

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 829

Press
~~Mis.~~

221-222

Dated

14th 4 1862

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
14th April 1862.*

No. 221. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 18th March 1862, No. 1,771:—

Read the following letter from J. SILVER, Esq., Collector of Tinnevely, to W. HUDLESTON, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, Madras, dated 14th February 1862, No. 68.

Sir,

1. I have the honor to bring the following circumstances to the notice of the Board, and to solicit their instructions on the subject.

2. In my letter of the 14th January 1857, No. 11, I informed the Board that a sum of Rupees 423-2-11 was ordered by Mr. Parish on the 20th October 1803 to be granted to the Pagoda at Pudukudy, out of the amount of Tusdeek belonging to the Alwartirunagary Pagoda, by reducing certain items of expenses connected with the latter, and requested the sanction of the Board for the continuance or otherwise of the same, and in paragraph 6 of their Proceedings, dated the 26th November 1857, the Board having recommended the continuance of the allowance, the Government in paragraph 5 of Extract from Minutes of Consultation, under date the 15th December 1857, sanctioned the same, and directed that the allowance should be commuted into land.

3. When orders were about to be issued to the above effect, the trustee of the Alwartirunagary Pagoda presented a petition to me, stating that no ready money allowance was ever granted to the Pagoda at Pudukudy, that only a portion of offerings* from the Alwartirunagary Pagoda was given, to the value of 5 Fanams a day, from the sale proceeds of which, and from the Maniem assigned to the Pudukudy Pagoda, the *Poojah* (ceremony) in that Pagoda was conducted, and that if the Tusdeek of the Alwartirunagary Pagoda were to be indented upon, the *Poojahs* (ceremony) in that institution could not be performed properly, and he therefore requested that as usual, the supplies from the Alwartirunagary Pagoda might be given.

4. I thereupon made a fresh inquiry into the case, and find that the Trustee's statement is well founded. The 423 Rupees, 2 Annas and 11 Pice were not given direct from the Tusdeek allowance of the Alwartirunagary Pagoda, but in the following manner, viz.:—

	Per diem. Fanams.
From the amount allowed for torches and oil.....	14
From do. for betel nut.....	4
From sale proceeds of Cakes, Oil, &c.....	3
	—
	5

From the amount allowed for the celebration of a certain festival in the month of May..... 170

Five Fanams a day amount to Rupees 387-1-11 a year, which added to 170 Fanams or Rupees 36-1-0, make a total of Rupees 423-2-11.

5. The Board will observe that the difference between the two modes of contributing towards the Pudukudy Pagoda is very great. If Rupees 423-2-11 were to be deducted direct from the Tusdeek of the Alwartirunagary temple, and commuted into land assignment, there will be a deficiency of so much money for the performance of the *Poojahs* (ceremony) in that temple, which would not be the case, if the whole Tusdeek money were devoted to it, and the remains of the offerings, &c., made in that temple were given to the other Pagoda as usual.

6. Under these circumstances, I request that sanction may be obtained for limiting the contributions to the Pudukudy temple, to the offerings, &c., from the other Pagoda as usual, in lieu of making over a part of the Tusdeek allowance and converting it into land.

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
14th April 1862.*

ORDERED to be submitted to Government, with reference to the correspondence and orders quoted by the Collector, and with the Board's recommendation that under the explanation now furnished the orders formerly issued for the commutation into land of the share of the emoluments of the Alwartirunagary Pagoda enjoyed by the Pudukudi Pagoda be cancelled, and that the arrangement hitherto in force be allowed to continue, as the share is not a portion of a ready-money allowance as was supposed, but merely a right to participate in certain offerings, and daily allowance of Oil, Betel, &c., from the sale proceeds of which (estimated to produce the sum formerly named as the money allowance) the services of the Pudukudi Pagoda are partially maintained.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) W. HUDLESTON, *Secretary.*

No. 222. ORDER THEREON, 14th April 1862, No. 829.

As it appears from the letter from the Collector of Tinnevely submitted with these Proceedings, that the share of the emoluments of the Alwartirunagary Pagoda enjoyed by the Pudukudi Pagoda is not, as was supposed, a portion of a ready-money allowance, the order passed by Government in 1857 for the commutation of that share into land is hereby cancelled; and authority is granted for the continuance of the arrangement hitherto in force between the two Pagodas, as explained by the Collector.

(Signed) C. G. MASTER,

Deputy Secretary to Government.

No. 1,771.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 18th March 1862.

Read the following letter from J. SILVER, Esq., Collector of Tinnevely, to W. HUDLESTON, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, Madras, dated 14th February 1862, No. 68 :—

1. I have the honor to bring the following circumstances to the notice of the Board, and to solicit their instructions on the subject.

2. In my letter of the 14th January 1857, No. 11, I informed the Board that a sum of Rupees 423-2-11 was ordered by Mr. Parish on the 26th October 1803 to be granted to the Pagoda at Pudukudy, out of the amount of Tusdeek belonging to the Alwartirunagary Pagoda, by reducing certain items of expenses connected with the latter, and requested the sanction of the Board for the continuance or otherwise of the same, and in paragraph 6 of their Proceedings, dated the 26th November 1857, the Board having recommended the continuance of the allowance, the Government in paragraph 5 of Extract from Minutes of Consultation, under date the 15th December 1857, sanctioned the same, and directed that the allowance should be commuted into land.

3. When orders were about to be issued to the above effect, the trustee of the Alwartirunagary Pagoda presented a petition to me, stating that no ready money allowance was ever granted to the Pagoda at Pudukudy, that only a portion of offerings* from the Alwartirunagary Pagoda was given, to the value of 5 Fanams a day, from the sale proceeds of which, and from the Maniem assigned to the Pudukudy Pagoda, the *Poojah* (ceremony) in that Pagoda was conducted, and that if the Tusdeek of the Alwartirunagary Pagoda were to be indented upon, the *Poojahs* (ceremony) in that institution could not be performed properly, and he therefore requested that as usual, the supplies from the Alwartirunagary Pagoda might be given.

4. I thereupon made a fresh inquiry into the case, and find that the Trustee's statement is well founded. The 423 Rupees 2 Annas and 11 Pice were not given direct from the Tusdeek allowance of the Alwartirunagary Pagoda, but in the following manner, viz. :—

	Per diem. Fanams.
From the amount allowed for torches and oil.....	1½
From do. for betel nut.....	1
From sale proceeds of Cakes, Oil, &c.....	3
	—
	5
From the amount allowed for the celebration of a certain festival in the month of May.....	170

Five Fanams a day amount to Rupees 387-1-11 a year, which added to 170 Fanams or Rupees 6-1-0, make a total of Rupees 423-2-11.

5. The Board will observe that the difference between the two modes of contributing towards the Pudukudy Pagoda is very great. If Rupees 423-2-11 were to be deducted direct from the Tusdeek of the Alwartirunagary temple, and commuted into land assignment, there will be a deficiency of so much money for the performance of the *Poojahs* (ceremony) in that temple, which would not be the case, if the whole Tusdeek money were devoted to it, and the remains of the offerings, &c., made in that temple were given to the other Pagoda as usual.

6. Under these circumstances, I request that sanction may be obtained for limiting the contributions to the Pudukudy temple, to the offerings, &c., from the other Pagoda as usual, in lieu of making over a part of the Tusdeek allowance and converting it into land.

Ordered to be submitted to Government, with reference to the correspondence and orders quoted by the Collector, and with the Board's recommendation that under the explanation now furnished the orders formerly issued for the commutation into land of [the share of the emoluments of the Alvaritirunagary Pagoda enjoyed by the Pudukudi Pagoda be cancelled, and that the arrangement hitherto in force be allowed to continue, as the share is not a portion of a ready-money allowance as was supposed, but merely a right to participate in certain offerings, and daily allowances of Oil, Betel, &c., from the sale proceeds of which (estimated to produce the sum formerly named as the money allowance) the services of the Pudukudi Pagoda are partially maintained.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

J. D. Sim
Secretary.

To J. D. Sim, Esq., Secretary to Government, R. D.

Copy to J. Silver, Esq., Collector of Tinnevely.

Exd. J. Wright.

Copy of a letter from the Collector of Tinnevely submitted with these Papers that the share of the emoluments of the Alvaritirunagary Pagoda enjoyed by the Pudukudi Pagoda is not as was supposed, a portion of a ready money allowance, the order passed by Government in 1847 for the commutation of that share into land is hereby annulled, and authority is granted for the continuance of the arrangement hitherto in force between the two Pagodas, as explained by the Collector.

Copy of a letter from the Collector of Tinnevely submitted with these Papers that the share of the emoluments of the Alvaritirunagary Pagoda enjoyed by the Pudukudi Pagoda is not as was supposed, a portion of a ready money allowance, the order passed by Government in 1847 for the commutation of that share into land is hereby annulled, and authority is granted for the continuance of the arrangement hitherto in force between the two Pagodas, as explained by the Collector.

Copy of a letter from the Collector of Tinnevely submitted with these Papers that the share of the emoluments of the Alvaritirunagary Pagoda enjoyed by the Pudukudi Pagoda is not as was supposed, a portion of a ready money allowance, the order passed by Government in 1847 for the commutation of that share into land is hereby annulled, and authority is granted for the continuance of the arrangement hitherto in force between the two Pagodas, as explained by the Collector.

Submitting with Board's recommendation letter from Collector of Tinnevely requesting sanction to continue the allowance enjoyed by the Pudukudi temple from the Alvaritirunagary Pagoda in the shape of certain offerings from the latter instead of converting it into land.

No. 1,771.

Dated 18th March 1862.
Duplicate 24th
Received 24th

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE.

No. 846

1862. Department.

Forwarded for Audit