; and to this paper the signature of the Petitioner is also appended. In accordance with that statement of fact, the Inam in Vencatagherry Cottah has ever been enjoyed in two equal parts by the two branches of the family; and the Defendant produces two Takeeds issued by the Mysore authorities, showing that the same decision has been passed there. In 1822 Attikuppum, which had been under attachment during the absence of Sreenevassa Charry, was released to him; and it was then expressly stated by the Principal Collector that he was the original acquirer.

To Board August 15, 1822.
From do. September 23, 1822.

3. In 1833, Sreenevassa Charry having died several years before, Attikuppum was registered in the name of Vadaveasa Charloo, the eldest of his sons, and he being now dead, the village is to be registered in the name of his only son. All the descendants of Sreenevassa Charry enjoy the proceeds of the village according to their shares. The Inam in Vencatagherry Cottah has long been held without registry; that also will now be registered.

(Signed) J. D. BOURDILLON, Collector.

14th December 1850.

(True Copy.)

(Signed) J. FRASER, Acting Collector.

---

1. Ordered to be submitted for the information and orders of the Governor in Council.

2. As it appears from Mr. Bourdillon's Endorsement enclosed with the above letter that Petitioner's opponent is in the enjoyment of the land in dispute in right of his mother, who was a descendant of the original grantee, the Board are not of opinion that Petitioner should be granted the permission to sue which he desires.

(True Copies and Extract.)

Sub-Secretary.

To J. D. Sim, Esq., Secretary to Government, R. D.

Copy to J. Fraser, Esq., Acting Collector of North Arcot.

Exd, J. Wright.

1862. Department

No.

Proceedings of the Board of

Dated 24th March 1862.
Despatched, 3-4-62.
Received, 1-0-0
0-1-0

No. 1,934.

Submitting for information and
letter from the Acting Collector of
reporting on Petition No 385 of 1858
the Shrotriem Jodi village of
Putmunnair Taluk.